



Adriatic Metals Plc is focused on the development of the 100%-owned, Vares high-grade silver project in Bosnia & Herzegovina.

DIRECTORS

Mr Michael Rawlinson NON-EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN

Mr Paul Cronin MANAGING DIRECTOR & CEO

Mr Peter Bilbe NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr Julian Barnes NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Ms Sandra Bates NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Ms Sanela Karic NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

adriaticmetals.com



UPDATED ORE RESERVES ESTIMATE FOR RUPICE

HIGHLIGHTS

- Updated ore reserve estimate for the 100%-owned Rupice silver-zinc-lead-gold deposit in Bosnia and Herzegovina has grown to: 13.8Mt at 187g/t Ag, 5.2% Zn, 3.3% Pb, 1.4g/t Au, 0.5% Cu and 0.2% Sb containing an estimated 83Moz of silver, 723Kt of zinc, 457Kt of lead, 640Koz of gold, 64Kt of copper and 24kt of antimony.
- Contained ore reserve tonnes have increased by 89% since the previous Ore Reserve estimate stated as at July 2021.
- The significant increase in ore reserves underpins an 18-year mine life which now extends until 2041 based on nominal throughput capacity of 800,000tpa.
- The Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource estimate ("MRE") for Rupice as reported on 27 July 2023 is: 21.1 Mt at 156 g/t Ag, 1.2 g/t Au, 4.3% Zn, 2.8% Pb, 0.4% Cu, 27% BaSO₄ (reported above a cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq) containing 105 Moz Ag, 789koz Au, 913kt Zn, 581kt Pb, 88kt Cu and 39kt Sb.
- Exploration drilling continues to successfully identify further high-grade mineralization at Rupice and Rupice Northwest, A further MRE update is scheduled for H1 2024.

Paul Cronin, Adriatic's Managing Director and CEO, commented,

"We are extremely pleased to announce the updated Ore Reserves at our flagship Rupice mine have increased by 89%.

The Ore Reserves have been derived from the JORC Mineral Resources statement announced on 27 July 2023 and demonstrates that Rupice is a world class deposit. Exploration drilling has continued this year, and we believe there is potential to add further resources at Rupice, as well as at our adjacent targets.

The updated Ore Reserve was completed to a very high standard using industry best practice and methodologies. It sets a solid foundation for mine planning and future production growth with mining now scheduled at Rupice Northwest.

The completion of updated Ore Reserves at Rupice is an important step forward for Adriatic as we maximise the value of this asset for all of our stakeholders over the next 18 years and beyond."

Adriatic Metals Plc, Regent House, 65 Rodney Road, Cheltenham GL50 1HX United Kingdom



Adriatic Metals PLC (ASX:ADT, LSE:ADT1, OTCQX:ADMLF), is pleased to announce the updated Rupice Mine Ore Reserves, as part of the fully owned Vares Silver Project in Bosnia & Herzegovina totalling **13.8Mt** at 187g/t Ag, 5.2% Zn, 3.3% Pb, 1.4g/t Au, 0.5% Cu and 0.2% Sb containing an estimated 83Moz of silver, 723Kt of zinc, 457Kt of lead, 640Koz of gold, 64Kt of copper and 24kt of antimony.

Classification	Tonnes	Zn	Pb	Au	Ag	Cu	Sb
	Mt	%	%	g/t	g/t	%	%
Proved	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probable	13.8	5.2	3.3	1.4	187	0.5	0.2
Total	13.8	5.2	3.3	1.4	187	0.5	0.2

Table 1: Updated Rupice Ore Reserve

The updated ore reserve estimate was completed by AMC Consultants (AMC) with the assistance of the Adriatic technical services team using industry best practice. The final signed off report from AMC was received on 12 December 2023. The Rupice Ore Reserve has been updated based on the updated Mineral Resource (as announced on 27 July 2023) and is shown in Table 2. The Mineral Resource estimate has been reported in accordance with the JORC Code. The Mineral Resource is reported inclusive of Ore Reserves.

Table 2: Rupice Mineral Resource estimate by classification - 27 July 2023 (AMC, 2023) cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq applied

Classification	Tonnes Mt	Zn %	Pb %	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Ba %	Sb %
Indicated	18.3	4.6	2.9	1.3	168	0.4	30	0.2
Inferred	2.8	2.4	1.6	0.5	75	0.2	13	0.1
Total	21.1	4.3	2.8	1.2	156	0.4	27	0.2

Notes:

Mineral Resources are based on JORC Code 2012 definitions.

Metal prices, payabilities, and recoveries have been applied.

The majority of the addition to reserves has come from the Rupice Northwest Orebody, with some increase in the Rupice reserve tonnage as shown in Figure 1. It is worth noting that infill and exploration drilling after the July 2023 MRE are not incorporated into these ore reserve estimates. The significant increase in ore reserves underpins an 18-year mine life, which now extends until 2041. This is an increase of 8 years from the initial reserve announced as part of the 2021 Definitive Feasibility Study ("DFS") and shows that Rupice has outstanding potential for further growth.







For further information please visit: <u>www.adriaticmetals.com</u>; email: <u>info@adriaticmetals.com</u>, <u>@AdriaticMetals</u> on Twitter; or contact:

Adriatic Metals PLC	
Paul Cronin / Klara Kaczmarek	Via Buchanan
Buchanan	Tel: +44 (0) 20 7466 5000
Bobby Morse / Oonagh Reidy RBC Capital Markets (Joint Corporate Broker)	adriatic@buchanan.uk.com
James Agnew / Jamil Miah	Tel: +44 (0) 20 7653 4000
Stifel Nicolaus Europe Limited (Joint Corporate Broker)	
Ashton Clanfield / Callum Stewart	Tel: +44 (0) 20 7710 7600
Citadel Magnus	
Cameron Gilenko	Tel: +61 2 8234 0100

ABOUT ADRIATIC METALS

Adriatic Metals PLC (ASX:ADT, LSE:ADT1, OTCQX:ADMLF) is a precious and base metals developer that is advancing the world-class Vares Silver Project in Bosnia & Herzegovina, as well as the Raska Zinc-Silver Project in Serbia. The Vares Silver Project is fully funded to production, which is expected in Q1 2024. Concurrent with ongoing construction activities, the Company continues to explore across its highly prospective 44km² concession package.

There have been no material changes to the assumptions underpinning the forecast financial information derived from the production target in the 19 August 2021 DFS announcement and these assumptions continue to apply and have not materially changed. Adriatic Metals is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the announcement of the updated Mineral Resource Estimate



announced on 1 September 2020 and all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the Mineral Resource Estimate continue to apply and have not materially changed.

MARKET ABUSE REGULATION DISCLOSURE

The information contained within this announcement is deemed by the Company (LEI: 549300OHAH2GL1DP0L61) to constitute inside information for the purpose of Article 7 of EU Market Abuse Regulation (EU) No. 596/2014 as it forms part of UK domestic law by virtue of the European Union (Withdrawal) ACT 2018, as amended. The person responsible for arranging and authorising the release of this announcement on behalf of the Company is Paul Cronin, Managing Director and CEO.

RUPICE ORE RESERVE STATEMENT AND EXPLANATORY NOTES

Location

The Rupice deposit is located near the mining town of Vares, approximately 50 km north of the capital Sarajevo in Bosnia and Herzegovina and approximately 60 minutes by car; first via the Sarajevo – Tuzla freeway to Podlugovi, then a sealed road to Vares. The town of Vares is the administrative centre for the Vares District. The Rupice operation consists of an underground mine and a surface mineral processing plant.

The Rupice deposit is located within a single concession comprising three separate licence areas: one at Rupice in the west, and two at Veovača in the east (Figure 2.1). The initial concession area in total was 280.54 ha: 83.05 ha at Rupice, and 197.49 ha at Veovača, and this has since expanded to a total of 865.3 ha: 535.2 ha at Rupice and 330.1 ha at Veovača (Figure 2.2).



Figure 2.1: Rupice Project location

Adriatic Metals plc Regent House, 65 Rodney Road, Cheltenham GL50 1HX United Kingdom



Figure 2.2: Rupice Licence location



RUPICE RESOURCE DETAILS

The Rupice July 2023 Mineral Resources statement was made public on 27 July 2023 via an ASX announcement titled: "Mineral Resource Estimate Grows Indicated Tonnes by 93%" and a LSE announcement of the same date and title. A summary of the information contained in the release is outlined below.

For the 2023 MRE Rupice and Rupice Northwest ('RNW'), a total of 287 diamond drill holes for a total of 76,935m define the current limits of the known mineralization. Up to mid-2022, the deposit was drilled and sampled using diamond drill holes on a nominal 20m by 20m spacing. From mid-2022 to May 2023, the drill hole spacing was widened to a 40m x 30m spacing across RNW reflecting the robust continuity of the stratabound mineralization along and across strike. Drilling has defined a combined Rupice and RNW mineralized system having a strike length of >900m and an across-strike width of >350m.

The geological controls on polymetallic mineralization at Rupice and RNW were interpreted using lithological, alteration, structural and geochemical data available from logging and assays. Separate solids for each lithology from surface to below mineralization were modelled. Massive and semi-massive visually logged sulphides where combined to form coherent mineralized solids. The trends in mineralization were matched to modelled stratigraphic units controlling the distribution of mineralization.

A block model was constructed, constrained by the interpreted mineralized envelopes. A parent cell size of $5m(E) \times 5m(N) \times 5m(RL)$ was adopted with standard sub-celling to $1m(E) \times 1m(N) \times 1m(RL)$ to maintain the volumetric resolution of the mineralized lenses.



Grades for all ten elements were interpolated into the empty block model using the Ordinary Kriging method and a "parent block estimation" technique, i.e., all sub-cells within a parent cell were populated with the same grade. The ordinary kriging (OK) process was performed at different search radii until all cells were interpolated. The search radii were determined by means of the evaluation of the semi-variogram parameters, which determined the kriging weights to be applied to samples at specified distances. Hard boundaries were honoured between each modelled lens and each grade domain. Block grades were validated both visually and statistically and all modelling was completed using Micromine software. The Rupice deposit has reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction based on a number of factors. Metallurgical test work by Wardell Armstrong International ("WAI") has confirmed that Rupice mineralization is amenable to flotation processes. The cut-off grade adopted for reporting (50 g/t Ag equivalent) is considered reasonable given the Mineral Resource will be exploited by underground mining methods and potentially processed using flotation techniques to produce a concentrate or as a direct shipping crushed product for massive ores. Concentrate off-take agreements and transport logistics have all been negotiated and Adriatic Metals has secured long-term tenure across all tenements.

