

## **ASX ANNOUNCEMENT**

5th July 2023

## GLOBAL GOLD RESOURCE INCREASES 57% TO 520,134 oz

- Global Resource (Indicated & Inferred) at Coolgardie Mining Centre increases by 57%, including:
  - Burbanks: 6,052,889t @ 2.4g/t gold for 465,567 ounces of contained gold (+68%)<sup>1</sup>
  - Phillips Find: 732,960t @ 2.3g/t gold for 54,567 ounces of contained gold (unchanged)<sup>2</sup>
- Updated Resource includes an additional 10,000m of drilling completed as part of the Phase-1 campaign
- Phase-2 drill campaign is expected to resume in early July following the completion of Phillips Find drilling
- Outstanding prospectivity remains at Burbanks with only ~30% of the mineralised horizon above 500m tested
- Since resuming exploration in November 2021, the Resource at Burbanks has grown at a compounded annual rate of 104%, serving to highlight the scale and future growth potential of this mineralised system
- Preparations underway to support future planning and commercial production decisions, including:
  - Comprehensive metallurgical study to optimise grind size and leach times for peak gold recoveries
  - Scoping study or prefeasibility study currently planned for H2 2023

Greenstone Resources Limited (**ASX:GSR**) (**Greenstone** or the **Company**) is pleased to report a significant increase to the Mineral Resource Estimate (**MRE**) for the Coolgardie Mining Hub, consisting of the Company's 100% owned Burbanks and Phillips Find gold projects near Coolgardie, Western Australia.

Results from the recently completed 10,000m Phase-1 drill campaign have served to underpin this current update, with the MRE at Burbanks increasing by 68% to 6,052,889t @ 2.4g/t for 465,567g/t (Indicated & Inferred), including:

- Near Surface: 4,860,270t @ 1.9g/t gold for 297,649 ounces of contained gold; and
- Underground: 1,192,619t @ 4.4g/t gold for 167,918 ounces of contained gold.

The global MRE now totals 6,785,849t @ 2.4g/t gold for 520,134 ounces of contained gold (Indicated and Inferred).

This latest update serves to highlight the scale of Burbanks, which currently has a strike length of over 3.5km, remaining open in all directions and primed for future growth.

**Managing Director and CEO, Chris Hansen, commented**, "The updated resource has served to validate our longstanding conviction for the Burbanks Gold Project, with total endowment now exceeding >850koz in the upper ~300m<sup>3</sup>. Importantly, with only ~30% of the mineralised horizon above 500m tested to date, there is significant potential for future growth which will be tested as part of the upcoming Phase-2 drill campaign.

The shift away from small scale mining twelve months ago to a strategy focused on resource growth has been instrumental in unlocking the latent value at Burbanks and the wider Coolgardie Portfolio, with the resource having more than tripled over the past 12 months under the new Board and Management, now making Burbanks one of the most significant undeveloped gold deposits in the region.

<sup>3</sup> Includes historic production of 421koz @ 10.9g/t

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Refer to Table 1 of this announcement for details of the Resource estimate for the Burbanks Gold Project

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refer to Table 1 of this announcement for details of the Resource estimate for the Phillips Find Gold Project

www.greenstoneresources.com.au



With the resource depth largely limited to the upper 300m, and mineralisation remaining open in all directions, we have strong conviction that the upcoming 15,000m Phase-2 drill program which will resume in early July, will continue to produce successful results by targeting untested areas within the upper 500m.

The focus for the upcoming drill campaign is now shifting to beneath the historic mining centre, having only been mined to average depth of ~140m, this area provides a natural growth horizon as it contains some of the highest grade intercepts, including 4.7m @ 462.1 g/t Au from 244m (BBUD329), which remains open and untested at depth.

Importantly, Burbanks is located in the epicentre of the Australian gold industry, surrounded by a network of existing infrastructure, including processing plants, grid power, and sealed roads, serving to expedite our path to sustainable commercial production.

The recent resurgence of M&A within the gold sector over the past months serves to highlight the scarcity of highquality predevelopment projects, as exemplified by Ramelius Resources \$201m bid for Musgrave Minerals, or Northern Star Resources \$61m offer for Strickland Metals Millrose Gold Project, both of which have occurred in the last week alone."

## LARGE, HIGH-GRADE GOLD RESOURCES ARE SCARCE – THERE ARE ONLY 7



## AUSTRALIAN PRE-DEVELOPMENT GOLD PROJECTS >2.25G/T AU (ASX LISTED)<sup>4</sup>

Figure 1: Australian Pre-development Gold Projects >2.25g/t Au (ASX Listed)

## **GLOBAL MINERAL RESOURCE SUMMARY 2023**

Toppes	Indicated							
Tonnes				Inferred			Total	
TOTILCS	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces
1,430,026	2.0	92,780	3,430,244	1.9	204,870	4,860,270	1.9	297,649
122,197	4.3	16,726	1,070,422	4.4	151,192	1,192,619	4.4	167,918
1,552,223	2.2	109,506	4,500,666	2.5	356,062	6,052,889	2.4	465,567
540,669	2.4	41,654	189,439	2.1	12,705	730,108	2.3	54,359
-	-	-	2,852	2.3	208	2,852	2.3	208
540,669	2.4	41,654	192,291	2.1	12,914	732,960	2.3	54,567
2,092,892	2.2	151,159	4,692,957	2.4	368,975	6,785,849	2.4	520,134
	1,430,026 122,197 <b>1,552,223</b> 540,669 - <b>540,669</b> <b>2,092,892</b>	1,430,026       2.0         122,197       4.3         1,552,223       2.2         540,669       2.4         -       -         540,669       2.4         2,092,892       2.2	1,430,026       2.0       92,780         122,197       4.3       16,726         1,552,223       2.2       109,506         540,669       2.4       41,654         -       -       -         540,669       2.4       41,654         2,092,892       2.2       151,159	1,430,026       2.0       92,780       3,430,244         122,197       4.3       16,726       1,070,422         1,552,223       2.2       109,506       4,500,666         540,669       2.4       41,654       189,439         -       -       -       2,852         540,669       2.4       41,654       192,291         2,092,892       2.2       151,159       4,692,957	1,430,026       2.0       92,780       3,430,244       1.9         122,197       4.3       16,726       1,070,422       4.4         1,552,223       2.2       109,506       4,500,666       2.5         540,669       2.4       41,654       189,439       2.1         -       -       2,852       2.3         540,669       2.4       41,654       192,291       2.1         2,092,892       2.2       151,159       4,692,957       2.4	1,430,026       2.0       92,780       3,430,244       1.9       204,870         122,197       4.3       16,726       1,070,422       4.4       151,192         1,552,223       2.2       109,506       4,500,666       2.5       356,062         540,669       2.4       41,654       189,439       2.1       12,705         -       -       -       2,852       2.3       208         540,669       2.4       41,654       192,291       2.1       12,914         2,092,892       2.2       151,159       4,692,957       2.4       368,975	1,430,026       2.0       92,780       3,430,244       1.9       204,870       4,860,270         122,197       4.3       16,726       1,070,422       4.4       151,192       1,192,619         1,552,223       2.2       109,506       4,500,666       2.5       356,062       6,052,889         540,669       2.4       41,654       189,439       2.1       12,705       730,108         -       -       -       2,852       2.3       208       2,852         540,669       2.4       41,654       192,291       2.1       12,914       732,960         2,092,892       2.2       151,159       4,692,957       2.4       368,975       6,785,849	1,430,026       2.0       92,780       3,430,244       1.9       204,870       4,860,270       1.9         122,197       4.3       16,726       1,070,422       4.4       151,192       1,192,619       4.4         1,552,223       2.2       109,506       4,500,666       2.5       356,062       6,052,889       2.4         540,669       2.4       41,654       189,439       2.1       12,705       730,108       2.3         540,669       2.4       41,654       192,291       2.1       12,914       732,960       2.3         540,669       2.2       151,159       4,692,957       2.4       368,975       6,785,849       2.4

Table 1: Summary of Global Mineral Resource 2023 for Coolgardie Mining Centre

<sup>4</sup> See Appendix 3 for full references; \*ASX:RMS 03/07/2023 www.greenstoneresources.com.au



Cut-Off Grades 2023 MRE										
	Cut-Off		Indicated			Inferred			Total	
	Grade	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces
BURBANKS										
Near Surface	0.3	1,465,803	2.0	93,282	3,492,006	1.8	205,682	4,957,809	1.9	298,965
Near Surface	0.5	1,430,026	2.0	92,780	3,430,244	1.9	204,870	4,860,270	1.9	297,649
Near Surface	1.0	1,219,935	2.3	91,392	2,179,644	2.2	152,911	3,399,579	2.2	244,303
Underground	1.5	197,864	3.3	21,016	2,010,442	3.2	208,176	2,208,306	3.2	229,192
Underground	2.0	129,482	4.1	17,242	1,375,152	3.9	173,006	1,504,634	3.9	190,248
Underground	2.5	91,775	4.9	14,552	1,043,049	4.5	149,286	1,134,824	4.5	163,838
PHILLIPS FIND										
Near Surface	0.3	571,003	2.3	42,062	210,879	1.9	12,999	781,882	2.2	55,061
Near Surface	0.5	540,669	2.4	41,654	189,439	2.1	12,705	730,108	2.3	54,359
Near Surface	1.0	411,576	2.9	38,516	130,838	2.7	11,351	542,414	2.9	49,867
Underground	1.5	_	_	-	3,386	2.2	239	3,386	2.2	239
Underground	2.0	-	-	-	2,852	2.3	208	2,852	2.3	208
Underground	2.5	-	-	-	287	3.6	33	287	3.6	33

Table 2: Summary of Mineral Resources at Stated Cut-Off Grades



Figure 2: Schematic geological long-section for Burbanks showing resource classification and significant intercepts

#### **BURBANKS MATERIAL INFORMATION SUMMARY**

#### **MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT**

The Mineral Resource Statement for the Burbanks Global Gold Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) was prepared during 2023 and is reported according to the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the 'JORC Code') 2012 edition.

The Mineral Resources Estimates (MRE) for the Main Lode, Burbanks North and Burbanks South zones were completed during June of 2023. A comprehensive review and revision of the Birthday Gift zone was completed during August and September of 2022. Collectively the deposits are referred to as the Burbanks deposits, located in the Burbanks Project area.

The MRE reported within this report utilises all drilling completed to 21st May 2023. The Burbanks Mining Centre has been held by several operators over the history of the project, including the excision of zones within the mining centre itself. Both the Main Lode and Birthday Gift have been mined historically and more recently with modern mining methods and have been depleted to reflect this. Minor historic small scall mining has occurred within the extents of





the Burbanks North and Burbanks South zone, however any material extracted is not considered material to the mineral resource estimate.

Cut-off grades have been applied to the mineral resources to reflect the proximity to the natural surface and likely limits of respective mining methods.

This MRE includes Inferred Mineral Resources which are unable to have economic considerations applied to them, nor is there certainty that further drilling will enable them to be converted to Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources.

BURBANKS MINING CENTRE MINERAL RESOURCES										
	Cut-Off		Indicated			Inferred			Total	
	Grade	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces	Tonnes	Grade (g/t)	Ounces
BURBANKS										
Near Surface	0.5	1,430,026	2.0	92,780	3,430,244	1.9	204,870	4,860,270	1.9	297,649
Underground	2.5/2.0*	122,197	4.3	16,726	1,070,422	4.4	151,192	1,192,619	4.4	167,918
Total		1,552,223	2.2	109,506	4,500,666	2.5	356,062	6,052,889	2.4	465,567

Table 3: Burbanks Mining Centre Mineral Resources by Mineral Resource Category; 0.5g/t cut-off grade above 150m b.s.l, 2.5g/t cut-off below 150m b.s.l. for Main Load/Burbanks North, 2.0g/t cut-off below 150m b.s.l. for Birthday Gift

## **GEOLOGY AND GEOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION**

The Coolgardie Goldfield is a constituent of the Yilgarn Craton's Eastern Goldfields, lying on the western margin of the Kalgoorlie Terrane within the ca. 2.7 Ga Norseman-Wiluna Greenstone Belt. A series of deformed amphibolite facies mafic-ultramafic volcanic and intrusive rocks overlain by felsic volcanic and sedimentary rocks comprise the greenstone belt of the goldfield.

The gold deposits of the Coolgardie Goldfield have been categorised historically by the alteration assemblage and zonation around the lodes, or the structural and lithological setting of the deposit. The four categories based on structural and lithological relationships advanced by Knight (1993) are (1) deposits along sheared porphyry-ultramafic contacts, (2) gabbro-hosted quartz vein sets, (3) fault-bound quartz vein sets, and (4) laminated quartz reefs. Of these styles, laminated quartz reefs have produced approximately half of all gold from the goldfield, including the two largest producers, Burbanks and Bayleys.

The Burbanks gold deposit is hosted by the high-Mg basalt and dolerite of the Burbanks Formation. Alteration and several phases of metamorphism within the sequence has produced varying mineralogy, texture, and grain size within the mafic precursor, which historically has led to the description of gabbro and garnetiferous diorite as part of the host sequence. Recent work by Stewart (2015) has divided the sequence into five tectonostratigraphic units:

- Fine-grained amphibolite with a basaltic-doleritic appearance
- Coarse-grained amphibolite with a gabbroic appearance
- Gneissic amphibolite with a schistose to mylonitic texture
- Feldspar-amphibole ± garnet gneiss with a dioritic appearance
- Quartz-veined zones
- Two generations of later dykes intrude the sequence; one felsic and one mafic







Figure 3: Geological long-section for Burbanks showing resource classification and significant intercepts

#### **DRILLING TECHNIQUES**

Both Reverse Circulation (RC - 5  $\frac{1}{2}$  Inch) and Diamond Drilling (DD – NQ2) techniques are used at Burbanks Mining Centre. Historically, most of the drilling completed from Birthday Gift underground locations is completed using HQ2, HQ3 and PQ2 (triple tube) LTK60 and NQ2 (standard tube) techniques.

#### SAMPLING AND SUB-SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

MAIN LODE, BURBANKS NORTH & BURBANKS SOUTH

Core sample intervals are defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 to 1.5m in length.

RC drill sampling was sampled either in one metre intervals or composite sampled by spearing sample bags to form a four or five metre interval. After logging, the geologist marked intervals of interest for subsequent sampling. Sample intervals were nominally 4m, but may have been constrained by logged lithological, mineralisation or alteration boundaries to as small as 1 metre. Where composite samples highlighted anomalous grade, primary samples were taken across the composite zone to provide accurate assay data.

Core is aligned and measured by tape, comparing to down-hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. Any discrepancies are immediately highlighted and addressed by the driller and their run sheet.

Diamond drilling has been completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.2 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals, which are then crushed and pulverised to produce a ~200g pulp sub-sample to use in the assay process. Diamond core samples are fire assayed (30g charge to 50g charge). Visible gold is occasionally encountered in core.

#### **BIRTHDAY GIFT**

Core sample intervals are defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 to 1.5m in length.

RC drill sampling was sampled either in one metre intervals or composite sampled by spearing sample bags to form a four or five metre interval. After logging, the geologist marked intervals of interest for subsequent sampling. Sample intervals were nominally 4m, but may have been constrained by logged lithological, mineralisation or alteration boundaries to as small as 1 metre. Where composite samples highlighted anomalous grade, primary samples were taken across the composite zone to provide accurate assay data.





Core is aligned and measured by tape, comparing to down-hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. Any discrepancies are immediately highlighted and addressed by the driller and their run sheet.

Diamond drilling has been completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals, which are then crushed and pulverised to produce a ~200g pulp sub-sample to use in the assay process. Diamond core samples are fire assayed (30g charge or 50g charge). Visible gold is occasionally encountered in core.

#### SAMPLE ANALYSIS METHOD

#### MAIN LODE, BURBANKS NORTH & BURBANKS SOUTH

Sample preparation was conducted at Bureau Veritas' Kalassay Laboratory using a fully automated sample preparation system. Preparation commences with sorting and drying. Oversized samples are crushed to <3mm and split down to 3kg using a rotary or riffle splitter. Samples are then pulverized and homogenized in LM5 Ring Mills and ground to ensure >90% passes 75µm.

200g of pulverized sample is taken by spatula and used for a 40g charge for Fire Assay for gold analysis. A high-capacity vacuum cleaning system is used to clean sample preparation equipment between each sample. Fire Assay is an industry standard analysis technique for determining the total gold content of a sample.

The 40g charge is mixed with a lead-based flux. The charge/flux mixture is 'fired' at 1100°C for 50mins fusing the sample. The gold is extracted from the fused sample using nitric (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and hydrochloric (HCl) acids.

The acid solution is then subjected to Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) to determine gold content. The detection level for the Fire Assay/AAS technique is 0.01ppm. Detection limits are in ppm unless otherwise noted. Best practice is assumed for all previous samples.

#### **BIRTHDAY GIFT**

At the time of the drilling at Birthday Gift, the previous miner owner, employed the services of ALS Laboratories in Kalgoorlie for all assaying required in its exploration programmes. The procedures utilised included the following:

- Sort all samples and note any discrepancies to the client submitted paperwork. Record a received weight (WEI-21) for each sample. Separate out any samples for specific gravity analysis onto a separate trolley to ensure they are not crushed.
- Dry samples at 95 degrees until dry.
- Perform non wax dipped SG analysis (0A-GRA08) on requested samples and return these to the drying oven once completed.
- Crush samples to 6mm nominal (CRU-21) split any samples >3.2Kg using riffle splitter (SPL-21).
- Generate duplicates for nominated samples, assigning D suffix to the sample.
- Pulverise samples in LM5 pulveriser until grind size passes 90% passing 75um (PUL-23). Check grind size on 1:20 using wet screen method (PUL-QC). Take ~400g working master pulp for 50g fire assay, AAS finish (Au-AA26)
- Samples are assayed for gold to 0.01ppm. Detection limits are in ppm unless otherwise noted. Best practice is assumed for all previous samples.

#### **ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

#### MAIN LODE & BURBANKS NORTH

Mineral Resource estimation (MRE) was completed within GEOVIA Surpac<sup>™</sup> Resource Modelling software. Interpretations of domain continuity were undertaken in Leapfrog<sup>™</sup> Geo software, with mineralisation intercepts



correlating to individual domains manually selected prior to creation of a vein model using Leapfrog<sup>™</sup> Geo implicit modelling software. Domain interpretations used all available validated AC, RC, RCD, and DD data, using a nominal 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the mineralisation domaining. Within the mineralised wireframe, if an intercept fell below the nominal cut-off but continuity was supported by host lithologies, the intercept was retained for geological continuity purposes due to the commodity and the style of deposit.

Sample data were composited to a 1 m downhole length using a best fit method. Histograms, log-transformed probability plots, mean and variance plots along with percentile analysis were used to review top-cap statistics in all domains. Declustered and top-capped values were applied to composites prior to variography analyses.

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) and variography analysis of the top-capped and declustered composited gold variable within domain groups whose spatial and geometric relation similarities were underpinned through observed spatial and statistical analysis. Following variography analysis, separate normal scores semi-variogram spherical, anisotropic models were applied to the domain groups. All EDA was completed within Supervisor™ V8.15 software and exported for further visual and graphical review.

An Ordinary Kriging (OK) interpolation approach in GEOVIA Surpac<sup>™</sup> was selected for all Burbanks interpreted domains. All estimates used domain boundaries as hard boundaries for grade estimation where only composite samples within that domain are used to estimate blocks coded as falling within that domain.

Estimation parameters, including estimate block size and search neighbourhoods, were derived through Kriging Neighbourhood Analysis (KNA).

Domain	Nugget	Range	Major: Semi-major	Major: Minor
1001	0.59	51.5	1.0	4.9
1002	0.27	31.0	1.0	6.9
1004	0.14	39.0	1.1	2.4
2200-2213	0.33	83	1.1	4.9

Domains 1003 and 1006 utilised the variography from domain 1001, domains 1005, 1007 and 1008 utilised the variography of 1004, and Domains 2000 and 2100-2107 used the grouped variography from 2200-2213 due to spatial and geometric similarities. Where domains have limited populations, variogram and search parameters are based on domains with similar geometry and proximity.

Only gold was estimated in the resource model. No assumption has been made regarding selective mining units.

Interpolation was undertaken using Ordinary Kriging (OK) in GEOVIA Surpac<sup>™</sup> within parent cell blocks. Dimensions for the interpolation were Y: 20 mN, X: 5 mE, Z: 10 mRL, with sub-celling of Y: 1.25 mN, X: 0.3125 mE, Z: 1.25 mRL. Estimation parameters, including estimate block size and search neighbourhoods, were derived through Kriging Neighbourhood Analysis (KNA).

Only RC, RCD and DD data were used for the purposes of the MRE with all AC and RAB holes within the Main Lode and Burbanks North project areas excluded primarily due to absent or erroneous survey data. The average drill spacing is variable, with higher density drilling (nominally 40 m x 40 m) in the top 60 m of the deposit, to >50 m spacing at depth. A two-pass estimation search strategy was employed for classified resources, with all domains estimated using the maximum variogram range for the first pass and the neighbourhood composites ranging from a minimum of 6 to a maximum of 12-18 samples. The second pass decreased the minimum samples required to 4 and increased the search radius by 200% for Burbanks Main Lode and 150% for Burbanks North. Unestimated blocks falling outside of the search criteria were set to 0.01 g/t Au or the domain mean top-capped, declustered value.



Domain	Range	Minimum samples (pass one)	Minimum samples (pass two)	Maximum samples (all passes)	
1001	51.50	6.0	4.0	12.0	
1002	31.00	6.0	4.0	12.0	
1004	39.00	6.0	4.0	12.0	
2200-2213	83.00	6.0	4.0	18.0	

Domains 1003 and 1006 utilised the search neighbourhood from domain 1001, domains 1005, 1007 and 1008 utilised the search neighbourhood of 1004, and domains 2000 and 2100-2107 utilised the search neighbourhood from grouped domains 2200-2213.

Gold grade interpolation domains for all search passes were validated using the following approaches:

- Spatially: Visual comparison of composite grades against estimated block grades
- Graphically: Swath plots along each section axis of the five largest domains by volume comparing both declustered composite grades, estimated grades, number of composites and tonnages
- Global comparison of declustered and capped composite mean against estimated mean by domain highlighted varying degrees of variations. The three largest domains by volume, representing over 50% of the total MRE volume, had <10 % variation</li>

The 3D block model was coded with density, weathering, and Mineral Resource classification prior to evaluation for Mineral Resource reporting.

Although mining has occurred at Burbanks in the past both from underground and open pit sources, no reliable production or reconciliation data was able to be sourced to further validate the relative accuracy of the block model.

## **BURBANKS SOUTH**

Interpretations of Burbanks south mineralised domains were undertaken in Maptek Vulcan<sup>™</sup> Software.

Three-dimensional mineralisation wireframes are completed within Vulcan, using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the mineralisation. All wireframes were snapped to appropriate assay intervals. An Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique is used to estimate the Mineral Resource as it is considered appropriate given the nature of mineralisation and mineralisation configuration.

The Mineral Resource database is uniquely flagged with mineralisation zone codes as defined by wireframe boundaries and then composited into 1m lengths and these are used for estimating the Mineral Resource. This composite length aligns with RC sample intervals contained within the resource estimate.

Statistical and geostatistical analysis are undertaken within Snowden's Supervisor™ software.

Histograms, log-probability plots and mean variance plots are considered in determining the existence of extreme values and if present, the appropriate cut-offs for each mineralised zone. The points of inflexion in the upper tail of the distribution on the log-probability plots as well as their spatial distributions are examined to help identify extreme values and decide on the treatments applied. These extreme values are either treated with the application of a top-cut or high-grade spatial restriction or a combination of both. All grade values greater than the cut-off grade are set to the cut-off value (capped). A global top-cut of 12.0g/t was applied for this MRE.

Due to the narrow nature of the mineralisation, consistent and robust variograms were not able to be obtained for the majority of the lodes, hence an Inverse Distance (ID<sup>2</sup>) weighting interpolation technique was used.



Drill hole spacing in the majority of the Indicated Resource portion of the deposit is approximately 20m (x) x 20m (y) x 10m (z). A block model was created for the Burbanks project area in Vulcan using a parent block size of 5mE by 5mN by 5mRL. The sub-blocking functionality in Vulcan was employed utilizing 0.5m x 0.5m x 0.5m sub-blocks, which were estimated within the parent block. The block size is considered appropriate for the drill-hole spacing.

No assumption has been made regarding selective mining units. Only gold was estimated in the resource model.

Estimation of gold utilised three interpolation runs with each run increasing the search ellipse size and decreasing the minimum number of samples required for each block to populate with grade.

Strike direction of 057 Degrees with a dip of 73.5 Degrees was used to guide search ellipses.

Octants restrictions were used to assist with delustering of data with a minimum of 2 octants containing at least 1 sample required for estimation for the first pass only.

The 1st pass utilised a 40m x 20m x 20m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.

The 2nd pass utilised an 80m x 40m x 40m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation.

The 3rd and final pass utilised a 160m x 80m x 40m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation.

The process of validation includes standard model validation using visual and numerical methods.

The block model estimates are checked against the input composite/drillhole data with sufficient spot checks completed on sections and plans. The block model estimated global means for each mineralised domain are checked against the composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits. The block model estimated global means for each mineralised domain are checked against the composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits.

#### **BIRTHDAY GIFT**

Mineral Resource estimation is completed within Maptek's Vulcan V9.1 Resource Modelling software. Threedimensional mineralisation wireframes are completed within Vulcan, using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the mineralisation near the surface, with a 1 g/t Au cut-off utilised for the deeper mineralisation. All wireframes were snapped to appropriate assay intervals.

An Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique is used to estimate the Mineral Resource as it is considered appropriate given the nature of mineralisation.

The Mineral Resource database is uniquely flagged with mineralisation zone codes as defined by wireframe boundaries and then composited into 1m lengths and these are used for estimating the Mineral Resource. The composites are extracted with minimum passing of 70% and best fit such that no residuals are created.

Statistical and geostatistical analysis are undertaken within Snowden's Supervisor™ software.

Histograms, log-probability plots and mean variance plots are considered in determining the existence of extreme values and if present, to select the appropriate cut-offs for each mineralised zone. The points of inflexion in the upper tail of the distribution on the log-probability plots as well as their spatial distributions are examined to help identify extreme values and decide on the treatments applied. These extreme values are either treated with the application of





a top-cut or high-grade spatial restriction or a combination of both. All grade values greater than the cut-off grade are set to the cut-off value (capped).

Due to the narrow nature of the mineralisation, consistent and robust variograms were not able to be obtained for the majority of the lodes, hence an Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique was used.

Drill hole spacing in the majority of the Indicated Resource portion of the deposit is approximately 20m (x) x 20m (y) x 10m (z). A block model was created for the Burbanks project area in Vulcan V9.1 using a parent block size of 10mE by 10mRL. The sub-blocking functionality in Vulcan was employed utilizing 1m x 1m x 1m sub-blocks, which were estimated within the parent block. The block size is considered appropriate for the drill-hole spacing.

No assumption has been made regarding selective mining units. Only gold was estimated in the resource model.

Estimation of gold utilised three interpolation runs with each run increasing the search ellipse size and decreasing the minimum number of samples required for each block to populate with grade:

The 1st pass utilised a 25m x 10m x 5m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.

The 2nd pass utilised a 50m x 20m x 10m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.

The 3rd and final pass utilised a 200m x 60m x 30m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation.

The process of validation includes standard model validation using visual and numerical methods:

The block model estimates are checked against the input composite/drill hole data with sufficient spot checks completed on sections and plans.

The block model estimated global means for each mineralised domain are checked against the composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits. Swath plots of the estimated block grades and composite mean grades are generated by easting's, northings and elevations and reviewed to ensure acceptable correlation.

Although mining has occurred at Burbanks in the past both from underground and open pit sources, no reliable production or reconciliation data was able to be sourced to further validate the relative accuracy of the block model.

#### **CRITERIA USED FOR CLASSIFICATION**

#### MAIN LODE & BURBANKS NORTH

Mineral Resources at Burbanks were classified as Indicated and Inferred to appropriately represent confidence and risk with respect to data quality, drill hole spacing, geological and grade continuity and mineralisation volumes. Additional considerations were the stage of project assessment, current understanding of mineralisation controls and selectivity within an open pit mining environment.

In the Competent Person's opinion, the drilling, surveying, sampling undertaken, analytical methods and quality controls used are appropriate for the style of deposit under consideration.

Indicated Mineral Resources were defined where a moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:



- Blocks were well supported by drill hole data with the average distance to the nearest sample being within 20 m or less or where drilling was within 40 m of the block estimate, or if there was significant AC drilling in the area that aided interpretation.
- Blocks were interpolated with a neighbourhood informed by a minimum 6–18 composites.
- Estimation quality was considered reasonable, as delineated by a conditional bias slope nominally above 0.5.

Inferred Mineral Resources were defined where a low to moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:

- Drill spacing averaged a nominal 50m or less, or where drilling was within 80m of the block estimate.
- Blocks were interpolated with a neighbourhood informed by a minimum of 6 composites.
- Estimation quality was considered low, as delineated by a conditional bias slope between 0.2 and 0.5.

Mineral Resources that are not Ore Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The MREs do not account for selectivity, mining loss and dilution. This MRE update includes Inferred Mineral Resources which are unable to have economic considerations applied to them, nor is there certainty that further sampling will enable them to be converted to Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources.