RUPICE RESERVE DETAILS

Estimation Methodology

The 2023 Ore Reserve is summarised in Table 1. As there is no Measured Mineral Resource, no Proved Ore Reserves are estimated. During the stope optimisation process, a maximum of 5% inferred material is allowed to be included in individual stope shapes. Overall, less than 1% of the Ore Reserve is in the inferred category. Any inferred material was given a zero grade. No stoping had taken place at the cut-off date of this report and while development stockpiling has commenced, volumes are not sufficient for any reasonable grade reconciliation to commence. The Ore Reserve is reported on a 100% basis. A cut-off is applied using an NSR of US\$68/t ore. Adriatic has demonstrated the Vares Project has a positive net present value (NPV) supporting the statement of Ore Reserves. The previous Ore Reserve is summarised in Table 1.1.

Table 1: New Rupice Ore Reserve

Classification	Tonnes Mt	Zn %	Pb %	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Sb %
Proved	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probable	13.8	5.2	3.3	1.4	187	0.5	0.2
Total	13.8	5.2	3.3	1.4	187	0.5	0.2

Notes:

Mineral Resources are based on JORC code definitions. Metal prices, payabilities, and recoveries have been applied.

Classification	Tonnes Mt	Zn %	Pb %	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Sb %
Proved	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probable	7.3	5.7	3.6	1.9	202	0.6	0.2
Total	7.3	5.7	3.6	1.9	202	0.6	0.2

Table 1.1: Previous Ore Reserve 19 August 2021



The Mineral Resource estimate has been reported in accordance with the JORC Code (Table 3). The Mineral Resource is reported inclusive of Ore Reserves.

Table 2: Rupice Mineral Resource estimate by classification - 27 July 2023 (AMC, 2023) cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq applied

Classification	Tonnes Mt	Zn %	Pb %	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Ba %	Sb %
Indicated	18.3	4.6	2.9	1.3	168	0.4	30	0.2
Inferred	2.8	2.4	1.6	0.5	75	0.2	13	0.1
Total	21.1	4.3	2.8	1.2	156	0.4	27	0.2

The Ore Reserve estimate was completed internally by Adriatic under the direction of the Competent Person ('CP') who was assisted by key technical staff at Adriatic and AMC. Adriatic has used a LOM approach, whereby all mining areas were re-evaluated using the new NSR calculation to determine economic mining areas of which the Rupice Northwest Zone was an extension to existing operation. AMC considers this approach reasonable for the 2023 Ore Reserve.

AMC ran multiple scenarios, testing various mining and metal prices within an offtake and flotation parameters. For the reserves, metal prices of \$23.00/oz Ag, 2,450/t Zn, \$2,280/t Pb, \$8,335/t Cu, \$1,912/oz Au and \$11,525/t Sb USD were used. A mining cost of \$35/t US was used for the cost model, all other costs remained as per the DFS.

Competent Person

The Competent Person for the 2023 Ore Reserves is Dominic Claridge, Principal Mining Engineer, an employee of AMC. Dominic satisfies the requirements to act as a Competent Person in that he has the relevant experience, has visited site for the basis of a sign-off and is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (FAusIMM).

Mining

At Rupice, mining occurs from two lenses (Rupice Main and Rupice Northwest) with all ore hauled to a surface stockpile, before being crushed and transferred via surface trucks to the Vares Processing Plant.

The mining method is a combination of mechanized, trackless underground mining using longitudinal longhole open-stoping (LLHOS) and transverse longhole open-stoping (TLHOS) with footwall decline access. Access to the underground workings is via two declines developed from the surface. Orebody access is via capital development of ramps, level access drives, and footwall drives.



Figure 3.1: Mine design looking west – longitudinal section view (Adriatic Metals, 2023 Deswik Mine Planning Output)



Figure 3.2: Mine design looking east (Adriatic Metals, 2023 Deswik Output)



ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



Global constraints are set up within mine planning software to schedule mining physicals. The key parameters are listed in Table 6.

Table 6: Schedule parameters

Constant	Unit	Rate	Comment
NSR Cut-off	US\$/t	68	Economic Stopes
FW Dilution Factor	m	1.0	Last Stope to FW Diluted
HW Dilution Factor	m	2.0	Last stope to HW Diluted
Stope recovery	%	95	

Table 7: LOM schedule resource rates and fleet number

Resource	Unit	Rate / Month	Mining Fleet Numbers
Jumbo	m/month/heading	160	3
Jumbo	m/month/jumbo	160	3
Production drill	m/month	5,000	2
Cablebolt	m/month	2,000	1
Stope loading	t/day	1,200	4
Backfill loading	t/day	600	1

Table 8: Dilution factors

Dilution	Unit	Dilution (%)	
HW and FW Dilution	%	8	
Paste Overbreak Dilution	%	5	
Total Dilution	%	13	

Processing

The Vares Processing Plant ('VPP') has a nominal capacity of 800,000tpa, and applies three-stage crushing, grinding, flotation and filtration to produce two saleable concentrates (Ag/Pb and Zn). Concentrates will be transported via rail to the Port of Ploče for shipment to smelters. The Ag/Pb concentrate contains payable Ag, Pb, Zn, Cu, Sb and Au (Sb is payable if over 2% and if Ag is over 2,500g/t and Zn is payable if over 10%). The Zn concentrate contains payable Zn, Ag, and Au. Figure 4 shows the basic flowsheet below.



Figure 4: Vares Process Plant flowsheet (Ausenco, 2021)



The plant consists of the following circuits:

- Three-stage crushing:
 - 1. Primary jaw crusher, grizzly screen on feed, P80 of 121 mm.
 - 2. Secondary cone crusher, open circuit, P80 of 51 mm.
 - 3. Tertiary cone crusher, P80 of 12 mm, in closed circuit with triple-deckscreen.
 - 4. Final crushed ore (triple-deck screen undersize) with P80 of 7 mm.
 - 5. Crushing plant has capacity to produce aggregate for underground backfill
- Crushed ore handling and storage:
 - 1. Coarse ore storage bins (two), 2,260 t each.
 - 2. Each providing 23 hours of plant feed at the nominal plant feed rate of 110 wtph (wet tonnes per hour).
- Ball mill grinding circuit:
 - 1. 4.3m by 7.5m mill with 2,400 kW motor.
 - 2. Product P80 of 40 µm.
- Flotation:

Silver-lead flotation:

Roughers.

- Concentrate regrind to P80 of 10 µm using 1500 kW ISAMill.
- Three-stage cleaners.

Zinc flotation:

Roughers.

- Concentrate regrind to P80 of 20 µm using 500 kW ISAMill.
- Three-stage cleaners.



- Concentrate handling by means of thickening, filtration, container loading and truck transportation from site for silver-lead and zinc concentrates.
- Tailings handling by means of thickening, filtration to 9.3% w/w, loading and transport by truck to backfill for the underground backfill or to TSF.

Adriatic continued to work with Ausenco to upgrade the circuit design upon completion of the DFS. Additional flotation capacity in the form of Jameson Cells has been provided after the regrind mills and ahead of the cleaner cells to improve recoveries and concentrate grades. This additional cleaner capacity for both the lead and zinc circuits reduces the risk of metal loss during periods of high feed grade which can be expected at Rupice. Figure 5 shows the upgraded process flowsheet.





Process plant throughput

Key design criteria for the Vares Processing Plant are shown in Table 9. Designed throughput is 800,000 tpa with operating availability of 91.3% (8,000 operating hours per year). Operating availability for the crushing plant is 65% (5,694 operating hours per year), and 82% for concentrate/tailings filtration and handling (7,200 operating hours per year). Adriatic in the process of conducting formal studies to confirm throughput can be increased to over 1Mtpa, to align with anticipated mine production. Operating availability figures are customary for the processing industry and appropriate for the Vares Processing Plant.

Design of the comminution circuit was based on the nominal power requirement of average ore. The nominal BWi (hardness) selected was 10 kWh/t. The range of BWi calculated for the variability samples was 4.7 kWh/t to 13.9 kWh/t and the BWi for the Year 1 composite sample was 8.4 kWh/t.

High-grade ore with a BWi range of 6-7 kWh/t will be treated in the early years. Adriatic and Ausenco have used a maximum feed rate of 130 tph in the LOM production schedule resulting in throughputs greater than 1.0 Mtpa



from Year 6 to Year 10 and greater than the nominal design of 0.8 Mtpa for all years from Year 4 to Year 15. Adriatic and Ausenco are confident that the 30% increase above the nominal throughput capacity in early years will be achieved due to the preferential treatment of soft, high-grade ore in early years of the Vares Project, and state that the throughput capacity – ore hardness relationship will be confirmed by modelling. AMC concurs with this assessment.

Metal recoveries and concentrate grades were derived using LOM average grades and the Ausenco/Libertas models.

Table 9: Vares Processing Plant design criteria

Criteria	Unit	Value
Annual Throughput (Design)	kt/a	800
Operating Days per Year	D	365
Operating Availability – Crushing	h/a	5694
Operating Availability – Grinding and Flotation	h/a	8,000
Operating Availability – Concentrate and Tailings Handling	h/a	7,200
Design Throughput – Crushing	t/h (dry)	290
Design Throughput – Grinding and Flotation	t/h (dry)	100
Design Throughput – Silver-Lead Concentrate Filtration	t/h (dry)	10
Design Throughput – Zinc Concentrate Filtration	t/h (dry)	12
Crushing Feed Size, 100% Passing	mm	600
Crushing Product Size, 80% Passing – Crushed Ore	mm	7.12
Crushing Product Size, 100% Passing – Paste Aggregate Fill	mm	12
Crushing Product Size, 100% Passing – Cemented Aggregate Fill	mm	75
Grinding Product Size, 80% Passing	μm	40
Ball Mill Circulating Load	%	250
Bond Ball Mill Work Index – Design	kWh/t	9.5
Bond Abrasion Index – Design	g	0.168
ROM Head Grade, Lead – Average	%	2.9
ROM Head Grade, Copper – Average	%	0.5
ROM Head Grade, Zinc – Average	%	4.6
ROM Head Grade, Gold – Average	g/t	1.37
ROM Head Grade, Silver – Average	g/t	159
Metal Recovery Method	-	polymetallic sequential flotation
Copper Recovery to Silver-Lead Concentrate	%	81.2
Silver-Lead Concentrate Grade, Copper	% Cu	7.4
Lead Recovery to Silver-Lead Concentrate	%	87.4
Silver-lead Concentrate Grade, Lead	% Pb	47.4
Silver Recovery to Silver-Lead Concentrate	%	75.0
Silver-lead Concentrate Grade, Silver	g/t	2266
Zinc Recovery to Zinc Concentrate	%	80.2
Zinc Concentrate Grade	% Zn	57.9

Source: Ausenco, 2021.



Permitting

Adriatic has committed to ensuring that the Vares Project will comply with international best practice regarding environmental and social standards. Environmental and groundwater management plans have been submitted, approved and permitted. All major permits for operations have been granted by the various competent authorities within Bosnia & Herzegovina, however some more routine permits and permits that would not ordinarily be issued until after the commencement of production are outstanding and are expected to be issued in due course.

Infrastructure

The Vares Municipality offers general trade services and suppliers, and a considerable amount of 1980s mining infrastructure, including a rail line which connects to the national rail grid and inter alia, the Port of Ploče in the Republic of Croatia, where bulk commodities, including metal concentrates are shipped. The capital of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Sarajevo and its international airport is 60-minutes away.

The Vares Processing Plant is located on a brownfield site that was previously used for mineral processing. It is located approximately 25 km south-east of the town of Vares. A newly constructed, sealed, all-weather road was completed in 2023 and is available for inbound freight. Concentrates will be trucked 5 km from the Plant to an existing rail siding where it will be transloaded and then railed to the Port of Ploče.

Power

A national electricity grid is operated and maintained by the State company Electroprivreda d.o.o. Additionally, several power lines run to the Veovaca open pit and abandoned processing facility at Tisovci, and thereafter to a number of nearby villages. Most of these lines deliver a 10 KVA service.

Power supply for the Rupice Surface Infrastructure is provided from a new, buried 35 kV powerline which will run from Vares Majdan regional sub-station, following the route of the new road between Rupice Underground Mine and Vares Processing Plant, and terminate/connect at the 35 kV incomer switchgear at the Rupice main sub-station. From the new sub-station at Rupice, power is reticulated at 10 kV on buried powerlines to the Rupice Surface Infrastructure.

Water supply

Local water is supplied to all surrounding villages and is provided by a public company owned and operated by the Vares council. Raw water supply the Project mine is from a dedicated utility source provided by the local water company JKP d.o.o. Vares (JKP).

Underground services

Services namely service water, potable water, compressed air, and dewatering are reticulated underground via adequately sized and rated polyethylene piping routed up or down decline ramps or up or down service holes to or from the production levels.

Underground power distribution

The electrical power to underground mining consumers via the underground reticulation is supplied at 10 kV and stepped down via secondary distribution transformers which feed 1 kV underground power distribution centers.

Maximum power demand for the underground mine operation at site supply voltage to support full development and production activities is required to appropriately size and select the electrical infrastructure for the underground mine. A provision of emergency power has been made for the critical underground loads.

APPENDIX



2023 RUPICE MRE UPDATE

The updated Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource estimate was prepared by AMC as set out in Table 1. The effective date is 21 July 2023.

Rupice Mineral Resource estimate, 21 July 2023																	
Deposit(s) Domains			Grades				Contained metal										
	Resource Classification	Resource Tonnes Classification (Mt)	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO4	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO ₄	
				g/t	%	%	g/t	%	%	%	Moz	Kt	Kt	Koz	Kt	kt	Kt
Rupice + RNW	All	Indicated Inferred	18.3 2.8	168 75	4.6 2.4	2.9 1.6	1.3 0.5	0.4 0.2	0.2 0.1	30 13	98.6 6.8	844 69	535 46	742 47	81 7	36 4	5,426 353
	Total	Indicated + Inferred	21.1	156	4.3	2.8	1.2	0.4	0.2	27	105.4	913	581	789	88	39	5,779

Table 1 - Rupice updated 2023 MRE by Classification – Total (using AgEq cut-off of 50 g/t)

Table 2 - Rupice	e updated MRE by	Classification and	Deposit ((using A	AgEq cut-off	of 50 g/t)
------------------	------------------	--------------------	-----------	----------	--------------	------------

	Rupice Mineral Resource estimates, 21 July 2023																
							Grade	es				Contained metal					
Deposit(s)	Domains	Resource Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO ₄	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO ₄
				g/t	%	%	g/t	%	%	%	Moz	Kt	Kt	Koz	Kt	kt Ki	Kt
Rupice	All	Indicated Inferred Total	11.0 1.7 12.8	169 52 153	4.0 1.1 3.6	2.6 0.8 2.3	1.4 0.3 1.3	0.4 0.2 0.4	0.2 0.1 0.2	27 9 25	60.1 2.9 62.9	443 19 462	285 13 298	503 17 520	46 3 48	25 2 27	3,020 154 3,174
RNW	All	Indicated Inferred Total	7.2 1.1 8.3	166 111 159	5.6 4.6 5.4	3.5 3.0 3.4	1.0 0.9 1.0	0.5 0.4 0.5	0.2 0.1 0.2	33 18 31	38.5 3.9 42.5	401 50 452	250 32 283	239 30 269	35 4 39	11 1 13	2,406 199 2,605
	Total	Indicated Inferred	18.3 2.8	168 75	4.6 2.4	2.9 1.6	1.3 0.5	0.4 0.2	0.2 0.1	30 13	98.6 6.8	844 69	535 46	742 47	81 7	36 4	5,426 353

Table 3 - Rupice updated MRE by Classification, and Deposit by Domain (using AgEq cut-off of 50 g/t)

Rupice Mineral Resource estimates, 21 July 2023																	
							Grad	les				Contained metal					
Deposit(s)	Domains	Resource Classification	Tonnes (Mt)	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO₄	Ag	Zn	Pb	Au	Cu	Sb	BaSO ₄
				g/t	%	%	g/t	%	%	%	Moz	Kt	Kt	Koz	Kt	kt	Kt
Bunico	Upper	Indicated	0.4	55	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	6	0.7	4	4	5	1	1	21
кирісе	opper	Total	0.2	62	1.5 1.1	1.0 1.0	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.1	7	1.3	5 7	6	7	0.5 1	1	24 46
		Indicated	10.2	180	4.3	2.7	1.5	0.4	0.2	29	58.8	436	278	495	44	23	2,984
Rupice	Main	Inferred	1.0	50	1.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	11	1.7	12	8	12	2	1	112
		Total	11.2	168	4.0	2.6	1.4	0.4	0.2	28	60.5	448	286	506	46	25	3,097
		Indicated	0.5	35	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	3	0.6	3	2	4	1	1	14
Rupice	Lower	Inferred	0.4	44	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	4	0.6	4	3	3	0.4	0	17
		Total	0.9	39	0.8	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	3	1.2	7	6	7	1	1	31
		Indicated	1.3	75	1.5	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	13	3.1	19	11	9	1	3	161
RNW	Upper	Inferred	0.2	65	1.0	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	10	0.5	2	3	2	0.4	0.3	23
		Total	1.5	74	1.4	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.2	12	3.6	21	14	11	2	3	184
		Indicated	4.5	214	7.4	4.7	1.4	0.6	0.20.1	47	30.8	332	211	199	26	7	2,113
RNW	Main	Inferred	0.4	145	7.9	4.9	1.5	0.5	0.20.1	36	1.9	33	20	20	2	0.5	148
		Total	4.9	209	7.5	4.7	1.4	0.6	0.1	46	32.7	365	231	219	29	7	2,261
		Indicated	1.5	98	3.4	1.9	0.7	0.5	0.1	9	4.7	55	29	31	7	2	2,406
RNW	Lower	Inferred	0.4	105	3.4	2.1	0.5	0.4	0.1	6	1.5	15	9	8	1.6	1	199
		Total	1.9	100	3.4	2.0	0.6	0.4	0.1	8	6.2	66	38	39	9	2	2,605
	Total	Indicated + Inferred	21.1	156	4.3	2.8	1.2	0.4	0.2	27	105.4	913	581	789	88	39	5,779

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



Notes:

- The Mineral Resource estimate has been reported in accordance with the requirements of ASX Listing Rule 5.8 and the JORC Code.
- A cut-off grade of 50 g/t silver equivalent has been applied.
- Silver equivalent (AgEq) –was calculated using conversion factors of 31.1 for Zn, 24.88 for Pb, 80.0 for Au, 1.87 for BaSO4, 80.87 for Cu, 80.87 for Sb, and recoveries of 90% for all elements. Metal prices used were US\$2,500/t for Zn, US\$2,000/t for Pb, US\$150/t for BaSO4, US\$2,000/oz for Au, US\$25/oz for Ag, US\$6,500/t for Sb and US\$6,500 for Cu.
- The applied formula was: AgEq = Ag(g/t) x 90% + 31.1 x Zn(%) x 90% + 24.88 x Pb(%) * 90% + 1.87 x BaSO₄% x 90% + 80 x Au(g/t) x 90% + 80.87 x Sb(%) x 90% + 80.87 x Cu(%) x 90%
- It is the opinion of Adriatic Metals and the Competent Persons that all elements and products included in the metal equivalent formula have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.
- Metallurgical recoveries of 90% have been applied in the metal equivalent formula based on recent and ongoing test work results.
- A bulk density (BD) was calculated for each model cell based on its domain, using regression formulas. For the Main zone: BD = 2.66612 + BaSO₄ x 0.01832 + Pb x 0.03655 Zn x 0.02206 + Cu x 0.09279 for the barite high-grade domain, BD = 2.72748 + BaSO₄ x 0.02116 + Pb * 0.04472 + Zn x 0.01643 Cu x 0.08299 for the barite low-grade domain; and for the NW zone: BD = 2.92581 + BaSO₄ x 0.01509 + Pb x 0.04377 Zn x 0.02123 + Cu x 0.10089 for the barite high-grade domain, BD = 2.74383 + BaSO₄ x 0.01731 + Pb x 0.04573 + Zn x 0.02023 Cu x 0.06041 for the barite low-grade-domain (the barite domains were interpreted using 30% BaSO₄ cut-off).
- Rows and columns may not add up due to rounding.