No estimation or assumptions with respect to deleterious elements, non-grade variables or by-products were made. Mineralisation within the model which did not satisfy the criteria for Mineral Resources remained unclassified. All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the GSR tenement boundary.

#### **BURBANKS SOUTH**

Mineral Resources were classified as Indicated and Inferred to appropriately represent confidence and risk with respect to data quality, drill hole spacing, geological and grade continuity and mineralisation volumes. Additional considerations were the stage of project assessment, current understanding of mineralisation controls and selectivity within an open pit mining environment.

Indicated Mineral Resources were defined where a moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:

- Blocks were well supported by drill hole data with the average distance to the nearest sample being within 20m or less or where drilling was within 20m of the block estimate
- Blocks were populated in the first estimation pass, with a minimum of 2 octants containing at least 1 sample required for estimation for the first pass only.
- Inferred Mineral Resources were defined where a low to moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:
  - Drill spacing averaged a nominal 40m or less, or;
  - Where drilling was within 40m of the block estimate

Consideration has been given to all factors that are material to the Mineral Resource outcomes, including but not limited to confidence in volume and grade delineation, quality of data underpinning Mineral Resources, mineralisation continuity and variability of alternate volume interpretations and grade interpolations (sensitivity analysis).

In addition to the above factors, the classification process considered nominal drill hole spacing, estimation quality (conditional bias slope, number of samples, distance to informing samples) and reliability of input data, specifically.

The delineation of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view on continuity and risk at the deposit.





Mineral Resources that are not Ore Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The MREs do not account for selectivity, mining loss and dilution. This MRE update includes Inferred Mineral Resources which are unable to have economic considerations applied to them, nor is there certainty that further sampling will enable them to be converted to Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources.

No estimation or assumptions with respect to deleterious elements, non-grade variables or by-products were made. Mineralisation within the model which did not satisfy the criteria for Mineral Resources remained unclassified. All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the tenement boundary.

#### **BIRTHDAY GIFT**

The Mineral Resources has been classified into Measured, Indicated and Inferred categories following the guidelines of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012). The classification is based on drill hole intercept spacing, geological confidence, grade continuity and estimation quality. A combination of these factors guides the manual digitising of strings on drill sections to construct envelopes that were utilised to control the Mineral Resource classification. This process allows review of the geological control/confidence on the deposit.

No part of the Birthday Gift Mineral Resource is classified as a Measured Resource.

The Indicated Resources are based on a drill hole spacing of 25m by 25m with population of blocks during the first interpolation pass.

The Inferred Resources are based on a drill hole spacing of up to 100m by 100m with population of blocks on the second interpolation pass.

The delineation of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view on continuity and risk at the deposit.

Mineral Resources that are not Ore Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The MREs do not account for selectivity, mining loss and dilution. This MRE update includes Inferred Mineral Resources which are unable to have economic considerations applied to them, nor is there certainty that further sampling will enable them to be converted to Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources.

No estimation or assumptions with respect to deleterious elements, non-grade variables or by-products were made. Mineralisation within the model which did not satisfy the criteria for Mineral Resources remained unclassified. All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the tenement boundary.

## **CUT-OFF GRADE(S)**

Multiple cut-off grades are used for the Burbanks deposit.

The shallow, sub-cropping nature of the deposit suggests that good potential exists for open pit mining at the project. The estimated depth potential for open pit is approximately 150m (150m vertical below surface) the Mineral Resource above 150m has been reported at a 0.5g/t Au cut-off to reflect potential exploitation by open pit mining.

The Main Lode and Burbanks North deposits are reported at 2.5g/t Au cut-off and is planned to be mined using underground mining extraction methods.

The Birthday Gift deposit is reported at 2.0g/t Au cut-off and is planned to be mined using underground mining extraction methods. The proximity of the modern underground development to these lodes has resulted in a slightly lower cut-off being applied to the underground portion of the resource.



#### **METALLURGY**

#### MAIN LODE, BURBANKS NORTH & BURBANKS SOUTH

All material is assumed to be trucked and toll treated at nearby processing facilities. No recovery factors have been applied.

#### **BIRTHDAY GIFT**

All material is assumed to be trucked and toll treated at nearby processing facilities. No recovery factors have been applied.

#### **MODIFYING FACTORS**

No modifying factors were applied to the reported Mineral resources. Parameters reflecting mining dilution, ore loss and metallurgical recoveries will be considered during the planned mining evaluation of the project.



This announcement is authorised by the Board of Directors.

- END -

Chris Hansen

**Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer** 

**Greenstone Resources Limited** 

E: chris.hansen@greenstoneresources.com.au





#### DISCLAIMER

The interpretations and conclusions reached in this report are based on current geological theory and the best evidence available to the authors at the time of writing. It is the nature of all scientific conclusions that they are founded on an assessment of probabilities and, however high these probabilities might be, they make no claim for complete certainty. Any economic decisions that might be taken based on interpretations or conclusions contained in this report will therefore carry an element of risk. This report contains forward-looking statements that involve several risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. These statements reflect current expectations, intentions or strategies regarding the future and assumptions based on currently available information. Should one or more of the risks or uncertainties materialise, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary from the expectations, intentions and strategies described in this report. No obligation is assumed to update forward-looking statements if these beliefs, opinions, and estimates should change or to reflect other future developments.

#### **COMPETENT PERSONS' STATEMENT**

The information in this report which relates to Exploration Results and geological interpretation at Burbanks is based on information compiled by Mr Glenn Poole an employee of Greenstone Resources Limited who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Poole consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in the report to which this statement is attached that relates to the estimation and reporting of global gold Mineral Resources at the Phillips Find deposits and Burbanks deposits is based on information compiled by Mr Glenn Poole, BSc, a Competent Person and a current Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM 317798). Mr Poole is Technical Director and Chief Geologist at Greenstone Resources Ltd and has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and deposit type under consideration and to the activities being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Poole consents to the inclusion in the report of matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.





## **APPENDIX 1: BURBANKS UNREPORTED SIGNIFICANT INTERSECTIONS**

Prospect	Hole ID	Easting	Northing	Elevation	Depth	Dip	Azi	From	То	Width	Au (g/t)	Туре
Burbanks	BBRC409D	323107	6567252	380	426.03	-70	133	143.00	144.00	1.00	1.24	RC
								198.90	199.30	0.40	1.25	DD
								200.15	200.45	0.30	1.22	DD
								267.60	271.35	3.75	7.47	DD
								401.50	402.25	0.75	3.79	DD
								414.00	417.00	3.00	4.02	DD
Burbanks	KHRC006D	323664	6567999	392	300.6	-55	133	68.00	69.00	1.00	1.25	RC
								245.00	246.00	1.00	2.09	DD
Burbanks	KHRC008D	323647	6568054	395	380.7	-55	133	99.00	101.00	2.00	3.62	RC
								104.00	106.00	2.00	4.73	RC
								137.00	138.00	1.00	1.04	DD
								142.20	143.20	1.00	1.88	DD
								145.50	146.00	0.50	14.40	DD
								147.85	148.15	0.30	4.20	DD
								197.20	198.00	0.80	1.13	DD
								322.60	323.14	0.54	2.68	DD
Burbanks	KHRC010D	323474	6567883	392	324.4	-55	133	49.00	50.00	1.00	3.98	RC
								189.50	190.00	0.50	1.02	DD
								236.40	237.00	0.60	1.52	DD
								239.00	240.00	1.00	2.15	DD
								271.75	274.00	2.25	6.27	DD
							Incl.	272.70	273.14	0.44	19.60	DD
								278.30	279.02	0.72	3.51	DD
								284.00	284.68	0.68	1.31	DD
Burbanks	KHRC011D	323575	6567882	393	300.6	-55	133				NSI	RC
								185.90	186.30	0.40	3.10	DD
								206.45	207.60	1.15	2.68	DD
								212.00	213.00	1.00	4.05	DD
								218.00	220.00	2.00	1.60	DD
								245.40	246.15	0.75	4.46	DD

1. Northing and Easting are GDA94 MGA94 Zone 51

2. Northing, Easting, Elevation, Depth, From, To, and Width are all measured in metres. Northing, Easting and Elevation coordinates have been rounded to zero decimal places.

3. Dip and Azimuth are measured in degrees (°) with azimuth referenced to true north

4. Widths are downhole widths only.

5. NSI = No Significant Intersection (i.e. Intersections which did not average  $\ge$  1.0g/t Au over width).

Table 4: Burbanks significant intersections with and average gold grade  $\ge 1.0g/t$ 





#### **APPENDIX 2: COLLAR LOCATION MAPS FOR UNREPORTED SIGNIFICANT INTERCEPTS**



#### APPENDIX 3: ASX LISTED AUSTRALIAN PRE-DEVELOPMENT GOLD PROJECTS

				Measured			Indicated			Inferred			Total		
Owner	Ticker	Project	Tonnes	Grade	Ounces	Date									
			(Mt)	(g/t Au.)	(koz Au.)										
Rox Resources Limited	ASX:RXL	Youanmi	-	-	-	12.1	3.3	1,296.0	15.8	3.8	1,903.0	27.9	3.6	3,199.0	20/04/2022
Kalamazoo Resources Limited	ASX:KZR	Ashburton Regional	-	-	-	9.7	2.9	911.0	6.5	2.5	525.0	16.2	2.8	1,436.0	7/02/2023
Catalyst Metals Limited	ASX:CYL	Marymia	-	-	-	6.4	3.2	663.0	3.9	2.7	339.0	10.4	3.0	1,002.0	20/02/2023
Musgrave Minerals Limited	ASX:MGV	Moyagee	-	-	-	4.4	2.9	416.6	6.4	2.2	452.2	10.8	2.5	868.8	31/05/2022
Great Boulder Resources Limited	ASX:GBR	Side Well	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.2	2.6	518.0	6.2	2.6	518.0	1/02/2023
Gold Road Resources Limited	ASX:GOR	Yamarna	-	-	-	0.7	6.5	140.0	5.8	2.0	372.0	6.5	2.4	512.0	31/01/2022
Greenstone Resources Limited	ASX:GSR	Burbanks	-	-	-	1.6	2.2	109.5	4.5	2.5	356.1	6.1	2.4	465.6	5/07/2023
Hawthorn Resources Limited	ASX:HAW	Trouser Legs	-	-	-	0.4	6.9	99.0	0.3	5.2	58.0	0.8	6.1	157.0	30/10/2020

Table 5: ASX Listed Australian Pre-Production Gold Projects >2.25g/t Au.





## THE FOLLOWING TABLES ARE PROVIDED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE JORC CODE (2012 EDITION) FOR THE REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS.

## SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA FOR MAIN LODE & BURBANKS NORTH

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling	• Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut	• Sampling was conducted using a Reverse Circulation (RC)
techniques	channels, random chips, or specific specialised	and Diamond Core (DD) drilling rigs.
	industry standard measurement tools	• For RC drilling, samples were collected at every 1m interval
	investigation, such as down hole gamma	representative sub-sample for each 1m interval The
	sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc).	cyclone and splitter were cleaned regularly to minimize
	These examples should not be taken as limiting	contamination.
	the broad meaning of sampling.	• For DD drilling, samples were collected as half-core (NQ2)
	• Include reference to measures taken to ensure	at geological intervals defined and mineralisation
	sample representivity and the appropriate	boundaries and is considered appropriate for this style of
	systems used	<ul> <li>Diamond drilling was used to obtain <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> core samples of</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation</li> </ul>	various lengths (minimum 0.2m), from which 1-2kg of
	that are Material to the Public Report.	material is collected for assaying.
	• In cases where 'industry standard' work has	• Field duplicates and QAQC Standards were
	been done this would be relatively simple (e.g.	collected/inserted at a rate of 1 in every 20m (maximum)
	reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain	through pre-determined mineralised zones.
	produce a 30 a charae for fire assay'). In other	<ul> <li>Samples were pulverised to produce a 40g charge for fire assay</li> </ul>
	cases, more explanation may be required, such	<ul> <li>Sampling and QAQC procedures are carried out using</li> </ul>
	as where there is coarse gold that has inherent	Greenstone protocols as per industry best practice.
	sampling problems. Unusual commodities or	
	mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules)	
	information.	
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-	Reverse circulation (RC) drilling was carried out using a face
	hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka,	sampling hammer with a 127mm (5") drill bit.
	sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple	• DD drilling was NQ2 through the main zones of
	or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-	mineralisation. Core was oriented every 6m where possible
	oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	using an electronic orientation tool.
Drill sample	• Method of recording and assessing core and	Sample recoveries are visually estimated qualitatively on a
recovery	chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	metre basis and recorded in the database.
	• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery	Drilling contractors adjust their drilling approach to specific
	and ensure representative nature of the	conditions to maximise sample recovery.
	samples.	<ul> <li>Moisture content and sample recovery is recorded for each sample.</li> </ul>
	recovery and arade and whether sample bias	<ul> <li>Core recovery was estimated using the drillers recorded</li> </ul>
	may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain	depth marks against the length of the core recovered,
	of fine/coarse material.	this is verified and confirmed by Greenstone staff.
		No sample recovery issues have impacted on potential
Logging	. Whather are and the encoder have the	sample bias.
LOBBING	• Whether core and chip samples have been aeologically and geotechnically logged to a	<ul> <li>All drilled intervals are logged and recorded</li> </ul>
	level of detail to support appropriate Mineral	<ul> <li>Data was recorded for regolith, lithology, veining, fabric</li> </ul>
	Resource estimation, mining studies and	(structure), grain size, colour, sulphide presence,
	metallurgical studies.	alteration, oxidation state, fractures, and RQD.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative	• Logging is both qualitative and quantitative in nature
	in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc)	depending on the field being logged.
	<ul> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant</li> </ul>	Logging of diamond core was qualitative and diamond core     was photographed
	intersections logged.	<ul> <li>Diamond core is stored at the Company's core vard on-site.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Greenstone considers the data to be of an appropriate level</li> </ul>
		of detail to support a resource estimation.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All RC samples were passed through cyclone and cone splitter, and a 2-3kg split sample is collected for each 1m interval.</li> <li>1m split samples were collected for analysis from selected zones based on field logging. All other zones were sampled by collecting a 4m composite sample.</li> <li>4m composite samples were collected using a spear.</li> <li>Diamond core is cut in half along the orientation line. The right side of the core is collected for analysis.</li> <li>Field duplicate samples were collected at a rate of 1:20m through mineralised zones and certified reference standards were inserted at a rate of 1:20m (maximum) through mineralised zones based on geological interpretation.</li> <li>Sample preparation was conducted at Bureau Veritas' Kalassay Laboratory in Perth using a fully automated sample preparation system. Preparation commences with sorting and drying. Oversized samples are crushed to &lt;3mm and split down to 3kg using a rotary or riffle splitter. Samples are then pulverized and homogenized in LM5 Ring Mills and ground to ensure &gt;90% passes 75µm.</li> <li>200g of pulverized sample is taken by spatula and used for a 40g charge for Fire Assay for gold analysis. A high-capacity vacuum cleaning system is used to clean sample preparation equipment between each sample.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fire Assay is an industry standard analysis technique for determining the total gold content of a sample. The 40g charge is mixed with a lead-based flux. The charge/flux mixture is 'fired' at 1100°C for 50mins fusing the sample. The gold is extracted from the fused sample using Nitric (HNO3) and Hydrochloric (HCl) acids. The acid solution is then subjected to Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) to determine gold content. The detection level for the Fire Assay/AAS technique is 0.01ppm.</li> <li>Laboratory QA/QC controls during the analysis process include duplicates for reproducibility, blank samples for contamination and standards for bias.</li> <li>The laboratories used have generally demonstrated analytical accuracy at an acceptable level within 95% confidence limits.</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drilling and significant intersections are verified and signed off by the Exploration Manager for Greenstone Resources who is also a Competent Person.</li> <li>No pre-determined twin holes were drilled during this program.</li> <li>Geological logging was originally captured on formatted excel templates, then sent to the company's consultant database administrator (SampleData) utilising Datashed software for uploading into a database via a validation process. Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data is captured electronically and also sent to SampleData. The official database is stored and backed up by SampleData, a copy of which is sent to Greenstone for geologists use. Uploaded data is reviewed and verified by the geologist</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul><li>responsible for the data collection.</li><li>No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data reported.</li></ul>
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drill hole collar locations are surveyed before and after by a qualified surveyor using sophisticated DGPS with a nominal accuracy of +/- 0.05m for north, east and RL (elevation)</li> <li>The drilling rig was sighted using a compass. Drill hole angle was set using an inclinometer placed on the drill mast prior to collaring the hole.</li> <li>Down-hole surveying was completed after completion of the program using a north seeking Keeper Rate Gyro System. Local grid azimuths were calculated by subtracting 41.56° from the gyro reading.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillholes were located on 50m or 100m spaced traverses along strike from previous drillholes.</li> <li>No sample compositing has been applied to mineralised intervals.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drilling was perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralised structures targeted for this program. All reported intervals are however reported as downhole intervals only.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and/or sampling bias have been recognized in the data at this time.</li> </ul>
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• The chain of custody of digital data is managed by the Company. Physical material was stored on site and, when necessary, delivered to the assay laboratory. Thereafter laboratory samples were controlled by the nominated laboratory which to date has been Bureau Veritas Kalassay and SGS Laboratory Kalgoorlie.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	<ul> <li>No audits or reviews have been conducted on sampling techniques and data at this stage.</li> </ul>

## SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS FOR MAIN LODE & BURBANKS NORTH

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Main Lode and Burbanks North Deposits are located within mining lease M15/161, within the Burbanks Project wholly owned by Greenstone Resources Limited.</li> <li>There is no native title claim over the lease</li> <li>The tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mining lease M15/161 comprises the Birthday Gift Mining Centre. Historical production (1885-1999) from the Birthday Gift Mine (incl. Lady Robinson, Christmas, Far</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul> <li>East and Tom's Lode pits) and the Main Lode Mine produced over 400,000 ounces to a depth of about 140m below surface. Birthday Gift is being actively mined today under the ownership of KDR.</li> <li>No mining has occurred at Main Lode since 1914.</li> <li>Between 1946-1951 WMC channel-sampled Level-7 at Birthday Gift yielding 30m @ 18.3g/t Au over and average width of 1.5m and 76m @ 17.4g/t Au over an average width of 1.1m. At Main Lode, channel sampling along Level-8 returned 160m @ 16.1g/t Au over an average width of 0.4m.</li> <li>1978-1985; Jones Mining NL mined the Lady Robinson open pit producing 28,000t @ 6.2g/t (5,600oz).</li> <li>1985-1991; Metallgesellschaft/Lubbock mined a further 172,800t @ 3.8g/t (21,100oz) from Lady Robinson.</li> <li>1991-1999; Amalg Resources mined 68,100t @ 2.9g/t from the Christmas Pit, and other parcels from the Far East pit, Tom's Lode pit and minor underground development beneath Lady Robinson and Christmas Pits.</li> <li>1999-2013; Greenstone conducted underground mining at Birthday Gift producing 36,000oz.</li> </ul>
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul> <li>The Burbanks Project, specifically M15/161, covers about 5.0 kilometres of strike of the Burbanks Shear Zone within a package of basalts and intercalated gabbro/dolerite and sediments.</li> <li>Gold occurs in ptygmatically folded and boudinaged laminated quartz veins with pyrite, pyrrhotite, scheelite and an alteration assemblage of plagioclase, calcite, biotite and garnet. It may also occur in quartz-pyritic biotitic shears and is often associated with garnetiferous diorite sills.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drill hole information for the drilling discussed in this report is listed in Table 1 and Table 2 in the context of this report.</li> <li>All material data has been periodically released to the ASX</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations defined and some typical equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reported intersections have been length weighted to provide the intersection width.</li> <li>Significant Intersections (Table 1) have been reported where the overall intersection gold grade is ≥ 1.0g/t Au only.</li> <li>For significant intersections, a maximum of 1m of internal waste have been included in the calculation of intersection widths.</li> <li>No assays have been top-cut for the purpose of this report. A lower cut-off of 1.0g/t Au has been used to identify significant intersections have been reported.</li> <li>All significant intersections have been used for the</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		reporting of these exploration results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>True widths, where reported, have been estimated manually on a hole by hole basis for intersections within known mineralised zones and based on the current knowledge of the mineralised structure.</li> <li>Both downhole width and estimated true width have been clearly specified in this report when used.</li> <li>The main mineralised trend is NE and dips about 75-80 degrees west.</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate plans and sections have been included in the body of this report.</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	<ul> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Both high and low grades have been reported accurately, clearly identified with drill hole attributes and 'from' and 'to' depths.</li> </ul>
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Water table, where modelled lies approximately 60m below surface.</li> </ul>
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Further work has been discussed in the context of previous reports and may include: Additional infill drilling along strike to the north and south of Main Lode and an updated Mineral Resource Estimation.</li> </ul>

## SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES FOR MAIN LODE & BURBANKS NORTH

(Criteria listed in Section 1, and where relevant in Section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	The drilling database for the Burbanks Gold Project is maintained by Greenstone Resources (GSR). The collar metrics, assay, lithology and down-hole survey interval tables were checked and validated by numerous staff of GSR.
	Data validation procedures used.	<ul> <li>GSR's database checks included the following:</li> <li>Checking for duplicate drill hole names and duplicate coordinates in the collar table.</li> <li>Checking for missing drill holes in the collar, survey, assay, and geology tables based on drill hole names.</li> <li>Checking for survey inconsistencies including dips and azimuths &lt;0°, dips &gt;90°, azimuths &gt;360°, and negative depth values.</li> <li>Checking for inconsistencies in the 'From' and 'To' fields of the assay and geology tables. The inconsistency</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		checks included the identification of negative values, overlapping intervals, duplicate intervals, gaps and intervals where the 'From' value is greater than the 'To' value. Database checks were conducted in MS Excel, MS Access, Leapfrog™ and Surpac™ Mining software. GSR has suitable processes and due diligence in place to ensure acceptable integrity of the drill hole data that
		underpin the Mineral Resource estimate. The drill hole data is considered suitable for underpinning Mineral Resource estimation of global gold ounces and incorporated drilling results available up to and including 31st August 2022.
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	The Competent Person regularly visits the site and has a good appreciation of the mineralisation styles comprising the Mineral Resource.
	If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	N/A
Geological interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.	<ul> <li>The Burbanks Gold Project has two separate deposits interpreted in this resource, Burbanks Main Lode and Burbanks North. There are three main styles of mineralisation observed across Burbanks, with higher tenor domains being hosted in brittle deformed intermediate units and on those contacts. Secondary mineralisation is hosted in moderately foliated mafic units.</li> <li>Factors which limited the confidence of the geological interpretation include: <ul> <li>lack of structural measurements to guide local variability of mineralisation orientation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors which aided the confidence of the geological interpretation include: <ul> <li>Grid drilled and perpendicular 20 m × 20 m drill data across the top of the deposit.</li> <li>Development and stope shapes from current and historic mining activities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
		confidence in mineralisation continuity and distribution, as implied within the MRE classification, is moderate given the regular and well oriented drilling.
	Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	Mineralisation interpretations were informed by 237 RC, 81 RCD and 7 DD holes.
		Mineralisation interpretations were largely based on the geometry of the structural architecture, with the lateral extent and orientation of these lithologies limited by logging data.
		A nominal cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au was used to guide the geological continuity of the interpreted mineralisation. Within the mineralised wireframe, if an intercept fell below the nominal cut-off but continuity was supported by host lithologies, the intercept was retained for continuity purposes due to the commodity and the style of deposit.
		A total of 32 mineralisation domains were interpreted at Burbanks, 8 at Burbanks Main Lode and 24 at Burbanks North.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	Alternative mineralisation geometries were compared against indicator based numerical modelling (Leapfrog Indicator RBF Interpolants) at varying cut-offs and probability outcomes. All modelling was underpinned by statistical and spatial (variogram) analysis. These alternative models supported the metal distribution within the interpreted mineralised wireframes.
	The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	A review of lithology logging, particularly the intermediate units, against mineralisation intercepts. The orientation of the mineralised domains was broadly aligned to the structural architecture and mineralisation continuity (as supported by indicator based numerical modelling) supported the current understanding of mineralisation controls.
		Weathering surfaces were created by interpreting existing drill logging for regolith and oxidation state and were extended laterally beyond the limits of the Mineral Resource model. Weathering contacts were reviewed in relation to mineralisation controls but found no clear evidence of a relationship between weathering contacts and grade distribution.
	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	Increased mineralisation tenor is likely driven by intermediate host rocks due to the brittle nature of deformation. Additionally, intersections of lithology contacts and various deformation structures create favourable zones of mineralisation that are likely to be discontinuous.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	Mineralised domains at Burbanks Main Lode (8 domains in total) extend over a 1,000 m strike length in a northeast direction. Lode widths variable and range from 1 m to 20 m. Mineralised domains at Burbanks North (24 domains in total) extend over a 1 470 m strike length in a northeast direction. Lode widths variable and range from 1 m to 18 m. The MRE extends to the surface (approximately 380 mRL). The MRE extends 400 m to a lower limit of -100 mRL below the surface.
Estimation and modeling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.	Interpretations of domain continuity were undertaken in Leapfrog <sup>™</sup> Geo software, with mineralisation intercepts correlating to individual domains manually selected prior to creation of a vein model using Leapfrog <sup>™</sup> Geo implicit modelling software. Domain interpretations used all available validated AC, RC, RCD, and DD data.
		Sample data were composited to a 1 m downhole length using a best fit method. Top-caps were applied prior to block grade estimation, with the maximum distance of possible extrapolation within each domain being based on variogram analysis.
		Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) and variography analysis of the capped and declustered composited gold variable within domain groups whose relation similarities were underpinned through observed spatial and statistical analysis. Robust variogram models with moderate nuggets were delineated. All EDA was completed within Supervisor™ software and the semi-variogram models were plotted as ellipsoids in Surpac and visually validated prior to interpolation.
		An Ordinary Kriging (OK) interpolation approach in GEOVIA Surpac <sup>™</sup> was selected for all interpreted domains. All estimates used domain boundaries as hard boundaries for grade estimation where only composite samples within that domain are used to estimate blocks coded as falling within that domain.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Com	mentary				
		Est sea Nei	imation pa Irch neigh ighbourhoo	arameters bourhood od Analysi	, including ds, were o s (KNA).	estimate b derived thr	llock size and rough Kriging
		Fol var dor	lowing va iogram sp main group	riography herical, a os. Doma	analysis, inisotropic in variograp	separate n models we phy details	ormal scores re applied to are tabulated
		bei	ow.				
		D	omain	Nugget	Kange	Major: Semi- major	Major: Minor
		10	001	0.59	51.5	1.0	4.9
		10	002	0.27	31.0	1.0	6.9
		10	004	0.14	39.0 83	1.1	2.4
				0.00	00		1.5
		Doi 100 Doi gro lim bas pro	mains 1003 D1, domain main 1004 ouped vario ited popul sed on do pximity.	3 and 100 s 1005, 10 l. Doma graphy fra ations, va omains v	6 used the 007 and 100 ains 2000 a om 2200-22 ariogram an vith simila	variography 28 used the 2100-2 13. Where 213. Where 21 search pa 22 r geometry	r from Domain variography of 107 used the domains have arameters are r and spatial
	The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.	A o inve The Orc pro offe	check estin erse distar ere is a < dinary Krig oduction re- er any valio	mate was nce square 10% grad ting (OK) cords pred l informat	undertake ed and gold le variance estimate date any off ion with reg	en for all c l parts per when com outcomes. icial estimat gards to this	lomains using million (ppm). npared to the Historic mine ion and do not MRE.
	The assumptions made regarding recovery of by- products.	No	assumptio	ns with re	espect to by	-products w	ere made.
	Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).	No var	estimatior iables was	n for dele made.	terious eler	nents or ot	her non-grade
	In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.	Inte GEO inte cell Cor hol cor (Qk	erpolation OVIA Surpa erpolation ling of Y: nsideration e data sp ntinuity rar (NA).	was unde c <sup>™</sup> within were Y: 2 : 1.25 m s relating acing, co nges and	rtaken usin parent cell 0 mN, X: 5 nN, X: 0.3 to appropri nceptual m search neig	g Ordinary I blocks. Dime mE, Z: 10 n 125 mE, Z ate block siz ining metho hbourhood	Kriging (OK) in ensions for the nRL, with sub- Z: 1.25 mRL. ze include drill od, variogram optimisations
		RC, spa of t	RCD and I ncing is vari the deposit	DD data w able, with , to 50 m	vas used in t higher den spacing at c	he MRE. Th sity drilling i lepth.	e average drill n the top 60 m
		A the all of and of dro the	hree-pass e domains es d the neigh 6 to a ma opped the i e search rac	estimation stimated v bourhood aximum o minimum lius by 15	n search stra within the n I composite: of 12-18 sa samples re 0% or all do	ategy was e naximum va s ranging fro amples. The quired to 4 mains.	mployed, with riogram range om a minimum e second pass and increased
			Domain	Pange	Search Pass	1	All Passes
			Bomani	(m)	samples	samples	samples
			1001	51.5	6	12	12
			1002	31.0	6	12	12



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Со	ommentary				
			1004	39.0	6	12	12
			2000- 2300	83.0	6	18	18
		L f s c	Domains 1003 and 1006 utilised the search neighbor from domain 1001, domains 1005, 1007 and 1008 uti search neighbourhood of 1004, and domains 2000 an 2107 utilised the search neighbourhood from domains 2200-2213.				
	Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.	٩	No selective	mining uni	ts were assu	imed.	
	Any assumptions about correlation between variables.	Ν	No correlated	d variables	have been i	nvestigated	or estimated.
	Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.	A r c c t	All domain estimates were based on mineralisation domain constraints underpinned by geological logging (veining) and nominal cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au. The mineralisatio constraints have been used as hard boundaries for grad estimation wherein only composite samples within that domain are used to estimate blocks coded as falling within that domain.				
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.	µ v v	Assessment a was underta domains. Where appro	and applica aken on t priate, top	ation of top he gold va -caps were a	-capping for ariable with applied on a	the estimate nin individual domain basis:
				Domain	Top-cap (ppm Au	) Metal ) cut	
				1001	40.00	-3.8%	
				1002	40.00	0.0%	
			_	1003	6.00	-8.8%	
			_	1004	40.00	0.0%	
			-	1006	2.00	-77.4%	
			-	2000	20.00	-1.8%	
			_	2100	10.00	-40.7%	_
			-	2101	15.00	-1.4%	_
			-	2102	50.0	-64.3%	_
			-	2104	12.00	-1.2%	_
			-	2105	40.00	-74.9%	_
			-	2107	12.00	-2.4%	_
			-	2201	8.00	6.9%	_
				2202	14.00	3.7%	
			-	2204	20.00	-10.9%	_
			-	2206	12.00	-10.3%	_
				2207	10.00	-48.7%	
				2208	10.00	7.40%	
				2212	10.00	-15.0%	
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	۷ و v	Validation of global and lov visual compa	f the estin cal bias ana rison (cros	nation outc alysis (swath s and long s	omes was plots), and ections) wit	completed by statistical and h input data.
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	T	The tonnages	s were esti	mated on a	dry basis.	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	The MRE cut-off grade for reporting of near surface (<150m) resources at Burbanks was 0.5 g/t Au. This was based on consideration of grade-tonnage data, selectivity and potential open pit mining method, and benchmarking against comparable-sized deposits of similar mineralisation style and tenor. Resources for Main lode and Burbanks North a 2.5g/t cut-off grade has been applied.
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining accumptions made	Open pit and underground mining methods are assumed. Near surface (<150m) resources at Burbanks are assumed amenable to open pit mining methods, all resources below this depth are assumed to be amendable to conventional underground mining methods. Materials at these depths would fall under the definition of 'reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction' in both open pit and underground mining frameworks. No dilution or cost factors were applied to the estimate.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	There has been no deposit specific metallurgical testwork completed at Burbanks. No metallurgical recovery factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations.
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	No environmental factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations. The deposit is located on a mining licence.
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	<ul> <li>No bulk density testwork has been undertaken at Burbanks, so densities have been assumed. The following bulk density mean values were applied in the block model:</li> <li>Cover and oxide: 2.30 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Transitional: 2.60 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Fresh: 2.90 t/m<sup>3</sup>.</li> </ul>
	The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials	No bulk density testwork has been carried out on the Burbanks deposit. An average bulk density based on weathering domains has been assigned for tonnage reporting.
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.	Mineral Resources at Burbanks were classified as Indicated and Inferred to appropriately represent confidence and risk with respect to data quality, drill hole spacing, geological and grade continuity and mineralisation volumes. Additional



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		considerations were the stage of project assessment, current understanding of mineralisation controls and selectivity within an open pit mining environment.
		In the Competent Person's opinion, the drilling, surveying, sampling undertaken, analytical methods and quality controls used are appropriate for the style of deposit under consideration.
		Indicated Mineral Resources were defined where a moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:
		<ul> <li>Blocks were well supported by composite data, with average sample distances of 40 m or less between samples or if there was significant AC drilling in the area that aided interpretation.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Blocks were interpolated with a neighbourhood informed by a minimum 6–18 composites.</li> <li>Blocks were all estimated in search pass 1 with the inclusion of nominal block in pass 2.</li> <li>Estimation quality was considered reasonable, as delineated by a conditional bias slope nominally above</li> </ul>
		0.5. Inferred Mineral Resources were defined where a low to moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:
		<ul> <li>Drill spacing averaged a nominal 50 m or less, or where drilling was within 80 m of the block estimate.</li> <li>Blocks were interpolated with a neighbourhood informed by a minimum of 6 composites.</li> <li>Blocks were all estimated within search passes 1 and 2.</li> </ul>
		The reported Mineral Resource for open pit studies was constrained at depth by the available drill hole spacing outlined for Inferred classification, nominally 150 m below surface.
		All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the tenement boundary.
		Mineralisation within the model which did not satisfy the criteria for Mineral Resources remained unclassified.
	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	Consideration has been given to all factors that are material to the Mineral Resource outcomes, including but not limited to confidence in volume and grade delineation, quality of data underpinning Mineral Resources, mineralisation continuity and variability of alternate volume interpretations and grade interpolations (sensitivity analysis).
		In addition to the above factors, the classification process considered nominal drill hole spacing, estimation quality (conditional bias slope, number of samples, distance to informing samples) and reliability of input data, specifically.
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	The delineation of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view on continuity and risk at the deposit.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	Internal audits and peer review were undertaken by a third party with a focus on independent resource tabulation, block model validation, verification of technical inputs, and peer review of approaches to domaining, interpolation and classification.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	Variances to the tonnage, grade, and metal tonnes of the MRE are expected with further definition drilling. It is the opinion of the Competent Person that the classification criteria for Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately capture and communicate these variances and risks to all downstream users. The MRE is considered fit for the purpose of underpinning mining studies.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.	The Mineral Resource Statement relates to global tonnage and grade estimates. No formal confidence intervals nor recoverable resources were undertaken or derived.
	These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	There has been no reconcilable modern mining completed within extents of the Main Lode/Burbanks North MRE extents. A trial mining arrangement was in place until August 2022, however resource estimations, record keeping, and reconciliation data is poor. Historic production (Pre-1914) of 85,900 @ 18.3g/t from the upper 275mRL of historic workings.

## THE FOLLOWING TABLES ARE PROVIDED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE JORC CODE (2012 EDITION) FOR THE REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS.

## SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA FOR BIRTHDAY GIFT

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This Table relates to historic sampling completed at the Burbanks Project. The Burbanks Project has been sampled using both Reverse Circulation (RC), Auger/Rotary Air Blast (RAB) and surface/Underground diamond drilling (DD). All DD sampled sections reported are NQ2 or LTK60. Core sample intervals are defined by the geologist to honour geological boundaries ranging from 0.3 to 1.5m in length.</li> <li>RC drill sampling was historically sampled either in one metre intervals or composite sampled by spearing sample bags to form a four or five metre interval. After logging, the geologist marked intervals of interest for subsequent sampling. Sample intervals were nominally 4m, but may have been constrained by logged lithological, mineralisation or alteration boundaries to as small as 1 metre.</li> <li>Holes were angled to optimally intersect the mineralised zones in consideration of site accessibility.</li> <li>Core is aligned and measured by tape, comparing to down-hole core blocks consistent with industry practice. Any discrepancies are immediately highlighted and addressed by the driller and their run sheet.</li> <li>Diamond drilling has been completed to industry standard using varying sample lengths (0.3 to 1.5m) based on geological intervals, which are then crushed and pulverised to produce a ~200g pulp sub-sample to use in the assay process.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul> <li>Diamond core samples are fire assayed (30g charge or 50g charge).</li> <li>Visible gold is occasionally encountered in core</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Previous operators carried out surface and underground diamond drilling by using HQ2, HQ3 and PQ2 (triple tube) LTK60 and NQ2 (standard tube) techniques. All core is routinely orientated using the ORI-shot device or similar (Ezy-Ori, Ezy-Mark). Hole depths range from 5m to 444 m.</li> <li>Reverse circulation (RC) drilling was carried out using a face sampling hammer with 5 ½" - 5 5/8" drill bits</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RC recoveries are logged and recorded in the database. Overall recoveries are &gt;95% for Burbanks Project. Depths were checked against rod counts which were routinely carried out by the drilling contractor. Recoveries are recorded as a percentage calculated from measured core verses drilled intervals. DD drilling results in high core recovery due to the competent nature of the ground.</li> <li>RC samples were routinely visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. There is no known relationship between sample recovery and grade.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All information captured by previous explorers is imported into the database and verified before reporting.</li> <li>Information on structure type, dip, dip direction, alpha angle, beta angle, texture, shape, roughness and fill material is stored in the structure table of the database. Photography of core has not been regularly completed by previous companies.</li> <li>RC samples are logged on a one metre basis. Both the dry sample and washed, sieved chips were logged. A small sample of washed and sieved chips from each metre drilled is stored in labelled plastic chip trays. Diamond core is logged over varying intervals, dependent on observed changes for the variable under investigation (e.g. lithology, alteration etc.). The geological logs are carefully compiled with appropriate attention to detail.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Core is half cut with a diamond core saw. Sample intervals were defined by a qualified geologist to honour geological boundaries. All mineralised zones are sampled plus associated barren material in contact with mineralised zones.</li> <li>Kidman Resources employed the services of ALS Kalgoorlie for all assaying. The procedure utilised include the following:         <ul> <li>Sort all samples and note any discrepancies to the client submitted paperwork. Record a received weight (WEI-21) for each sample. Separate out any samples for SG analysis onto a separate trolley to ensure they are not crushed.</li> <li>Dry samples at 95 degrees until dry.</li> <li>Perform non wax dipped SG analysis (0A-GRA08) on requested samples and return these to the drying oven once completed.</li> <li>Crush samples to 6mm nominal (CRU-21) split any samples &gt;3.2Kg using riffle splitter (SPL- 21).</li> <li>Generate duplicates for nominated samples, assigning D suffix to the sample.</li> <li>Pulverise samples in LM5 pulveriser until grind size</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>passes 90% passing 75um (PUL-23). Check grind size on 1:20 using wet screen method (PUL-QC).</li> <li>Take ~400g working master pulp for 50g fire assay, AAS finish (Au-AA26)</li> <li>Samples are assayed for gold to 0.01ppm. Detection limits are in ppm unless otherwise noted. For pre- Kidman Resources (KDR) samples, best practice is assumed.</li> <li>For all drill core samples being reported, gold</li> </ul>
data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The hatare, quary and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For an ann core samples being reported, god concentration is determined by fire assay using the lead collection technique with a 50 gram sample charge weight. An AAS finish is used and considered as total gold digestion. AMALG Resources used the Amdel Lab in Kalgoorlie and used a nominal 50g charge for FA.</li> <li>No geophysical results reported</li> <li>The QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples: <ul> <li>The field QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples:</li> <li>Commercially prepared certified reference materials (CRM) are inserted at an incidence of 1 in 20 samples.</li> <li>The CRM used cannot be identified by the laboratory</li> <li>QAQC data is assessed when received from the lab and following import by an external database administrator.</li> <li>The laboratory QAQC protocols used include the following for all drill samples:</li> <li>Repeat analysis of pulp samples occur at an incidence of 1 in 20 samples,</li> <li>The laboratory reports its own QAQC data with each batch returned</li> <li>Failed standards are generally followed up by reassaying a second 50g pulp sample of all samples in the fire above 0.1ppm by the same method at the primary laboratory.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No pre-determined twin holes have been drilled.</li> <li>Geological logging was originally captured on paper, scanned and sent to the company's consultant database administrator (RoreData) for entry directly into the database via a validation process. Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data was captured electronically and also sent to RoreData. All original data is stored and backed-up by Greenstone resources in Datashed Software. A copy of which is uploaded to Greenstones' server for geologists use. Uploaded data is reviewed and verified by the geologist responsible for the data collection. This database has since been converted to a Datashed hosted system managed by an external database consultant, SampleData.</li> <li>No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		data reported.
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All horizontal coordinates are based on the Burbank Mine Grid and converted to GDA94_MGA51 grid system. Drillhole collar locations have been surveyed using Total Station method/s by Minecomp personnel. These accuracies of the surveying ranges is nominally 0.1 m.</li> <li>All maps and plans are presented in MGA 94 Zone 51 or in Burbanks Mine Local Grid which is oriented 43 degrees magnetic-sub parallel to the strike of the major lithological units and structural features of the Burbanks area</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Historical pit drilling has predominantly been drilled on a 10m x 20m spacing, Underground exploration and definition drilling has been drilled on a range of spacing, from 10m to 50m</li> <li>The mineralisation at Burbank's has demonstrated sufficient continuity in geological observations, but due to the high nugget effect of the ore body sludge drilling is often used to further delineate ore zones. Sludge holes are not reported as they do not meet adequate QAQC standards; they are however used as an operational control.</li> <li>Diamond and RC samples are measured as 1 metre intervals or cut to match geological boundaries.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>M15/161 lies axially along the Burbanks shear over a distance of "6km. The shear trends northeast and dips steeply northwest. It is 60-100m wide within a package of basalts with intercalated gabbro/dolerite and sediments. The mineralised lodes form sub-parallel to the Burbanks Shear.</li> <li>Drilling was perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralised structure targeted for this program. All reported intervals are however reported as downhole intervals and not true-width.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and/or sampling bias have been recognized in the data at this time.</li> </ul>
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Tracking sheets tracks the progress of batches of samples.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• No audits or reviews have been conducted on sampling techniques and data at this stage.

## SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS FOR BIRTHDAY GIFT

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	<ul> <li>The Birthday Gift Gold Mine is located within Greenstone's 100% owned granted mining lease M15/161.</li> <li>There is no native title claim over the lease.</li> <li>The tenement is in good standing.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	<ul> <li>A royalty of A\$20/oz, capped at A\$1.1M is due to Kidman Resources Limited on any production from the Birthday Gift Mine Area only.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	• Mining lease M15/161 comprises the Birthday Gift Mining Centre. Historical production (1885-1999) from the Birthday Gift Mine (incl. Lady Robinson, Christmas, Far East and Tom's Lode pits) and the Main Lode Mine produced over 420,000 ounces to a depth of about 140m below surface.
		<ul> <li>Previous explorers in the tenement and Project area include Unknown, WMC, Metallgesellschaft, Pettingill, Callion, Normandy, AMALG, Perseverance, Jones Mining, Blue Tiger, Kidman Resources, and Barra Resources</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>In total there has been 1812 Drillholes holes for 118,481.19 m</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>389 Grade Control Drilling and Face Samples taken for 4907.90 m</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>All previous work is accepted and assumed to be industry standard at that time</li> </ul>
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	• The Burbanks Project, specifically M15/161, covers about 5km of strike of the Burbanks Shear Zone within a package of basalts and intercalated gabbro/dolerite and sediments.
		<ul> <li>Gold occurs in ptygmatically folded and boudinaged laminated quartz veins with pyrite, pyrrhotite, +/- scheelite and an alteration assemblage of plagioclase, calcite, chlorite and biotite. It may also occur in quartz- pyritic biotitic shears and is often associated with garnetiferous diorite sills.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> </ul>	All material data is periodically released to the ASX.
	$\circ~$ easting and northing of the drill hole collar	
	<ul> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> </ul>	
	$\circ$ dip and azimuth of the hole	
	<ul> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>hole length.</li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on</li> </ul>	
	the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person	
Data aggregation	In reporting Exploration Results weighting	Reported intersections have been longth weighted to
methods	averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	<ul> <li>A lower cut-off of 0.5g/t Au was used to identify significant intersections, with maximum of 2m internal</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>waste (&lt;0.50g/t Au) included in the calculation of intersection widths.</li> <li>Significant intersections have been reported where the weighted average for the intersection is ≥ 1.0g/t Au.</li> <li>No assays have been top-cut for the purpose of this report.</li> <li>All significant intersections have been reported.</li> <li>No metal equivalent values have been used for the reporting of these exploration results.</li> </ul>
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>True widths, where reported, have been estimated manually on a hole by hole basis for intersections within known mineralised zones and based on the current knowledge of the mineralised structure.</li> <li>Both downhole width and estimated true width have been clearly specified in this report when used.</li> <li>The main mineralised shear trends grid north and dips about ~60-70 degrees grid west. (Grid north = 41.3 True North)</li> </ul>
Diagrams	<ul> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate plans and sections have been included in the body of this report.</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	• Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	<ul> <li>Both high and low grades have been reported accurately, clearly identified with drill hole attributes and 'from' and 'to' depths.</li> </ul>
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi element assaying has historically been conducted on samples for a suite of potentially deleterious elements. Forthcoming work will include this type of analysis.</li> </ul>
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	• Exploration is ongoing at the Burbanks Mining Centre

## SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES FOR BIRTHDAY GIFT

(Criteria listed in Section 1, and where relevant in Section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for	<ul> <li>A complete drilling database was supplied by Kidman in the form of csv files extracted from an access database to Barra Resources (now Greenstone) upon sale of the project. The database was managed by a third-party</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	administrator.
	• Data validation procedures used.	• Mining Plus completed a review of all files for syntax, duplicate values, from and to depth errors and EOH collar depths.
		• The database utilised for this Mineral resource estimate was reviewed and considered suitable to underpin mineral resource estimate completed by Mining Plus.
		• Once loaded into 3D software, Mining Plus completed a review of all survey data by visually validating all hole traces for consistency.
Site visits	<ul> <li>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</li> <li>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why</li> </ul>	• The Competent Person regularly visits the site and has a good appreciation of the mineralisation styles comprising the Mineral Resource.
	this is the case.	• N/A.
Geological interpretation	<ul> <li>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</li> <li>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</li> <li>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The geological information is built out of 1,813 drillholes within the Burbanks deposit.</li> <li>Supergene mineralisation was interpreted using drillhole logs, depth of weathering in the exposed pit walls and the mineralisation continuity.</li> <li>The data used in the geologic model is a combination of diamond core, underground mapping and sampling and RC drilling. Additional production drilling and blast hole data included in the dataset was used to constrain the mineralisation interpretation but was not used in the resource estimation.</li> <li>Detailed structural and lithological polygons were supplied by Kidman to Mining Plus, which were utilised when creating the geological wireframes in Leapfrog and/or Vulcan software.</li> <li>The geological interpretation was built around grouping similar rock types (of similar bulk density) to enable the model to be coded with a specific density estimate to produce reasonable estimates of tonnage.</li> <li>The completion of additional diamond drilling from underground locations would result in a more robust geological model as the information gained from diamond drill core is of greater detail than that obtained from RC chips. This should result in a more refined model and a more robust estimate.</li> <li>In general, the majority of mineralization is hosted adjacent to intrusive contacts and along structural planes. Areas of intense structural displacement, whether folded of faulted, provide the highest grades and thickest mineralized lodes are continuous over almost the entire deposit, although the grade and thickness shows a high degree of variability in areas of limited</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>structural disruption.</li> <li>The greatest continuity in grade and thickness occurs in zones of structural complexity, either in fold hinge zones or associated with syn to late fault zones.</li> </ul>
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Pacource	• The 2015 Burbanks Mineral Resource Estimate extends 1,350m along strike in the north/south direction by 350m across strike in the east/west direction.
	and lower minus of the minieral Resource.	• The mineralisation is generally steeply dipping and extends to a maximum depth of 400m below surface.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Criteria Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul> <li>JORC Code explanation</li> <li>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</li> <li>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</li> <li>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</li> <li>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</li> <li>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</li> <li>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</li> <li>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</li> <li>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</li> <li>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commentary</li> <li>Mineral Resource estimation is completed within Maptek Vulcan V9.1 Resource Modelling software.</li> <li>Three dimensional mineralisation wireframes are completed within Vulcan, using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the mineralisation near the surface, with a 2.0 g/t Au cut-off utilised for the deeper mineralisation. All wireframes were snapped to appropriate assay intervals.</li> <li>An Inverse Distance (ID<sup>2</sup>) weighting interpolation technique is used to estimate the Mineral Resource as it is considered appropriate given the nature of mineralisation and mineralisation configuration.</li> <li>The Mineral Resource database is uniquely flagged with mineralisation zone codes as defined by wireframe boundaries and then composited into 1m lengths and these are used for estimating the Mineral Resource. The composites are extracted with minimum passing of 70% and best fit such that no residuals are created.</li> <li>Statistical and geostatistical analysis are undertaken within Snowden's Supervisor" software.</li> <li>Histograms, log-probability plots and mean variance plots are considered in determining the existence of extreme values and if present, the appropriate cut-offs for each mineralised zone. The points of inflexion in the upper tail of the distributions are examined to help identify extreme values and decide on the treatments applied. These extreme values are either treated with the application of a top-cut or high grade spatial restriction or a combination of both. All grade values greater than the cut-off grade are set to the cut-off value (capped).</li> <li>Due to the thin nature of the mineralisation, consistent and robust variograms were not able to be obtained for the majority of the lodes, hence an Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique was used.</li> <li>Only gold was estimated in the resource model.</li> <li>Drill hole spacing is in the majority of the Indicated</li> </ul>
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	<ul> <li>(capped).</li> <li>Due to the thin nature of the mineralisation, consistent and robust variograms were not able to be obtained for the majority of the lodes, hence an Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique was used.</li> <li>Only gold was estimated in the resource model.</li> <li>Drill hole spacing is in the majority of the Indicated Resource portion of the deposit is approximately 20m (x) x 20m (y) x 10m (z). A block model was created for the Burbanks project area in Vulcan <sup>®</sup> Version 9.1 using a parent block size of 10mE by 10mN by 10mRL. The subblocking functionality in Vulcan was employed utilizing 1m x 1m x 1m sub-blocks, which were estimated within the parent block. The block size is considered appropriate for the drill-hole spacing.</li> <li>No assumption has been made regarding selective mining units.</li> <li>Estimation of gold utilised three interpolation runs with each run increasing the search ellipse size and decreasing the minimum number of samples required for each block to populate with grade:</li> <li>The 1<sup>st</sup> pass utilised a 25m x 10m x 5m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.</li> <li>The 2<sup>nd</sup> pass utilised a 50m x 20m x 10m search ellipse</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
			oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.
		•	The 3 <sup>rd</sup> and final pass utilised a 200m x 60m x 30m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 20 composites used during the interpolation.
		•	The process of validation includes standard model validation using visual and numerical methods:
		•	The block model estimates are checked against the input composite/drillhole data with sufficient spot checks completed on sections and plans.
		•	The block model estimated global means for each mineralised domain are checked against the composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits.
		•	Swath plots of the estimated block grades and composite mean grades are generated by easting's, northings and elevations and reviewed to ensure acceptable correlation.
		•	Although mining has occurred at Burbanks in the past both from underground and open pit sources, no reliable production or reconciliation data was able to be sourced to further validate the relative accuracy of the block model.
Moisture	<ul> <li>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</li> </ul>	•	Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	•	The MRE cut-off grade for reporting of near surface (<150m) resources at Birthday Gift was 0.5 g/t Au. This was based on consideration of grade-tonnage data, selectivity and potential open pit mining method, and benchmarking against comparable-sized deposits of similar mineralisation style and tenor. All resources below this have a 1.5g/t cut-off grade applied
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</li> </ul>	•	Open pit and underground mining methods are assumed. Near surface (<150m) resources at Birthday Gift are assumed amenable to open pit mining methods, all resources below this depth are assumed to be amendable to conventional underground mining methods. Materials at these depths would fall under the definition of 'reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction' in both open pit and underground mining frameworks. No dilution or cost factors were applied to the estimate.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metalluraical	•	Previous toll treatment through a number of third part processing plants have indicated no issues with metallurgical recoveries in the CIL/CIP plant similar to the adjacent 3 <sup>rd</sup> party owned mill.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	assumptions made.	
Environmental factors or assumptions	<ul> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is considered that there are no significant environmental factors, which would prevent the eventual extraction of gold from the Burbanks project. Environmental surveys and assessments will form a part of future studies.</li> </ul>
Bulk density	<ul> <li>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</li> <li>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</li> <li>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bulk density determinations are made on selected diamond drill samples using the wax coated water displacement method by site geologists. Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis.</li> <li>A total of 1,667 bulk density measurements; Density values were assigned to the block model by rock type.</li> <li>Mineralisation is assigned a value in keeping with quartz vein hosted material.</li> <li>A factor was not applied to account for void spaces or moisture differences. Density values were incorporated into the Mineral Resource model.</li> <li>Density data are considered appropriate for use in Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation.</li> </ul>
Classification	<ul> <li>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</li> <li>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</li> <li>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Mineral Resources has been classified into Measured, Indicated and Inferred categories following the guidelines of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012). The classification is based on drill hole intercept spacing, geological confidence, grade continuity and estimation quality. A combination of these factors guides the manual digitising of strings on drill sections to construct envelopes that are to control the Mineral Resource categorisation. This process allows review of the geological control/confidence on the deposit.</li> <li>No part of the Burbanks Mineral Resource has been classified as a Measured Resource.</li> <li>Indicated Resource were based on a drill hole spacing of 25 m by 25 m was required and population of blocks during the first interpolation pass.</li> <li>Inferred Resources were based on a drill hole spacing of up to 100 m by 100 m with population of blocks on the second interpolation pass.</li> <li>Results reflect the Competent Persons' view of the deposit</li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	No independent audits or reviews have been undertaken     on the Mineral Resource estimate
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For	<ul> <li>The Mineral Resources has been reported in accordance with the guidelines of the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves and reflects the</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	<ul> <li>relative accuracy of the Mineral Resources estimates.</li> <li>Further drilling will continue to improve geological and grade understanding of the deposit.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</li> </ul>	

## THE FOLLOWING TABLES ARE PROVIDED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE JORC CODE (2012 EDITION) FOR THE REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS.

## SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA FOR BURBANKS SOUTH

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sampling was conducted using a Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling rig.</li> <li>Samples were collected at every 1m interval using a cyclone and cone splitter to obtain a ~2-3kg representative sub-sample for each 1m interval. The cyclone and splitter were cleaned regularly to minimize contamination.</li> <li>Field duplicates were collected at a rate of 1 in every 20m through pre-determined mineralised zones.</li> <li>Samples were pulverised to produce a 40g charge for fire assay.</li> <li>Sampling and QAQC procedures are carried out using Greenstone protocols as per industry best practice.</li> <li>Historical Results utilised a free-standing riffle splitter to obtain representative 1m samples in observed mineralised zones.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul> <li>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reverse circulation (RC) drilling was carried out using a face sampling hammer with a 127mm (5") drill bit.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sample recoveries are visually estimated qualitatively on a metre basis and recorded in the database.</li> <li>Drilling contractors adjust their drilling approach to specific conditions to maximise sample recovery.</li> <li>Moisture content and sample recovery is recorded for each sample.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	<ul> <li>No sample recovery issues have impacted on potential sample bias.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drillholes are logged in full.</li> <li>All holes were logged at 1m intervals for the entire hole from sieved chips collected and stored in chip trays. Data was recorded for regolith, lithology, veining, fabric (structure), grain size, colour, sulphide presence, alteration and oxidation state.</li> <li>Logging is both qualitative and quantitative in nature</li> </ul>
	• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	depending on the field being logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drill samples were passed through cyclone and cone splitter, and a 2-3kg split sample is collected for each 1m interval.</li> <li>1m split samples were collected for analysis from entire length of drill hole.</li> <li>Field duplicate samples were collected at a rate of 1:20m through mineralised zones and certified reference standards were inserted at a rate of 1:10m in proximity to mineralised zones based on geological interpretation.</li> <li>Sample preparation was conducted at Bureau Veritas' Kalassay Laboratory in Perth using a fully automated sample preparation system. Preparation commences with sorting and drying. Oversized samples are crushed to &lt;3mm and split down to 3kg using a rotary or riffle splitter. Samples are then pulverized and homogenized in LM5 Ring Mills and ground to ensure &gt;90% passes 75μm.</li> <li>200g of pulverized sample is taken by spatula and used for a 40g charge for Fire Assay for gold analysis. A high-capacity vacuum cleaning system is used to clean sample preparation equipment between each sample.</li> <li>The sample size is considered appropriate for this type and style of mineralisation.</li> <li>BBRC076-119 were all sampled at 1m cyclone split</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intervals. All samples were submitted to Kalgoorlie Assay Laboratories for assaying with 4m composites assayed for gold using Aqua Regia analysis techniques and all 1m samples analysed for gold only by Fire Assay</li> <li>Historical Results were processed using 50g fire assay methods with laboratory QAQC accepted as adequate method of Quality assurance,</li> <li>Fire Assay is an industry standard analysis technique for determining the total gold content of a sample. The 40g charge is mixed with a lead-based flux. The charge/flux mixture is 'fired' at 1100°C for 50mins fusing the sample. The gold is extracted from the fused sample using Nitric (HNO3) and Hydrochloric (HCI) acids. The acid solution is then subjected to Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) to determine gold content. The detection level for the Fire Assay/AAS technique is 0.01ppm.</li> </ul>
	(i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	<ul> <li>Laboratory QAYQC controls during the analysis process include duplicates for reproducibility, blank samples for contamination and standards for bias.</li> <li>Historical Results were processed using 50g fire assay methods with laboratory QAQC accepted as adequate method of Quality assurance,</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drilling and significant intersections are verified and signed off by the Exploration Manager for Greenstone Resources who is also a Competent Person.</li> <li>No pre-determined twin holes were drilled during this program.</li> <li>Geological logging was originally captured on paper, entered digitally then sent to the company's consultant database administrator (RoreData) for uploading into a database via a validation process. Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data is captured electronically and also sent to RoreData. The official database is stored and backed up by RoreData, a copy of which is sent to Greenstone for geologists use. Uploaded data is reviewed and verified by the geologist responsible for the data collection.</li> <li>Recent Drilling was originally captured on formatted excel templates, then sent to the company's consultant database administrator (SampleData) utilising Datashed software for uploading into a database via a validation process. Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data is captured electronically and also sent to SampleData. The official database is stored and backed up by RoreData, a copy of which is sent to the company's consultant database administrator (SampleData) utilising Datashed software for uploading into a database via a validation process. Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data is captured electronically and also sent to SampleData. The official database is stored and backed up by SampleData, a copy of which is sent to Greenstone for geologists use. Uploaded data is reviewed and verified by the geologist responsible for the data collection</li> <li>No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data reported.</li> <li>Validation of historical data in alignment with current observed results. Historical results are accepted as accurate and true for the purposes of this reporting</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drill hole collar locations are surveyed before and after by a qualified surveyor using sophisticated DGPS with a nominal accuracy of +/- 0.05m for north, east and RL (elevation)</li> <li>The drilling rig was sighted using a compass. Drill hole angle was set using an inclinometer placed on the drill mast prior to collaring the hole.</li> <li>Down-hole surveying was completed after completion of the program using a north seeking Keeper Rate Gyro System. Local grid azimuths were calculated by subtracting 41.56° from the gyro reading.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillholes were located on 10 &amp; 22m spaced traverses at Burbank's South.</li> <li>No sample compositing has been applied to mineralised intervals.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drilling was perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralised structures targeted for this program. All reported intervals are however reported as downhole intervals only.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and/or sampling bias have been recognized in the data at this time.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	should be assessed and reported if material.	
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Samples for analysis were tagged and recorded instantly and delivered to the laboratory at the end of each day.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• No audits or reviews have been conducted on sampling techniques and data at this stage.

### SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS BURBANKS SOUTH

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Main Lode and Burbanks North Deposits are located within mining lease M15/161, within the Burbanks Project wholly owned by Greenstone Resources Limited.</li> <li>There is no native title claim over the lease</li> <li>The tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	<ul> <li>Mining lease M15/161 comprises the Birthday Gift Mining Centre. Historical production (1885-1999) from the Birthday Gift Mine (incl. Lady Robinson, Christmas, Far East and Tom's Lode pits) and the Main Lode Mine produced over 400,000 ounces to a depth of about 140m below surface. Birthday Gift is being actively mined today under the ownership of KDR.</li> <li>No mining has occurred at Main Lode since 1914.</li> <li>Between 1946-1951 WMC channel-sampled Level-7 at Birthday Gift yielding 30m @ 18.3g/t Au over and average width of 1.5m and 76m @ 17.4g/t Au over an average width of 1.1m. At Main Lode, channel sampling along Level-8 returned 160m @ 16.1g/t Au over an average width of 0.4m.</li> <li>1978-1985; Jones Mining NL mined the Lady Robinson open pit producing 28,000t @ 6.2g/t (5,600oz).</li> <li>1985-1991; Metallgesellschaft/Lubbock mined a further 172,800t @ 3.8g/t (21,100oz) from Lady Robinson.</li> <li>1991-1999; Amalg Resources mined 68,100t @ 2.9g/t from the Christmas Pit, and other parcels from the Far East pit, Tom's Lode pit and minor underground development beneath Lady Robinson and Christmas Pits.</li> <li>1999-2013; Greenstone conducted underground mining at Birthday Gift producing 36,000oz.</li> </ul>
Geology	<ul> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Burbanks Project, specifically M15/161, covers about Skm of strike of the Burbanks Shear Zone within a package of basalts and intercalated gabbro/dolerite and sediments.</li> <li>Gold occurs in ptygmatically folded and boudinaged laminated quartz veins with pyrite, pyrrhotite, scheelite and an alteration assemblage of plagioclase, calcite, biotite and garnet. It may also occur in quartz-pyritic biotitic shears and is often associated with garnetiferous diorite sills.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drill hole information for the drilling discussed in this report is listed in Table 1 and Table 2 in the context of this report.</li> <li>All material data has been periodically released to the ASX</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> </ul>	
	$\circ$ din and azimuth of the hole	
	<ul> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>hole length.</li> </ul>	
	• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the	
	basis that the information is not Material and this	
	exclusion does not detract from the understanding	
	of the report, the Competent Person should clearly	
	explain why this is the case.	
Data aggregation	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting	• Reported intersections have been length weighted to
methoas	averaging techniques, maximum ana/or minimum	provide the intersection width.
	grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be	<ul> <li>Significant Intersections (Table 1 and Table 2) have been reported where the overall intersection gold grade is &gt;</li> </ul>
	stated	1 $\log/t$ Au only
	<ul> <li>Where agaregate intercents incorporate short</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For significant intersections, a maximum of 1m of internal</li> </ul>
	lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of	waste have been included in the calculation of
	low grade results, the procedure used for such	intersection widths.
	aggregation should be stated and some typical	• No assays have been top-cut for the purpose of this
	examples of such aggregations should be shown in	report. A lower cut-off of 1.0g/t Au has been used to
	detail.	identify significant results.
	• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal	All significant intersections have been reported.
	equivalent values should be clearly stated.	• No metal equivalent values have been used for the
		reporting of these exploration results.
Relationship	• These relationships are particularly important in the	• True widths, where reported, have been estimated
between	reporting of Exploration Results.	manually on a hole by hole basis for intersections within
mineralisation	• If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to	known mineralised zones and based on the current
widths and	the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be	knowledge of the mineralised structure.
intercept lengths	reported.	<ul> <li>Both downhole width and estimated true width have been clearly specified in this report when used</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>If it is not known and only the down note lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The main mineralised trend is NE and dins about 75-80</li> </ul>
	effect (e.a. 'down hole length, true width not	degrees west.
	known').	5
Diagrams	• Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and	Appropriate plans and sections have been included in the
	tabulations of intercepts should be included for any	body of this report.
	significant discovery being reported. These should	
	include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole	
Balanced	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration	Poth high and low grades have been reported accurately
reporting	• Where completiensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable representative reporting	clearly identified with drill hole attributes and 'from' and
. op or only	of both low and high grades and/or widths should	'to' depths.
	be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of	
	Exploration Results.	
Other substantive	• Other exploration data, if meaningful and material,	Water table, where modelled lies approximately 60m
exploration data	should be reported including (but not limited to):	below surface.
	geological observations; geophysical survey results;	
	geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results;	
	hulk density aroundwater geotechnical and rock	
	characteristics: potential deleterious or	
	contaminating substances.	
Further work	• The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g.	• Further work has been discussed in the context of previous
	tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or	reports and may include:
	large-scale step-out drilling).	Additional infill drilling along strike to the north and south
	<ul> <li>large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible</li> </ul>	Additional infill drilling along strike to the north and south of the mineralised trend
	<ul> <li>large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas provided.</li> </ul>	Additional infill drilling along strike to the north and south of the mineralised trend Investigating the structural controls of the mineralised





### SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES FOR BURBANKS SOUTH

(Criteria listed in Section 1, and where relevant in Section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	<ul> <li>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</li> <li>Data validation procedures used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All data used in this estimation has been reviewed and validated by the Chief Geologist for continuity, consistency with regards to location, orientation and validity. Historic drill logs have been verified against recent drilling and physical inspection of historic borehole completed to validate drilling location and orientation.</li> <li>All drill holes visually validated in modelling software</li> </ul>
Site visits	<ul> <li>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</li> <li>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Competent Person regularly visits the site and has a good appreciation of the mineralisation styles comprising the Mineral Resource.</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>
Geological interpretation	<ul> <li>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of ) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</li> <li>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</li> <li>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Burbanks South lies immediately south of the Birthday gift deposit which forms part of the Burbanks Mining Centre, located on the Burbanks Shear Zone.</li> <li>The Burbanks Project, specifically M15/161, covers about 5km of strike of the Burbanks Shear Zone within a package of basalts and intercalated gabbro/dolerite and sediments.</li> <li>Gold occurs in ptygmatically folded and boudinaged laminated quartz veins with pyrite, pyrrhotite, +/-scheelite and an alteration assemblage of plagioclase, calcite, chlorite and biotite. It may also occur in quartz-pyritic biotitic shears and is often associated with garnetiferous diorite sills.</li> <li>Factors which limited the confidence of the geological interpretation include the lack of structural data to guide local variability of the mineralisation and structural controls of ore zones</li> <li>Factors which aid the confidence of the geological interpretation include the historic mining and drilling data from the Birthday Gift and Main lode deposits which lie immediately along strike of the Burbanks South Mineral resource. The data collected shows a continuity of both geology and mineralisation style along the Burbanks Shear Zone.</li> <li>Mineralisation interpretations were largely based on the geometry of the structural architecture, with the lateral extent and orientation of these lithologies limited by logging data.</li> <li>A nominal cut-off grade of 0.3 g/t Au was used to guide the geological continuity of the interpreted mineralisation. Within the mineralised wireframe, if an intercept fell below the nominal cut-off but continuity was supported by host lithologies, the intercept was retained for continuity purposes due to the commodity and the style of deposit.</li> <li>A total of 15 mineralised domains were interpreted within the Burbanks South Project area.</li> <li>The Burbanks Shear zone provides the overarching architecture of the Burbanks South resource with projected orientation from adjacent workings used to guide the interpretation.</li></ul>



Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	<ul> <li>ore zones.</li> <li>Weathering surfaces were created by interpreting existing drill logging for regolith and oxidation state and were extended laterally beyond the limits of the Mineral Resource model. Weathering contacts were reviewed in relation to mineralisation controls but found no clear evidence of a relationship between weathering contacts and grade distribution</li> <li>Mineralised domains at Burbanks South (15 Domains in total) extend over a 420m strike length in a north-east orientation. Lode widths vary from 1-5m, but at typically stacked parallel veins.</li> <li>The current mineral resource extends from surface approximately 400mRL) to 115m below surface (285mRL)</li> </ul>
Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul> <li>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</li> <li>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</li> <li>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</li> <li>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</li> <li>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</li> <li>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</li> <li>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</li> <li>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</li> <li>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interpretations of Burbanks South mineralised domains weas undertaken in Maptek Vulcan Software</li> <li>Three-dimensional mineralisation wireframes are completed within Vulcan, using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the mineralisation. All wireframes were snapped to appropriate assay intervals.</li> <li>An Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique is used to estimate the Mineral Resource as it is considered appropriate given the nature of mineralisation and mineralisation configuration.</li> <li>The Mineral Resource database is uniquely flagged with mineralisation zone codes as defined by wireframe boundaries and then composited into 1m lengths and these are used for estimating the Mineral Resource. This composite length aligns with RC sample intervals contained within the resource estimate.</li> <li>Statistical and geostatistical analysis are undertaken within Snowden's Supervisor" software.</li> <li>Histograms, log-probability plots and mean variance plots are considered in determining the existence of extreme values and if present, the appropriate cut-offs for each mineralised zone. The points of inflexion in the upper tail of the distribution on the log-probability plots as well as their spatial distributions are examined to help identify extreme values and decide on the treatments applied. These extreme values are either treated with the application of a top-cut or high-grade spatial restriction or a combination of both. All grade values greater than the cut-off grade are set to the cut-off value (capped). A global top-cut of 12.0g/t was applied for this MRE</li> <li>Due to the thin nature of the mineralisation, consistent and robust variograms were not able to be obtained for the majority of the lodes, hence an Inverse Distance weighting interpolation technique was used.</li> <li>Only gold was estimated in the resource model.</li> <li>Drill hole spacing is in the majority of the Indicated Resource portion of the deposit is approximately 20m (x) x 20m (y) x 10m (z). A block model was creat</li></ul>



		<ul> <li>each run increasing the search ellipse size and decreasing the minimum number of samples required for each block to populate with grade</li> <li>Strike direction of 057 Degrees with a dip of 73.5 Degrees was used to guide search elipses</li> <li>Octants restrictions were used to assist with delustering of data with a minimum of 2 octants containing at least 1 sample required for estimation for the first pass only.</li> <li>The 1st pass utilised a 40m x 20m x 20m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation with a maximum of two samples used from each drill-hole.</li> <li>The 2nd pass utilised a 80m x 40m x 40m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation.</li> <li>The 3rd and final pass utilised a 160m x 80m x 40m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation.</li> <li>The 3rd and final pass utilised a 160m x 80m x 40m search ellipse oriented along the strike and dip of each lode with a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 10 composites used during the interpolation.</li> <li>The process of validation includes standard model validation using visual and numerical methods</li> <li>The block model estimates are checked against the input composite/drillhole data with sufficient spot checks completed on sections and plans.</li> <li>The block model estimated global means for each mineralised domain are checked against the composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits.</li> <li>Swath plots of the estimated block grades and composite mean grades to ensure they are within acceptable limits.</li> <li>Swath plots of the estimated block grades and composite mean grades are generated by easting's, northings and elevations and reviewed to ensure acceptable correlation</li> </ul>
		records of mining in adjacent area are of low quality and reliability
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	<ul> <li>Tonnages are estimated on a dry basis</li> </ul>
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• The reported cut-off grade reported for the Burbanks South Minerals Resource Estimate is 0.5g/t due to the close proximity to surface and existing mining infrastructure.
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Open pit mining methods are assumed.</li> <li>The MRE extends nominally 115 m below the topographic surface. GSR considers material at this depth would fall under the definition of 'reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction' in an open pit mining framework.</li> <li>No dilution or cost factors were applied to the estimate.</li> </ul>
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable	• There has been no deposit specific metallurgical testwork completed at Burbanks South.