APPENDIX 1: RUPICE MRE JORC TABLES

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should	Drill core samples were collected from half cut PQ3 and HQ3 diameter core, where the core was sawn exactly in half along a pre-defined cutting line. The half core samples, typically weighing between 4-12kg, were placed into labelled and tagged sample bags prior to dispatch to the SGS Ankara laboratory in Turkey.
	not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	Sample intervals were determined by the geologist, routinely at nominal 1m intervals unless selectively sampled on narrower intervals where geological boundaries exist to a minimum length of 0.2 m. A maximum sample size of 1.2 m is used when sampling to geological contacts.
		Portable XRF is used to confirm sulphides and barite quantities in core. pXRF results are used for indicative purposes only and not as final assay.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Sample intervals were selected by the logging geologist based on geological criteria or using a nominal 1m sample length in homogenous massive sulphide ore. A minimum sample length of 0.2 m is employed where necessary. Sampling is based on visually mineralized intervals, with a calibrated portable XRF device used only as a guide. pXRF is calibrated using standards daily when in use.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to	For drill hole analyses, diamond drilling was used to obtain 4 to 12kg samples, crushed, pulverized and split for Fire Assay (30g charge), ICP-AES and ICP-MS, AAS, XRF and 4-acid digest using external laboratories and certified laboratory methods.
	obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems.	Prior to October 2022, samples were dispatched by dedicated road transport to ALS Bor in Serbia for sample prep, splitting and analysis across several ALS labs (Ireland and Romania).
	Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	From October 2022 core samples were sent to SGS Ankara, Turkey by truck for sample preparation (SGS Code PRP89), gold analysis by 30-gram fire assay with AA finish (SGS code FAA303), base and precious metal as well as multi- element analyses using a 4-Acid Digest with ICP-AES finish (code ICM40B). AAS was used for over-detection limit analysis of base metals.
		Barite was assayed using lithium borate fusion prior to acid dissolution and ICP-MS analysis (SGS code ICP95A). Overlimit Barium (>10%) results were analysed using portable pXRF (SGS code pXRF73C27) and the results above detection limit (50%) sent to SGS Lakefield, Canada by air freight for whole-rock XRF analysis (SGS Code GC_XR76V).
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole	All drill holes were drilled using PQ3 and HQ3 diameter core.
	details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether	All drill holes were drilled by drilling contractor Drillex BH d.o.o., a division of Drillex International.
	core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	PQ3 and HQ3 core was held in a core barrel by a stainless steel "split" inner tube. The use of the inner tube ensured that all core maintained its orientation prior to removal into the core trays. Drill core was stored in suitable core boxes and stacked on the premises of the secure exploration facility in Vares.
		All drill holes were surveyed at 9 m and every 30 m thereafter by a Reflex "Ezy-Track" digital down-hole survey tool to end of 2022. As of 2023, all holes have been surveyed using the Reflex 'Sprint IQ' and 'Omni' on the fly north seeking non-magnetic gyroscopic tools at 5 m intervals in and 10 m out of holes. No significant deviation or drilling problems have been identified.
		Representatives from Reflex have been to drill rigs to calibrate, check and train on correct usage of tools.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	All core was geotechnically logged to verify drillers blocks, record run length, recovered length, core recovery (%) and RQD.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative pature of the samples	





Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary			
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	There is no observed relationship between sample recovery and grade, and no significant loss of core. No sample bias has been identified. Core recoveries are generally >90%			
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	Diamond drill core samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Not all drill holes penetrated the massive sulphide mineralization, but all were used to guide the geological interpretations supporting the Mineral Resource estimates.			
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature.</i> <i>Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	All core is photographed. Core logging is both qualitative and quantitative. Logging records lithology, alteration, structures, veining, sulphide minerals and percentages.			
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	100% of drill core is logged.			
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	Drill core was cut in half using an Almonte automatic diamond core saw. Nominally 1 in 30 samples were cut in quarters, and both halves analysed (for purposes of field duplicates).			
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	Not applicable, as all samples are core.			
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	Collection of around 4-12kg of HQ and PQ half core material with subsequent pulverisation of the total charge provided an appropriate and representative sample for analysis. Generally 4-6kg for HQ core and 6-12kg for PQ.			
		Prior to October 2022, sample preparation was undertaken at the ALS laboratory in Bor, Serbia to industry best practice.			
		From October 2022, sample preparation was undertaken at the SGS Laboratory in Ankara, Turkey to industry best practice.			
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	Whole rock blanks and certified standards (~1 in 15) were introduced to the sample stream as a QAQC check on laboratory processes. Industry best practice was adopted by ALS and SGS for laboratory sub-sampling and the avoidance of any cross contamination. ALS + SGS inserted internal controls and cleaned all sampling equipment with a barren quartz rock every 20 samples. All sample preparation stations and equipment were compressed air cleaned after every sample. A QAQC inspection of ALS (Bor) and SGS (Ankara) facilities was completed in October 2022 by Adriatic Metals (S. Smolonogov) with practices found to be in line with industry best practice.			
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	The half-core sampling is considered a reasonable representation of the in- situ material. Nominally 1 in 30 samples were cut in quarters, and both halves analysed (for purposes of field duplicates). All field duplicate, coarse duplicate and pulp duplicates are reviewed and compared. Standards and Blanks are investigated if over 2SD (2 Standard Deviations) from certified mean and re- assay initiated if over 3SD or as required when over 2SD to validate materials either side of poorly performing blanks or standards. QAQC outcomes are checked on assay receipt by Adriatic Metals and before acceptance into the Database. A dedicated Data Geologist with support from consultants gDAT monitor all received QAQC data as it arrives.			
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Sample size of around 4-12kg is appropriate and found to reasonably represent the material being tested. There is acceptable repeatability of multiple economic elements. 4-6kg for HQ and 6-12kg for PQ.			
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	Prior to October 2022, primary analysis was completed through ALS Laboratories. With Sample preparation as ALS Bor, Serbia with splitting and sending pulps to Loughrea, Ireland and Rosa Montana, Romania.			
		From October 2022, primary sample preparation and analysis was completed by SGS Laboratory in Ankara, Turkey			
		All facilities are industry best practice and ISO certified. Multi elements were assayed by an ICP-AES technique following a four-acid digest. Gold was determined using a fire assay on nominal 30g charges. Barite was determined from a lithium meta-borate fusion followed by dissolution and ICP-AES analysis. Total carbon and sulphur were determined by a Leco analyser.			



Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		All techniques were appropriate for the elements being determined. Use of a 4-Acid digest is a near-total digestion of all minerals present.
		Additional pXRF and whole rock XRF analysis is required to determine accurate concentrations of barium as part of reported assays. Whole rock XRF analysis is completed at Lakefield Canada.
		Initiation of a gravimetric finish was initiated at start of Q2 2023. Gold results \geq 3.00 g/t are re-assayed by fire assay with gravimetric finish at SGS Ankara laboratory.
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	There was no reliance on determination of analysis by geophysical tools. All analyses as reported and used in any calculations are by ISO certified laboratories, (ALS – Bor, Loughrea, Rosa Montana; SGS Ankara), using calibrated, industry standard and recognized methods, QAQC and equipment.
		A Hitachi X-Met 8000 hand-held pXRF analyser is used to rapidly define metal and barite abundance during logging, field mapping and sampling. Results are not used in resource estimates or publicly reported.
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	Certified Reference Materials ("CRM's"), certified blanks, quarter core replicates were used and considered to be appropriate for the elements being analysed. CRM's, blanks, and replicates were added at a rate better than 1 in 15. All results reported by SGS on the CRMs and blanks were within 3 standard deviations (3SD). Where deviations greater than 2SD where noted, investigations were completed and where necessary samples above and below queried Standards and Blanks were re-assayed. To date returned results are considered to be representative of material sampled. A program of 5% of assay pulps are submitted for Umpire lab re-assay. The program is continuous and ongoing as part of QAQC controls in addition to measures already in place.
		ACME Laboratory (Bureau Veritas) in Ankara, Turkey is used as the current independent Umpire Laboratory replicating 5% of pulp duplicate results for QAQC. ACME commenced QAQC work on exploration drilling samples as of 2023. Prior to 2023, the SGS Bor, Serbia assay laboratory has been used as the independent Umpire laboratory for primary samples returned from ALS Bor, Serbia. ALS previously completed primary analysis using multiple facilities with sample preparation at ALS Bor, Serbia; base metals analysis at ALS Loughrea Ireland; gold at ALS Rosa Montana Romania.
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	Significant mineralization is reviewed internally by multiple Senior geological staff, the Vares Project Exploration Manager, and Head of Exploration. Significant intercepts are visually verified daily as core is brought in for logging, included in summary logs, and then cross-checked during detailed logging. Tenor and confirmation of mineralization and barite content is checked by portable XRF (Hitachi X-Met 8000).
		Mineralized intervals are regularly viewed and verified by geosciences qualified and certified investors and analysts. Recent drill core is presented in fully marked-out core boxes and with full assay data provided for correlation with drilled intercepts.
		Independent relogging of select mineralized and non-mineralized drill core has been completed by multiple consultants involved in technical studies including Elizabeth Thompson (Structural Consultant – Transition Elements), Joe Crummy (ARD Consultant – JC Consulting), Joe Burke (Geotechnical Consultant – Avoca Geotechnical) and others.
	The use of twinned holes.	Several twinned holes have been completed, with separation between holes reduced to within 15 m.
		Several cross-holes have also been drilled from adjacent drill platforms, passing through the trace of previous holes and at near right angle cutting previously intercepted mineralization. Confirming position, grade, and thickness.
		In general, holes completed are part of tight 'drill fans' with separation of holes between fans of 25 m to 30 m with respect to targeted ore zones. Separation distances are <25 m between holes closer to surface and the collars of fan holes drilled from the same drill platform.
		In 2023 in areas referred to as the Rupice Northwest Western Zone, and Rupice Northwest Lower Zone, hole spacings have been reduced to nominally



Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		<20 m between mineralized intercepts. This is due to the increased folding and faulting seen in these areas requiring closer spaced drilling to resolve geology.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Data are stored in a Cloud Server with server back-ups at various locations including Vares, Bosnia & Herzegovina and Cheltenham, UK. The data and databases are managed by consultants gDat Data Solutions in an acQuire database. The acQuire database is regularly backed-up. There is a dedicated Data Geologist and a Junior Data Geologist within Exploration managing and ensuring the QAQC of all daily geological inputs and outputs from the database and various software (downhole survey, surface survey, audits, drilling data, logging, sampling, sample dispatch, assaying and assay QAQC). gDat interfaces daily with the site Data Geologists.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments were necessary.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	Sampling sites were surveyed using Total Station to better than 0.05 m accuracy in the local BiH coordinate system. A Reflex TN4 north seeking, gyroscopic rig alignment tool was used as of 2023 for precision alignment of holes at the collar. The TN14 is mounted on the rod string with preset mast dip and hole azimuth referenced to grid north converted from UTM. Mast and rig are moved till TN14 reads that the rod string is aligned to set dip and direction. The TN14 can also be used in place of the Total Station or as a check of the Total Station collar set-up survey accuracy.
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid system used MGI 1901 / Balkans Zone 6.
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	The topographic surface of the immediate area was generated from a LiDAR survey to an accuracy of approximately 0.05 m. It is considered sufficiently accurate for the Company's current activities. All drill collars have been compared to the LiDAR surface and physically validated where discrepancies in elevation or position where noted. Validation has been periodically required in mountainous terrain where holes post-date LiDAR and earthworks have been completed to establish drill pads.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Drill hole spacing does not exceed 50 m which is considered acceptable for reporting exploration results. The nominal drill spacing is on 40 m spaced sections. The primary method of drilling is to complete holes from a single drill platform in mountainous terrain. Holes are drilled as part of a 'fan' of holes. Design of holes aims to achieve a nominal 25 m to 30 m separation between mineralized zones to achieve either an Inferred or Indicated level of exploration confidence.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	Drill hole spacing is deemed sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource classification to be applied. The data spacing is suitable for a stratabound, continuous style of polymetallic mineralization with minimal structural disturbance or remobilisation. Where structural complexity is noted (RNW Western and Lower Zones), drill
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	hole spacing is reduced to ≤ 20 m. Sample compositing was not applied. Currently reported results are on a nominal 1m spacing unless samples have been character sampled or extended to visual contacts. Minimum sample size is 0.2 m and maximum is 1.2 m unless there has been low sample recovery.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Drill holes have been drilled at dips of between -45 to -90° from surface. The mineralized body is generally shallow dipping to the NE and plunging to the NW at angles of 30 to 40 degrees. Current drilling intersects mineralization at generally a high oblique angle.
		New drilling in the RNW Lower Zone has seen mineralization approach subvertical angles. Drilling in these areas has been at right angles to steep mineralization and from 45 to 60 degrees allowing multiple holes to transect steeper mineralization over a vertical elevation spread of holes.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	It is not considered that the drilling orientation has introduced a sampling bias, as the drilling is considered to be orthogonal to the stratabound mineralization, or close to it.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Chain of Custody of digital data is managed by the Company. Physical material was stored on site and, when necessary, delivered to the assay



Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		laboratory. Thereafter laboratory samples were controlled by the nominated laboratory. All sample collection was controlled by digital sample control file(s) and hard-copy ticket books.
		Transfer of samples to laboratories is by a dedicated enclosed commercial truck. No other freight is included with shipments. Weigh-bills are used as are multiple customs declarations. Dispatched samples have sample tickets included, are referenced to a pre-dispatch sample submission sheet, and are cross-checked on receipt at laboratory. To date no discrepancies, sample loss or tampering with samples has been recorded.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	Laboratory reviews of SGS Ankara, Turkey; ALS Bor Serbia; SGS Bor, Serbia; ACME (Bureau Veritas) Ankara, Turkey were completed by Sergei Smolonogov (MAIG, RPGEO), Head of Exploration of Adriatic Metals, in October 2022 and SGS + ACME Lab in Ankara in February 2023. There were no material issues found. Items for laboratory improvement were noted but were not considered material to sample QAQC outcomes. As a result of Adriatic Metals audit, SGS Ankara has renovated and installed vacuum dust extraction enclosed workstations (crushers, pulverisers, splitters) to reduce sample contamination risks in sample preparation. Changes effective as of February 2023.

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	The Rupice deposit is located within the Company's 100% owned Concession, No. 04-18- 21389-1/13, located 13km west of Vares in Bosnia. There are no known material issues with any third-party other than normal royalties due to the State.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	The Concession is in good standing with the governing authority and there is no known impediment to the Concession remaining in force until 2038 (25 years), subject to meeting all necessary reporting requirements.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Modern exploration commenced with the work of Energoinvest in the late 1960s. During 1968-1969 underground development of 455 m of drives and cross cuts were made, and 11 surface trenches dug for a total length of 93.5 m. Between 1980 and 1989, 49 holes were drilled for a total of 5,690.8 m. Sample material from all these programs was routinely analysed for lead, zinc, and barite, and on occasion silver and gold. The deposit was the subject of several reserve estimates in the 1980s. This work is documented in many reports which are certified by those geoscientists and Institutes that undertook the work. The work is considered of a standard equal to that found within today's exploration industry.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The host rocks at Rupice comprise Middle Triassic limestone, dolostone, calcareous and dolomitic marl, and a range of mostly fine-grained siliciclastic rocks including cherty mudstone, mudstone, siltstone, and fine-grained sandstone. The main mineralized horizon is a brecciated dolomitic unit that dips at around 50° to the northeast and has been preferentially mineralized with base, precious and transitional metals. The Triassic and Jurassic sequences have been deformed by early-stage ductile shearing and late-stage brittle faulting.
		The Rupice polymetallic mineralization consists of sphalerite, galena, barite and chalcopyrite with gold, silver, tetrahedrite, boulangerite and bournonite, with pyrite. Most of the high-grade mineralization is hosted within a brecciated dolomitic unit, which is interpreted to be cross-cut by northwest striking, westerly dipping syn-post mineral faulting. Thickening of the central portion of the deposit occurs in an area of structural complexity. Mineralized widths of up to 65 m true thickness are seen in the central portion of the deposit.
		To date, the massive sulphide mineralization at Rupice has a defined strike length of 650 m, with an average true-width thickness of around 20 m. However, recent drilling northwest of Rupice has intercepted a massive sulphide body referred to as Rupice Northwest (RNW). RNW is not connected to Rupice mineralization. RNW is at a stratigraphically lower level (footwall of Lower GYD unit) than Rupice (hanging-wall of Lower GYD unit) and is interpreted to overlap but not connect with Rupice through the area referred to as the 'Gap'.



Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		RNW currently has a strike extent of approximately 250 m with mineralization remaining open in most directions. The RNW mineralization appears mostly not impacted by deformation at the scale of drilling and compared to Rupice is a continuous tabular stratabound mineralized body. Multiple mineralized intercepts at RNW have true thicknesses of over 40 m along the centre axis of mineralization. Mineralization away from the central NW-SE strike axis tapers away at the margins to <1.00 m true thickness. This can be 60 m to 80 m away and either side from the strike axis centre line. The up-dip extent of RNW has not yet been closed-off, therefore a true SW-NE width of mineralization appears to be thickening and widening on the last sections drilled. To the SE and closest to Rupice, mineralization is still continuous, and has a thickness of up to 20 m. On the sections drilled to date, RNW is only closed on the NE side where it rapidly tapers out with the absence of the overlying GYD unit.
		zone below massive and semi-massive sulphides is pervasively silica-sericite altered with fine disseminated sulphides throughout and crosscut by base metal stringer zones and mineralized faults / shears. This alteration zone can extend 20 m to 30 m below massive and semi-massive sulphides. Overall, the footwall zone appears enriched in zinc.
		On the hanging wall of Rupice NW there is a pyrite rich, low barite, high base metal content horizon of mineralization referred to as the Upper Zone. It is approximately 90 m to 100 m vertically above Rupice NW. It appears to be a mineralized zone occurring as matrix within a dolomite breccia. The mineralized Upper Zone marks the transition from Jurassic into mineralized Triassic sediments and generally occurs at the base of a major thrust zone and what is referred to as the Upper GYD unit.
Drill hole information	ill hole A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole downhole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	For the 2023 MRE (Rupice and RNW), a total of 287 diamond drill holes for a total of 76,935 m define the current limits of the known mineralization. Up to mid-2022, the deposit was drilled and sampled using diamond drill holes on a nominal 20 m by 20 m spacing. As of mid-2022 to May 2023, the drill hole spacing was widened to a 40 m x 30 m spacing across RNW reflecting the robust continuity of the stratabound mineralization along and across strike. Drilling defined a combined Rupice and RNW mineralized system having a strike length of >900 m and an across-strike width of >350 m.
		The Rupice portion of the 2023 Mineral Resource estimate includes a total of 207 diamond drill holes comprising holes drilled prior to Adriatic Metals (49), and the Company's drilling programs in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022 for a total of 51,228 m to define the current limits of known Rupice mineralization. The deposit was drilled and sampled using diamond drill holes on a nominal 20 m by 20 m spacing up to the end of 2022. Drilling defined the Rupice deposit to have a strike length of >600 m and an across-strike width of >350 m.
		The RNW portion of the 2023 MRE includes a total of 80 diamond drill holes from the Company's drilling programs in 2021, 2022, and 2023 for a total of 25,708 m to define the current limits of the known RNW mineralization. Up to Mid-2022, the deposit was drilled and sampled using diamond drill holes on a nominal spacing of 40 m by 20 m. From mid-2022 to end of May 2023 the drill hole spacing was widened to a 40 m by 30 m spacing. The widening of the drill spacing was in response to the RNW deposit being spatially continuous over its >300 m strike length and having a >260 m across-strike width.
		Since the last resource estimation in September 2020, the drilling program added 120 new drill holes and 38,800 m.
		No drilling details or assays are presented in this release as all have been publicly reported to date. The last drill hole completed and included in the 2023 July Resource is BR-29A-23.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	No data aggregation methods were applied. Reported Mineral Resource Estimates are calculated by applying a lower cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq (see notes below Tables 1 to 3 in body of announcement for assumptions for AgEq calculations).
		There is no individual element high-grade capping.
		September 2020 were applied. Metallurgical test work has been completed on the Rupice deposit and test work is in progress for the RNW deposit.
		Given the style of mineralization, mineralogy, and grade of mineralization at RNW is similar to Rupice, the same metallurgical assumptions are applied till results are returned from RNW test work.



Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		A maximum internal dilution of 5 m is allowed within mineralized wireframes. A top-cut was not applied.
		Previously reported significant intercepts were reported as weighted averages.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	Where significant assays have been previously reported, short intervals of significant high-grade are defined where results report as \geq 600 g/t AgEq. This applies down to a minimum 1 m interval. Where there are significant intercepts >5 m, a maximum internal dilution of 5 m can be applied.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	Metal equivalent explanations are described in the body of the text.
Relationship between mineralisation	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Exploration results used in the 2023 July Rupice Resource update have previously been reported. Drill holes have generally intercepted flat to shallow dipping mineralization orthogonally. Drill holes have had dips ranging from 45° to 90°.
widths and intercept lengths	<i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i>	The majority of the high-grade Rupice mineralization is hosted within a brecciated dolomitic unit. Thickening of the central portion of the deposit occurs in an area of interpreted local folding and deformation. Mineralized widths up to 65 m true thickness are seen in the central portion of the deposit.
		To date, the massive sulphide mineralization at Rupice has a defined strike length of 650 m with an average true-width thickness of around 20 m. However, mineralization at Rupice still remains open along strike to the NW, SE, up-dip, and down-dip.
		Recent drilling by Adriatic Metals BH was mostly inclined at between -55° and -67° to the southwest, perpendicular to the deposit strike, and intersected the mineralization at near orthogonal.
		Similarly for RNW, drilling at -45 to -90 degrees has intersected mineralization at a high angle to mineralization dipping to the NE and plunging to the NW from 30 to 40 degrees.
	If it is not known and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'downhole length, true width not known').	Only downhole lengths have been reported in previous Exploration releases from 2022 and 2023.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Relevant maps and diagrams are included in the body of the report.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high- grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	Not applicable. All mineralized incepts are being reported as part of 2023 July Rupice MRE Update.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	No substantive exploration data not already mentioned in the report has been used in the preparation of the Mineral Resource estimate.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth	Further drilling will be undertaken in 2023 for mineralization along strike, and up and down dip. dependent on exploration success and funding.
	extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Adriatic Metals has committed to fully defining RNW within its exploration tenement to maintain an updated Rupice MRE with continued growth of RNW included. Drilling will continue on a 40 m section spacing, with mineralization pierce points nominally 30 m between hole intercepts. Fan drilling from a single drill platform per section will be used to intersect the majority of mineralization on sections. Additional drill platforms will be constructed where a single fan cannot fully drill out a section.
		Further work on RNW will focus on completing infill drilling to an Indicated level of resource risk, extending mineralization south-westward, south-eastward and once land access is secured, to the northwest beyond the current Rupice Exploitation License.



Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		Drilling at Rupice will also continue to step-out from mineralized areas left open by previous drilling. This is dominantly in the southwestern up-dip direction along the strike extent of Rupice.		
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Diagrams have been included in the body of this report. Specific diagrams highlighting areas of future Rupice and RNW growth potential are included in Adriatic Metals Exploration Update Announcements from January, February, April, June, and July 2023.		

Section 3: Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	Data used in the MRE was provided from a validated Micromine database, which in turn was sourced from a validated acQuire database prepared by Adriatic Metals and hosted on the company SQL server. QAQC routines were employed to confirm the validity of data. Data entry was also checked using set procedures e.g., drill hole ID verification, overlapping intervals, overlength of intervals. All files (<i>collar, survey, geology, assay, recovery, geotechnical, density, logging</i>) were validated to ensure they were populated with the correct original data.
		Assay data QAQC included checking every field in the data table for agreement between received data and sample submission sheets. Strict control of incoming data formats for compliance with SQL data requirements was in place.
		Using the acQuire Data Management system, there is a strict prescriptive workflow process requiring only registered users to be able to enter data in preset formats. All data is validated by the user before being able to be checked in. No non-conforming data passes validation. acQuire restricts the ability to change / modify data by user level / authority. Changes to the database at SQL level is restricted to Database management staff.
		collar, downhole survey, orientation, and logging data are stored in the virtual server cloud distributed between servers located in Vares, BiH and Cheltenham, UK.
		The Database is managed by the Adriatic Metals dedicated Data Geologist. A position dedicated to Data Management within the Exploration Department. The Data Geologist works with the Adriatic Metals IT department to ensure security and accessibility to serverbased data. gDat Data Solutions consultants are retained to assist in managing and maintaining the acQuire database. The Database is updated as new data becomes available. Back-ups are generated daily and kept on BiH and UK cloud servers.
	Data validation procedures used.	Data validation starts with the use of templates for logging core to ensure the correct capture of data. There is flexibility to adapt and change the data entry templates. Change can only be made by an authorized level acQuire user.
		Data is transferred directly to the SQL server in real-time as being inputted. Data is checked for double entry across columns, logged intervals against final depth, correct EOH depth, correct downhole survey coordinates, correct collar survey coordinates, no overlapping logged or surveyed intervals, no sampling or logging intervals exceed the actual EOH depth.
		Incoming assay data is checked for correct format before importing. Checks include usage of acceptable and agreed characters, headers, and columns. Initial imports are in text and csv formats. Checks are made before importing data that there is no risk of overwriting or duplication of sample and assay data. Additional checks are performed to ensure that automatic calculation fields are not corrupted and are correctly translating results e.g., ppm in %, conversion of BaO to BaSO4%.
		The database is updated in a streaming format as the work is completed. The method allows for checking of the data transfer process and detection / avoidance of bulk load errors.
		The following specific error checks are carried out:
		Missing collar coordinates.
		Missing values in fields FROM and TO.
		 Cases when FROM values equal or exceed TO ones (FROM≥TO).
		• Data availability. The data availability is checked for each drill hole in the tables to spot



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		missing: collar coordinates, sampling data, downhole survey data, lithological characteristics.		
		Duplicate drill hole numbers in the table of the drill hole collar coordinates.		
		Duplicate sampling intervals.		
		Duplicate downhole measurement data.		
		Duplicate intervals of the lithological column.		
		Sample "overlapping" (when the sample TO value exceeds FROM value of the next sample).		
		Negative-grade samples.		
		Drill hole data is always verified against source documentation.		
		Surveyed drill holes are verified visually for consistency.		
		Survey data is checked visually for deviations in drilling angles and direction.		
		The Competent Person is satisfied that database integrity is appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.		
Site visits	<i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i>	Sergei Smolonogov (RPGeo) is based on-site in Vares and is responsible for planning and implementation of the recent drilling programs, overseeing the preparation of the samples and their dispatch to the various laboratories. Mr. Smolonogov assumes responsibility for the data components, QAQC and geological interpretation. Dmitry Pertel (AMC Consultants Pty Ltd) assumes responsibility for the grade interpolation and reporting of the Mineral Resource estimate and has previously completed a site visit.		
	If no site visits have been undertaken, indicate why this is the case.	A site visit has been undertaken by Dmitry Pertel in July 2019.		
Geological interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.	Sufficient drilling has been conducted to reasonably interpret the geology and the polymetallic mineralization. The mineralization is traceable between numerous drill holes and drill sections.		
		Specialists in structural geology (site visit), litho-geochemistry (remote), regional geology (site visit), ARD (site visit) and geotechnical (site visit) have been involved in reviewing and assessing collected data either through site visits or through remote review of digital data, database, assay, and core photos. Check mapping has been completed by specialists and drilled collar locations verified.		
		Interpretation of the deposit was based on the current understanding of the deposit geology and distribution of mineralization grade domains. Each cross section generally spaced 20-30 m apart was displayed in Micromine software together with drill hole traces color-coded according to grade values. The interpretation honoured the interpretation of the main geological elements. The mineralization for Rupice and RNW was interpreted and modelled using core logging data, and with reference to geological data. Construction of a 3D geological model and a 3D sulphide model in Micromine assisted in both confirming and bringing together the geological information into a coherent and realistic interpretation tied to observed fact from mapping, drill core and assay.		
		High-Grade and Low-Grade geological cut-off grades for 3D solid modelling were established using classical statistical analysis (table below). All the major economic elements were wireframed independently – Ag, Au, Pb, Zn, Cu, $BaSO_4$		
		RNW Deposit		
		Low-grade High-grade Zn 0.3 2 Pb 0.25 1.5 BaSO ₄ 5 30 Au 0.4 1 Ag 30 200 Cu 0.1 1.1		
		MAIN		
		Element Grade domains		
		Zn 0.25 2.7		
		Pb 0.3 1.1		
		BaSU ₄ 9 30 Au 0.4 1.3		
		Ag 50 -		
		Cu 0.13 1.3		



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
	Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	Geological logging in conjunction with assays and dynamic 3D modelling has been used to interpret mineralization. Sample lengths of 0.2 m to 1.2 m were allowed to honour discrete coherent geological / mineralization intervals. This assisted in establishing precise geological and grade boundaries.	
		The average length of sampled intervals within all interpreted mineralized intervals in the final estimation is 1.01m for RNW and 1.5 m for Rupice Main (considering historical data). The Rupice deposit was historically sampled in 2 m intervals, selectively, and generally only where there was visible sulphide mineralization and 10 m above and below the main mineralized zone regardless of visible sulphides. This methodology was updated as of 2022 to nominal 1m sampling of all cores once through the Jurassic cover sequence and into the Triassic mineralization host sequence. The average sample interval for Rupice reflects the historical wider 2 m sampling.	
		RNW has been sampled in 2022 and 2023 in 1m intervals through the complete mineralized Triassic sequence. As a result, there has been a gain in hanging-wall and footwall mineralization to the main RNW deposit as reported in the 2023 July Resource estimate.	
		Note: Most of the Jurassic cover sequence over Rupice and RNW has not been sampled as early assayed drilling identified the Jurassic cover sequence as being unmineralized. There are no visible sulphides in the Jurassic cover sequence lithologies.	
	The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	Alternative interpretations are likely to materially impact on the MRE on a local, but not global basis.	
		The 2020 Rupice MRE invoked two major steep faults with major offsets to explain the thicker mineralization at Rupice. Additional drilling, geotechnical assessments, review of core and development of two underground portal declines did not identify the interpreted structures. Review of drilling data (core and core photos) linked with 3D modelling and knowledge of the developing structural architecture controlling mineralization at RNW indicated that mineralization thickening and continuity is more likely influenced by flatter thrust related structures. This has resulted in a more continuous and simplified Rupice mineralization model without major global impact on the resource.	
		RNW was treated as a separate geological entity. Geology and structure were developed in parallel. RNW was not part of the 2020 Rupice MRE. On the scale of drilling, there is lithological and mineralization continuity not seen at Rupice. No steep structures are defined within the areas included in the current 2023 July Rupice Resource in the areas of RNW. Structures are present and are interpreted to be flat, bedding sub-parallel and reflected as shearing about the mineralization hanging-wall and footwall contacts. It is interpreted that a steep late-stage structure is likely further west of the current resource model. This has not at the time of the 2023 July Rupice resource been substantiated with data available to the resource model.	
		Folding and low angle structures are considered mechanisms for localizing thicker areas of mineralization, versus steep fault offsets.	
	<i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Geological logging, 3D geological model and 3D massive sulphide model in conjunction with assays and results of the statistical analysis have been used to interpret the mineralization. Available historical maps and sections have been used to guide interpretation near surface.	
		Up to 5 m of internal waste is included into interpreted mineralized bodies of sufficient width to carry proportional amounts of internal waste. Where there is greater than 5 m of internal waste, a separate mineralization solid is created.	
		There is a high correlation between interpreted logged sulphide mineralization and mineralized zones defined by assay. There is a 98.7% correlation between logged sulphides and returned assays. Variation occurs in areas of low-grade generally on the hanging-wall and footwall of significantly mineralized zones. These are generally areas of strong alteration, disseminated and stringer sulphide occurrence. Accurate estimation of sulphide percent is linked to logger experience. Use of a pXRF has reduced the uncertainty.	
	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	Continuity is affected by the nature of the host rocks, interpreted deformation (faults and shears) and drill hole coverage.	
		As an example, the distribution of significant mineralization is localized either on the hanging-wall or the footwall of a thick hematite altered interbedded chert, sandstone and tuff rich unit logged as the GYD. The GYD itself is unmineralized. There is an Upper and Lower GYD unit. Mineralization rapidly lenses out in the absence of the GYD unit. The GYD is present at both Rupice and RNW.	
		The Competent Person is satisfied that the geological interpretation is appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.	
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or	Drilling has defined a combined Rupice and RNW mineralized system having a strike length of >900 m and an across-strike width of >350 m.	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
	otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	The Rupice deposit has a strike length of >600 m and an across-strike width of 300 m to 350 m. The true thickness of mineralization is from a few metres to 65 m. Mineralization is from surface to a depth of 380 m below surface. The mineralization axis strikes to the Northwest and dips 35° to 45° to the Northeast. RNW deposit being spatially continuous over its >300 m strike length and having a 260 m to 350 m across-strike width. The true thickness of mineralization is from a few metres to 55 m. Mineralization is from 78 m to a depth of 340 m below surface. The mineralization axis strikes to the Northwest and dips 35° to 40° to 40° to the Northeast.		
		The Competent Person is satisfied that the dimensions interpreted are appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.		
Estimation and modelling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.	The Mineral Resource estimate was based on surface diamond drill core using ordinal kriging (OK) to form $5x5x5$ m blocks. The block model was constrained by wirefram modelled based on geology using sectional interpretation. Additional wireframing for each element for the high-grade domains within these geological wireframes (except for As Sb and Hg) was completed. Sulphur grades were domained at 10% S using grade indicate The applied cut-off grades for high-grade domains were: Element HG cut-offs Main NW $2n, %$ 2.7 2.0 $Pb, %$ $Pb, %$ 1.1 $BasSo_{4x}, %$ 30 $Au, g/t$ 1.3 1.3 1.0 $Ag, g/t$ $ 200$ $Cu, %$ $Cu, %$ 1.3 Ard boundaries were used between mineralized lenses at each domain. The drill hole d were composited to a target length of 2 m at the Rupice Main zone and of 1m at Rup Northwest zone based on the length analysis of raw intercepts. Geostatistical analysis was completed for all elements, and averaged long ranges we employed to justify the search ellipse – 160m along strike, 122m down dip and 33m acridip. Interpolation parameters were: Search pass 1: 1/3 of the variogram log ranges (53m by 40m by 11m). Minimum samp number - 3, minimum holes - 2, maximum samples number - 16. Search pass 2: 2/3 of the variogram log ranges (107m by 80m by 22m). Minimum samp number - 3, minimum holes - 2, maximum samples numb		
		Classical statistical analysis was used to identify grade domains for all main modelled elements. The Competent Person is satisfied that estimation and modelling techniques are appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.		
	<i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the MRE takes appropriate account of such data.</i>	Previous Mineral Resources estimates were reported July 2019 and in September 2020. The July 2023 MRE is approximately 75% higher in tonnage with almost the same global silver equivalent grades relative to the September 2020 MRE. The material change to the MRE has been the addition of the RNW deposit increasing MRE tonnages and grades.		
	<i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i>	The Rupice deposit is a silver-gold-zinc-lead-barite deposit. Historical mining and beneficiation over a four-year period have shown that a conventional sulphide flotation method is a suitable recovery method. Metallurgical test work on the Rupice deposit has been completed and included in the Rupice DFS and Reserve. Test work confirms a flotation process is suitable for Rupice ore.		
		The RNW deposit is considered an analogue of the Rupice deposit in terms of grades, mineralogy, depositional environment, internal waste, host rock and controls on mineralization. Rupice recovery values and metallurgical characteristics are extrapolated to apply to RNW for the 2023 July MRE. A metallurgical test work program has been initiated to confirm RNW metallurgical performance equivalence to Rupice.		



3	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
	<i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i>	As, Sb, S and OK i	and Hg ha nterpolatio	ve been on meth	i estimateo iod.	d in the r	nodel using their own semi-variogram models
	In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.	The aver 5x5 m(geostatis	The average exploration drilling spacing was 20x20 m. The selected parent cell size was 5x5 m (quarter the exploration density). The search was based on the results of geostatistical analysis with average for all elements long ranges of 160x122x33m.				
	Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.	No assur deposit i reflects S	No assumptions were made for selective mining unit, apart from the assumption that the deposit is to be mined by underground method and that 5x5 m parent cell approximately reflects SMU for underground mining.				
	<i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i>	Correlati or negat stands o from 40	Correlation matrices were calculated for each zone and the indicators of significant positive or negative correlation (in this case 30%) were highlighted. The Rupice Main zone clearly stands out. The basic modelled elements for Rupice have correlation coefficient ranges from 40 (Ag and Cu) to 93 (Pb and Zn).				
		There are 59%; Cd correlate correlate correlate	There are strong correlations with Sb, Cd and Hg. The Sb correlation varies from 43% to 59%; Cd from 52% to 97% to Zn and 93% to Pb. The correlation of Sb to Cd is 56%. Cd also correlates with As (34%), and Mo (47%). Sb correlates with Hg (42%) and Mo (43%). He correlates with Cu (31%) and Ag (51%). As correlates with Zn (35%) and Cu (56%). The correlations suggest the possibility of multiple mineralizing events.				
		Correlati	ons appea	r similaı	r for RNW	mineral	ization / elements to Rupice.
		Correlation between bulk density and the main elements (BaSO ₄ , Pb, Zn and Cu) was use to calculate bulk density separately for high-grade barite domain and for the mater outside of the barite high-grade domain.				ain elements (BaSO₄, Pb, Zn and Cu) was used h-grade barite domain and for the material	
	Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.	The geological interpretation of the mineralized zone was based on geological logging o drill core with cross-checking against assay data.				zed zone was based on geological logging of lata.	
		The position of lithological units was considered in the modelling. The geological model was updated faster than the mineralization model allowing the geological model to guide the mineralization model based on visual sulphides and sulphide percent. The mineralization model was then calibrated against received assays.					
		 High-grade domains for each element were modelled individually, except for As, Hg, which did not demonstrate mixed grade populations within the modelled mine zone. Sulphur grades demonstrated mixed population with the boundary of 109 grade indicator approach was selected and used to model sulphur grades. Statistical analysis was carried out for each element and each domain. It was foun histograms and probability plots did not demonstrate any apparent mixed popul within the limits of corresponding modelled domains. Top-cuts were identifie applied as shown in the table below: 			modelled individually, except for As, Sb and populations within the modelled mineralized population with the boundary of 10%, thus used to model sulphur grades.		
	<i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i>				element and each domain. It was found that emonstrate any apparent mixed populations led domains. Top-cuts were identified and		
		Element	Low grade	domain	High grade	e domain	
		7n. %	Low grade	0.91	High grade	0.74	
		Pb, %	3.70	0.66	-	0.90	
		BaSO ₄ , %	50.00	0.57	-	0.28	
		Au, g/t Ag, a/t	4.00	0.55	- N/A	0.63	
		Cu, %	-	0.72	-	0.55	
		Sb, %	-	1.46	N/A	-	
		Hg, %	0.93	1.55	N/A	-	
		s, % Northw	35.70 est zone:	0.80	N/A	-	
		Element.	Low grade	domain	High grade	domain	
		ciement	Low grade	COV	High grade	COV	
		Zn, %	5.47	1.00	-	0.78	
		PD, % BaSO4. %	5.00	0.85	-	0.8/	
		Au, g/t	2.30	0.43	-	1.00	
		Ag, g/t	-	0.69	3000	0.80	
		Cu, % Sb, %	- 3,80	0.96	- N/A	0.63	
		As, %	0.92	1.90	N/A	-	
		Hg, %	-	2.03	N/A	-	
		3, /0	1 30.30	0.00	IN/A	-	
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	Grade es underlyi	timation w ng data, ar	vas valid nd swatł	lated using n plots.	g visual i	nspection of interpolated block grades versus

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		Swath plots demonstrated reasonable correlation of modelled grades with the sample composites.	
		The Competent Person is satisfied that estimation and modelling techniques are appropriate to support Mineral Resource estimation.	
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	The tonnages were estimated on an in-situ dry bulk density basis which includes natural moisture. Moisture content was not estimated.	
Cut-off parameters	<i>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i>	The reporting cut-off grade of 50 g/t silver equivalent was supported by estimation of marginal cut-off for underground mining using input economic parameters and criteria. The Competent Person is satisfied that cut-off parameters were appropriately considered, to support Mineral Resource estimation.	
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	 A DFS and Reserve estimate was completed in 2021 showing that there are reasonable prospects for the eventual economic extraction of the mineralization. The DFS supported the deposit is to be developed by underground mining method(s). Input parameters were provided by the Company as being typical for the commodity, mining method and costs for a Balkan silver-lead-zinc mining operation. The Rupice deposit has reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction as: Metallurgical test work by WAI has confirmed that Rupice mineralization is amenable to flotation processes. Metallurgical test work has confirmed that a barite concentrate would meet API specifications. A marketing study by a leading consultant in the field of barite confirmed that there is an opportunity to enter the market as a niche player leveraging logistical advantages for a supplier in Bosnia & Herzegovina. The cut-off grade adopted for reporting (50 g/t Ag equivalent) is considered reasonable given the Mineral Resource will be exploited by underground mining methods and potentially processed using flotation techniques or as a direct shipping product for massive ores. The Vares Project DFS study (August 2021) demonstrated that the deposit has a positive post-tax net present value (NPV) of USD 1,062M; a post-tax internal rate of return (IRR) of 134%; a payback period of 0.7 years; and that the mineralized zone is mineable using underground methods under the given economic scenario and parameters. The Vares Project is fully funded. Concentrate off-take agreements and transport logistics have been negotiated. Adriatic Metals has secure long-term tenure across all tenements. All permits and licenses from the Bosnian and Herzegovinian Government (Federal, State, Cantonal) are in good standing and as required to mine and produce base and precious metals concentrates. Two underground declines and underground development are in progress. First o	
Metallurgical	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always	as of Q1 2024. Several flotation tests were completed on Rupice and Veovača (nearby deposit) bulk samples. Results indicate there is notential to produce Zn. Ph/Cu and barite concentrates	
assumptions	necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical	via flotation processes, with good recoveries of all constituents. The test work also indicates that a barite product that meets market specification requirements of purity, specific gravity, and fineness of particle size can be achieved, which meets the requirements of Clause 49 of the JORC Code. Metallurgical test work on the RNW deposit is in progress with results at time of 2023 July Rupice MRE not available. Rupice metallurgical recoveries are used in the 2023 July Rupice MRE for RNW based on analogous mineralization styles, overlapping mineralization, same mineralogy, equivalent grade ranges, same lithologies, same alteration, similar controls on mineralization. Metallurgical test work on RNW is designed to validate the mineralization.	
assumptions made.		being equivalent to Rupice.	





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		A processing plant is under construction and will treat both Rupice and RNW mineralization. Recoveries for Rupice and RNW used in this MRE are as per the 2020 September Rupice MRE to ensure accurate comparison between estimates.	
		The Competent Person is satisfied that metallurgical factors and assumptions were appropriately considered, to support Mineral Resource estimation.	
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining	The area of the current 2023 July Rupice MRE, including RNW is within a Bosnian and Herzegovinian approved and granted Mining Exploitation License. Underground development is currently in progress.	
	reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfield project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	Environmental and groundwater management plans have been submitted, approved and permitted. Current surface and underground development are proceeding with all Environmental, Social, Governmental, Permitting issues addressed since last MRE update in September 2020.	
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	Bulk densities were determined on drill core every 1 or 2 m in ore and every 5 m in waste. At total of 7,831 determinations for Rupice, and 5,460 determinations for RNW were used to calculate regression formulas using barite, lead zinc and copper grades vs bulk density separately for high-grade and low-grade barite domains, and separately for Rupice Main and Rupice Northwest zones.	
	The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.	Bulk density determinations adopted the weight in air / weight in water method using a suspended or hanging scale. First the core billet was accurately weighed dry ("in air"), the core billet was removed, and the wire cage fully submerged in water and its tare set to "zero" mass. The billet of core was then fully submerged and weighed ("weight in water"). The bulk density is calculated by the formula BD = Md / Md – Mw, where Md = weight in air and Mw = weight in water.	
	Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	No assumptions were made for Bulk Density. The Competent Person is satisfied that density was appropriately considered, to support Mineral Resource estimation.	
Classification	<i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i>	Resource classification was based on confidence in the QAQC data analysis, geological interpretation, drill spacing, geostatistical measures, a visual evaluation of cross sections and drill density, and manual interpretation of resource categories. The interpretect boundaries between categories were wireframed and used to code the block models Generally, the Indicated category was assigned to the areas with reasonable continuity of mineralized lodes based on 20x20 m and 40x40 m exploration drilling. All other blocks were classified as Inferred. No blocks were classified as Measured.	
	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e., relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	The classification has taken into account all available geological and sampling information as well as the structural information, and the classification level is considered appropriate for the current stage of this project.	
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	The Mineral Resource estimate appropriately reflects the view of the Competent Person. The Competent Person is satisfied that classification of this Mineral Resource estimate appropriately reflects the data and interpreted geological controls on mineralization.	
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of MREs.	The current model has not been audited by an independent third-party but has been subject to AMC's internal peer review processes.	
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the MRE using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of	 Industry standard modelling techniques were used, including but not limited to: Statistical analysis, cut-offs selection. Interpretation and wireframing. Top-cutting and interval compositing. Geostatistical analysis. Block modelling and grade interpolation techniques. Model classification, validation and reporting. 	



(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		The relative accuracy of the Mineral Resource estimate is reflected in the reporting of the Mineral Resource to an Indicated and Inferred classification as per the guidelines of the 2012 JORC Code.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used	The statement refers to global estimation of tonnes and grade and is suitable for use in reserve conversion studies and further exploration at the deposit.
	These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	No production data is available. The Competent Person is satisfied that classification of this Mineral Resource estimate appropriately reflects the data and interpreted geological controls on mineralization.

Table 10: Rupice Updated Ore Reserve Estimate

Criteria JORC Code explanation		Commentary	
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	<i>Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.</i> <i>Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.</i>	The Mineral Resource Estimate was produced by Mr Dmitry Pertel, Principal Geologist of AMC Consultants Pty Ltd (AMC), with an effective date of 11 July 2023 as described in Section 3 of Table 1. The Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of the Ore Reserves.	
Site visits	<i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i> <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i>	A site visit from 3 September to 6 September 2023, was undertaken by the Ore Reserves Competent Person (CP), Mr Dominic Claridge of AMC Consultants (UK) Limited.	
Study status	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.	A feasibility study was completed in 2021. Rupice Mine has been under construction since 2022 and has commenced mine development with more than 15 years mine life. The Ore Reserve is based on the life-of-mine design generated by the Mine Technical Services Department (effective date of 11 October 2023), which has been reviewed by AMC. AMC produced a schedule and cost model based on the data provided by Adriatic.	
Cut-off parameters	<i>The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i>	Cut-off grades are calculated using a NSR calculation based at US\$68/t using the revenue contributions of the payable metals Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, and Zn. The NSR calculation includes all site operating costs associated with the mine, process plant, and G&A along with royalties, transport and concentrate treatment, and refining charges and penalties. Mining areas are considered for inclusion in the Ore Reserve if the diluted NSR greater than US\$68/t.	
Mining factors or assumptions	The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc.), grade control and nre-production drilling	Ore Reserves are based on an operating mine design generated by the on-site technical staff, which has been reviewed by AMC. The mining method used is long-hole open-stoping, which is an appropriate method for the deposit. Avoca Geotech completed a geotechnical study in 2021, from which site geotechnical personnel have developed procedures with operational experience. All development headings and stopes are to be assessed before and during development by the Geotechnical Engineer and	

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



	<i>The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate).</i> <i>The mining dilution factors used.</i> <i>The mining recovery factors used.</i> <i>Any minimum mining widths used.</i> <i>The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion.</i> <i>The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.</i>	 have geotechnical specifications detailing support requirements. Individual stopes have a maximum length of 20 m and maximum height of 25 m. A minimum mining width of 15m has been set for the transverse long-hole open-stoping. Two declines access the deposit. All underground infrastructure for commencement of mining operations is in place. This includes dewatering, ventilation, backfill, ground support systems. Dilution is accounted for in the Ore Reserve, based on geometry. The average dilution factors in the Ore Reserve 12.8%. During the stope optimization process all stopes were limited to a maximum allowable inclusion of 5% Inferred material. Inferred and Unclassified Mineral Resources were given a zero grade. Less than 1% Inferred material is included in the total Ore Reserve.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical domaining applied. Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	Silver/lead and zinc concentrates are produced through conventional crushing, grinding, flotation, thickening, and filtration. The process plant is capable of crushing 290 tph. The grinding and flotation circuits have a maximum capacity of approximately 900 ktpa. Metallurgical recoveries are based on metallurgical test work. The Ore Reserve is based on the Mineral Resource estimate which includes individual estimation parameters for the payable metals Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, and Zn; and as such, is appropriate to the mineralogy being processed. Deleterious elements Hg and As are also modelled in the Mineral resource model; however, with the current mining locations and for the remainder of the mine plan, the grades are not high enough to warrant corrective measures in the process plant.
Environmental	The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	Adriatic possesses the required permits and planning permissions to effectively operate the Varés Project, in accordance with Bosnian environmental regulations. To the best of the CP's knowledge, all sites for waste rock and process tailings and their design and construction have complied with all environmental regulations, permits, and recommendations.
Infrastructure	The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	All infrastructure required for the processing and mining of ore are currently under construction. Operations are due to commence early 2024. The Rupice deposit is located near the mining town of Vares approximately 50 km north of the capital Sarajevo
Costs	The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. The methodology used to estimate operating costs. Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. The source of exchange rates used in the study. Derivation of transportation charges. The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	Operating costs are based on costs established during the completion of the Feasibility Study in 2021. AMC has reviewed costs and Adriatic has updated costs based on additional studies and current market rates. Treatment and refining costs are based on indicative concentrate sales terms. Penalty elements are accounted for in the concentrate treatment charges. Transportation costs are included in the NSR calculation. Concentrates are trucked to the local rail siding. Rail transport is then used to take the concentrates to the Port of Ploče.
<i>Revenue factors</i>	<i>The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.</i>	Head grades are based on the block model prepared by AMC in July 2023. Revenue has been based on metal prices of US\$1,912/oz Au, US\$23.0/oz Ag, US\$8,335/t Cu, US\$2,280/t Pb and US\$2,450/t Zn applied to the concentrate sales terms. These pricing estimates were provided by Adriatic and are viewed by AMC as reasonable. Transportation, treatment charges, and penalties for both Ag/Pb and zinc concentrates are accounted for in the NSR cut-off grade calculation.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT



Market assessment	The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	Adriatic has indicative agreements with customers for concentrate sales. Concentrates are sent via rail to the Port of Ploče for shipment to end users.
Economic	The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	NPV has been generated as part of the Ore Reserves determination; however, all material contained within the reserve is deemed to generate positive cashflow based on the economic input parameters. A life-of-mine plan (LOMP) has been generated from the September 2023 mine design. Analysis of the LOMP physicals within the current Adriatic financial model has been shown to vield a net positive cashflow and NPV.
Social	The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	To the best of the CP's knowledge, all agreements with the local authorities are in place and are current with all key stakeholders.
Other	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: Any identified material naturally occurring risks. The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	To the best of the CP's knowledge, Adriatic is currently compliant with all legal and regulatory requirements and there is no reason to assume any further government or local council permits, licences, or statutory approvals will not be granted, if required.
Classification	<i>The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> <i>The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).</i>	As there are no Measured Resources, the Ore Reserves have been classed Probable as per JORC Code (2012) guidelines. It is the CP's opinion that the Ore Reserves reflect the deposit accurately given the current level of geological and geotechnical knowledge. Inferred Resources have not been included in the Ore Reserve.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.</i>	The Competent Person completed a "best practices" review of the mine planning as part of the Ore Reserves. The Ore Reserve has been Peer Reviewed internally and is in line with current industry standards.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage. It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	The Rupice Mine is not yet in production. Anticipated mine life is more than 15 years. The deposit is well-understood by the on-site technical team which consists of locals and expats with experience of the deposit. Owner and contractor costs are based on current contracts. All modifying factors have been applied to the Ore Reserves with dilution parameters ore widths and geotechnical assessments.



Authorised by, and for further information, please contact:

Paul Cronin

Managing Director & CEO info@adriaticmetals.com

-ends-

COMPETENT PERSONS REPORT

The information in this report that relates to estimates of Mineral Resources is based on and fairly represents information and supporting information compiled by Mr Dmitry Pertel, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Pertel is employed by AMC Consultants Pty Ltd. Mr Pertel is not a shareholder in Adriatic Metals. Consulting work has been completed on a contractual basis, with payment to AMC Consultants Pty Ltd for hours worked. Mr Pertel has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Pertel consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report which relates to Exploration Results and QAQC analysis is based on and fairly represents information and supporting information compiled by Mr Sergei Smolonogov, who is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and Registered Professional Geologist (RPGeo). Mr Smolonogov is Head of Exploration for Adriatic Metals Plc and has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australian Code of Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Smolonogov consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on that information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Ore Reserve is based on and fairly represents information compiled or reviewed by AMC Principal Mining Engineer, Mr Dominic Claridge. Dominic is a Competent Person (CP) as defined by the JORC Code, 2012 Edition, having ten years of experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit, and to the activity for which he is accepting responsibility. He is a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (FAusIMM), and consents to the inclusion in the report of matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. The CP is not aware of any mining, metallurgical, infrastructure, permitting, or other relevant factors that could materially affect the Ore Reserve statement.

DISCLAIMER

Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts. Words such as "expect(s)", "feel(s)", "believe(s)", "will", "may", "anticipate(s)", "potential(s)" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements include, but are not limited to statements regarding future production, resources or reserves and exploration results. All of such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and generally beyond the control of the Company, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied or projected by, the forward-looking information and statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: (i) those relating to the interpretation of drill results, the geology, grade and continuity of mineral deposits and conclusions of economic evaluations, (ii) risks relating to possible variations in reserves, grade, planned mining dilution and ore loss, or



recovery rates and changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined, (iii) the potential for delays in exploration or development activities or the completion of feasibility studies, (iv) risks related to commodity prices and exchange rate fluctuations, (v) risks related to failure to obtain adequate financing on a timely basis and on acceptable terms or delays in obtaining governmental approvals or in the completion of development or construction activities, and (vi) other risks and uncertainties related to the Company's projects, prospects, properties and business strategy. Investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements that speak only as of the date hereof, and the Company does not undertake any obligation to revise and disseminate forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof, or to reflect the occurrence of or non-occurrence of any events.