Environmental factors or	<ul> <li>prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</li> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No metallurgical recovery factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations.</li> <li>No environmental factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations.</li> </ul>
assumptions	necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	<ul> <li>GSR Does acknowledge the existence of a timber resource over part of the resource area, however, does not believe this will be prohibitive to any future mining activities</li> <li>The deposit is located on a granted mining licence.</li> </ul>
Bulk density	<ul> <li>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</li> <li>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</li> <li>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No bulk density testwork has been undertaken at Burbanks South specifically, however as both the geology and mineralisation type are identical to those observed at Birthday Gift and Main Lode deposits the data from those deposits has been applied:</li> <li>Air/Above Topo - 0</li> <li>Semi-weathered - 2.6</li> <li>Fresh Rock - 2.9</li> <li>No back fill or historic mining voids have been noted within the resource area.</li> <li>An average bulk density based on weathering coding has been assigned for tonnage reporting</li> </ul>
Classification	<ul> <li>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</li> <li>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</li> <li>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mineral Resources were classified as Indicated and Inferred to appropriately represent confidence and risk with respect to data quality, drill hole spacing, geological and grade continuity and mineralisation volumes. Additional considerations were the stage of project assessment, current understanding of mineralisation controls and selectivity within an open pit mining environment.</li> <li>In the Competent Person's opinion, the drilling, surveying and sampling undertaken, and analytical methods and quality controls used, are appropriate for the style of deposit under consideration.</li> <li><u>Indicated</u> Mineral Resources were defined where a moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:</li> <li>Blocks were well supported by drill hole data with the average distance to the nearest sample being within 20 m or less or where drilling was within 20 m of the block estimate</li> <li>Blocks were populated in the first estimation pass, with a minimum of 2 octants containing at least 1 sample required for estimation for the first pass only.</li> </ul>



		<ul> <li><u>Inferred</u> Mineral Resources were defined where a low to moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:</li> <li>Drill spacing averaged a nominal 40 m or less, or where drilling was within 40 m of the block estimate</li> <li>All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the</li> </ul>
		tenement boundary. Mineralisation within the model which did not satisfy the
		<ul> <li>Consideration has been given to all factors that are material to the Mineral Resource outcomes, including but not limited to confidence in volume and grade delineation, quality of data underpinning Mineral Resources, mineralisation continuity and variability of alternate volume interpretations and grade interpolations (sensitivity analysis).</li> <li>In addition to the above factors, the classification process considered nominal drill hole spacing, estimation quality (conditional bias slope, number of samples, distance to informing samples) and reliability of input data, specifically.</li> <li>The delineation of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view on continuity and risk at the deposit.</li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	<ul> <li>Internal audits and peer review withing GSR were undertaken during the interpretation and estimation process.</li> <li>Independent review by a third-party Consultant, Entech Mining Pty, with a focus on independent resource tabulation, block model validation, verification of technical inputs, and peer review of approaches to domaining, interpolation and classification.</li> </ul>
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul> <li>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</li> <li>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</li> <li>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Variances to the tonnage, grade, and metal tonnes of the MRE are expected with further definition drilling. It is the opinion of the Competent Person that the classification criteria for Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately capture and communicate these variances and risks to all downstream users.</li> <li>The MRE is considered fit for the purpose of underpinning mining studies.</li> <li>The Mineral Resource Statement relates to global tonnage and grade estimates.</li> <li>No formal confidence intervals nor recoverable resources were undertaken or derived.</li> </ul>

# THE FOLLOWING TABLES ARE PROVIDED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE JORC CODE (2012 EDITION) FOR THE REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS.



## SECTION 1: SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND DATA FOR PHILLIPS FIND

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sampling was conducted using a Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling rig.</li> <li>Samples were collected at every 1m interval using a cyclone and cone splitter to obtain a 3kg representative sub-sample for each 1m interval. The cyclone and splitter are cleaned regularly to minimize contamination.</li> <li>Field duplicates were collected at a rate of 1 in every 25m.</li> <li>1m split samples submitted for assaying were collected from across intervals of known mineralisation or potential zones of mineralisation as determined from logging.</li> <li>Intervals 'outside' of known intervals mineralisation or potential zones of mineralisation as determined from logging, are collected using an aluminium scoop to produce a four-metre composite sample for analysis.</li> <li>Sampling and QAQC procedures are carried out using Greenstone protocols as per industry best practice.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RC drilling is carried out using a face sampling hammer with nominal 5.75" drill bit.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RC sample recoveries are visually estimated qualitatively on a metre basis and recorded in the database.</li> <li>Drilling contractors adjust their drilling approach to specific conditions to maximise sample recovery.</li> <li>Moisture content and sample recovery is recorded for each sample.</li> <li>No sample recovery issues have impacted on potential sample bias within RC drilling</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drillholes are logged in full.</li> <li>RC holes were logged at 1m intervals for the entire hole from drill chips collected and stored in chip trays. Data was recorded for regolith, lithology, veining, fabric (structure), grain size, colour, sulphide presence, alteration and oxidation state.</li> <li>Logging is both qualitative and quantitative in nature depending on the field being logged.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.	• All RC samples were passed through cyclone and cone riffle splitter and a ~3kg split sample is collected for each



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
sample preparation	<ul> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1m interval.</li> <li>1m split samples across intervals of known mineralisation or potential zones of mineralisation as determined from logging are collected for analysis.</li> <li>For Intervals 'outside' of known intervals mineralisation or potential zones of mineralisation as determined from logging, a four-metre composite sample is collected for analysis. If after analysis a four-metre composite sample returns a gold grade &gt;= 0.2ppm, the original 1m split samples are then collected and analysed for that particular composite interval.</li> <li>Field duplicate samples were collected at a rate of 1 in every 25m and certified reference standards were inserted at a rate of 2-3 per hole.</li> <li>Sample preparation was conducted at Bureau Veritas' Ultra-trace Assay Laboratory in Perth using a fully automated sample preparation system. Preparation commences with sorting and drying. Oversized samples are crushed to &lt;3mm and split down to 3kg using a rotary or riffle splitter. Samples are then pulverized and homogenized in LM5 Ring Mills and ground to ensure &gt;90% passes 75µm.</li> <li>200g of pulverized sample is taken by spatula and used for a 40g charge for Fire Assay for gold analysis. A high-capacity vacuum cleaning system is used to clean sample preparation equipment between each sample.</li> <li>The sample size is considered appropriate for this type and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fire Assay is an industry standard analysis technique for determining the total gold content of a sample. The 40g charge is mixed with a lead based flux. The charge/flux mixture is 'fired' at 1100°C for 50mins fusing the sample. The gold is extracted from the fused sample using Nitric (HNO3) and Hydrochloric (HCl) acids. The acid solution is then subjected to Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) to determine gold content. The detection level for the Fire Assay/AAS technique is 0.01ppm.</li> <li>Laboratory QA/QC controls during the analysis process include duplicates for reproducibility, blank samples for contamination and standards for bias.</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All drilling and significant intersections are verified and signed off by the Technical Director for Greenstone Resources who is also a Competent Person.</li> <li>No twin holes were drilled during this program. Twin holes have been drilled previously prior to open-pit mining.</li> <li>Geological logging was previously captured on paper, scanned and sent to the company's consultant database administrator (RoreData) for entry directly into the database via a validation process. More recently,</li> </ul>



Contion of data points         • Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate diff holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine working and adher locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.         • Drillhole collar locations are surveyed before and after geologists use. Uploaded to Terestories' server for geologists use. Uploaded to Terestories' dualified surveyor using sphisticated DGFs with a nominal accuracy of 4 - 0.05m for northe, sets and RL (elevation)           • Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.         • Drillhole coller locations are surveyed before and after biner of the data societ of the data value of the more mainter of the origing data was to using an inclinometer placed on the dilline completing and data was to using an inclinometer uplaced on the data mainter of the data was to using an inclinometer survey was conducted by tyre associated and warde data wastreaton anominal spacing of Son x Som the current sposter for t	Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Location of data points <ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> <li>The drilling rig was sighted using surveyed sight pegs and a compass. Drillhole angle was set using an inclometer placed on the drill may arrow to collaring the hole.</li> <li>Upon drillhole completion a groscopic down-hole survey was conducted by Grya Australia.</li> <li>All drilling was located using the 6DA94, MGA Zone 51 grid system and converted to local the surveyed mine grid (PF_MineCrif) using the following conversion: 61090.526mN ; 3999.423mE = 6611577.979mN ; 304382.447mE</li> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Mether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the etaposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of sey mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assested and reported fi material.</li> <li>Sample security</li> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> <li>Samples for analysis were togged and recorded instantly and delivered to the laboratory of the end of eacordady.</li>			<ul> <li>Geological logging was captured on formatted excel templates, then sent to the company's consultant database administrator (SampleData) utilising Datashed software for uploading into a database via a validation process Sampling, collar, and laboratory assay data was captured electronically and also sent to SampleData. All original data was stored and backed-up by Greenstone. A copy of which is uploaded to Greenstone's server for geologists use. Uploaded data is reviewed and verified by the geologist responsible for the data collection.</li> <li>No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data reported.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution <ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> <li>Whether the orientation of data in relation to geological structure</li> <li>Whether the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Sample security</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Sample security</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Sample security</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Sample security of now qudits or reviews of gampling.</li> <li>The results of now qudits or reviews</li></ul>	Location of data points	<ul> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillhole collar locations are surveyed before and after by a qualified surveyor using sophisticated DGPS with a nominal accuracy of +/- 0.05m for north, east and RL (elevation)</li> <li>The drilling rig was sighted using surveyed sight pegs and a compass. Drillhole angle was set using an inclinometer placed on the drill mast prior to collaring the hole.</li> <li>Upon drillhole completion a gyroscopic down-hole survey was conducted by Gyro Australia.</li> <li>All drilling was located using the GDA94, MGA Zone 51 grid system and converted to local the surveyed mine grid (PF_MineGrid) using the following conversion:</li> <li>6199.526mN ; 3999.423mE = 6612065.828mN ; 304382.447mE</li> <li>6100.473mN ; 5293.703mE = 6611577.979mN ; 305585.372mE</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure       • Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.       • Drilling was perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralised structure targeted for this program. All reported intervals are however reported as downhole intervals and not true-width.         • If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.       • No drilling orientation and/or sampling bias have been recognized in the data at this time.         Sample security       • The measures taken to ensure sample security.       • Samples for analysis were tagged and recorded instantly and delivered to the laboratory at the end of each day.         • Samples not collected for analysis are tagged and stored in the company's fenced compound for later use if required.	Data spacing and distribution	<ul> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillholes were designed to test for extensions to known lodes on a nominal spacing of 50m x 50m the current spacing is insufficient to establish the necessary continuity and confidence to complete a new Mineral Resource and Reserve, and the classifications applied under the 2012 JORC Code.</li> <li>No sample compositing has been applied to mineralised intervals.</li> </ul>
and delivered to the laboratory at the end of each day.     Samples not collected for analysis are tagged and stored in the company's fenced compound for later use if required.      Audits or reviews     The results of any audits or reviews of sampling	Orientation of data in relation to geological structure Sample security	<ul> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drilling was perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralised structure targeted for this program. All reported intervals are however reported as downhole intervals and not true-width.</li> <li>No drilling orientation and/or sampling bias have been recognized in the data at this time.</li> <li>Samples for analysis were tagged and recorded instantly</li> </ul>
	Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling	<ul> <li>and delivered to the laboratory at the end of each day.</li> <li>Samples not collected for analysis are tagged and stored in the company's fenced compound for later use if required.</li> <li>No audits or reviews have been conducted on sampling.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	techniques and data.	techniques and data.

#### SECTION 2: REPORTING OF EXPLORATION RESULTS FOR PHILLIPS FIND

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral	• Type, reference name/number, location and	• The Newminster Deposit is located within mining leases
tenement and	ownership including agreements or material	M16/130 and M16/168, located within the Phillips Find
land tenure	issues with third parties such as joint ventures,	Project, 100% owned by Greenstone Resources Limited.
status	partnerships, overriding royalties, native title	There is no native title claim over the leases
	interests, historical sites, wilderness or national	• Ore from within M16/130 is subject to a \$3 per tonne
	park and environmental settings.	treated
	• The security of the tenure held at the time of	<ul> <li>Gold produced within M16/130 and M16/168 is subject</li> </ul>
	reporting along with any known impediments to	to a royalty of \$10 per ourse recovered after the first
	obtaining a licence to operate in the area	40 000oz has boon produced
		40,00002 has been produced.
		As at 20 May 2016, a total of 32,839 ounces has been
		The tenements are in good standing.
Exploration done	• Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by	Gold was first discovered at the Phillips Find Mining
by other parties	other parties.	Centre (Newminster, Newhaven and Bacchus Gift
		Deposits) in the 1890's but it wasn't until the 1930's that
		small mining occurred at Newminster and Newhaven.
		The most recent small scale mining at Newminster was
		conducted by Mr D Radisich during the 1970's.
		Systematic exploration commenced in the 1980's with
		RAB and RC drilling conducted by Coolgardie Gold NL,
		Central Kalgoorlie Gold Mines NL (CKGM), Archaean
		Gold NL, Lachlan Resources NL and Barminco Pty Ltd.
		Barminco estimated a geological resource for
		Newminster in 1999.
		Barra (Greenstone) Resources Ltd acquired the
		Newminster Deposit (Phillips Find Project) from
		Barminco in 2000. In 2008 Barra drilled 3 diamond holes
		at Newminster to better understand that structural
		geometry of mineralisation. It wasn't until 2011, after a
		very successful RC drilling that a maiden JORC 2004
		compliant resource was established and a commitment
		to an open pit mining operation was made
		The Newminster Denosit was mined in 2 stages) to a
		denth of -65m between January 2013 and Sentember
		2015 subject to a 'Pight-to-Mine' agreement with Blue
		Tiger Mining Dty Ltd
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of	• The Phillips Find Project covers an area along the contact
	mineralisation.	between Coolgardie and Kalgoorlie domains. The
		boundary between the two domains is marked by the
		regional scale Kunanalling Shear. The Phillips Find Mining
		Centre is located on a major geosynclinal fold hinge
		comprising a sequence of interflow sediments, basalt,
		dolerite and ultramafic rocks abutting the Dunnsville-
		Doyle Granodiorite.
		• Gold mineralisation at Newminster is associated with



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		sheared black shale along the contact between dolerite and basalt, ENE trending offset structures and a NNE crosscutting fault; high-grade mineralisation is controlled the late NNE striking cross-cutting fault.
Drill hole Information	<ul> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:         <ul> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Drillhole information for the drilling discussed in this report is listed in Tables 1 and 2 in the context of this report.</li> <li>All material data has been periodically released to the ASX on these dates:         <ul> <li>14/09/2011, 20/09/2011, 19/10/2011, 02/12/2011, 19/12/2011, 02/04/2012, 16/01/2013, 29/04/2013, 15/07/2014, 19/05/2015, 23/07/2015, 05/04/2016, 21/12/2007, 15/11/2007, 20/10/2021</li> </ul> </li> <li>Benorted intersections have been length weighted to</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reported intersections have been length weighted to provide the intersection width.</li> <li>Mineralised zones have been reported where gold values are &gt;= 0.2g/t Au.</li> <li>For significant intersections, a maximum of 2m of internal waste (or barren) between mineralised samples has been included in the calculation of intersection widths.</li> <li>No assays have been top-cut for the purpose of this report. A lower cut-off of 1g/t Au has been used to identify significant results.</li> <li>All significant intersections of have been reported.</li> <li>No metal equivalent values have been used for the reporting of these exploration results.</li> </ul>
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths Diagrams	<ul> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>True widths, where reported, have been estimated manually on a hole by hole basis for intersections within known mineralised zones and based on the current knowledge of the mineralised structure.</li> <li>Both downhole width and estimated true width have been clearly specified in this report when used.</li> <li>The Central Lode trends NNE and dips about 60 degrees west.</li> <li>Appropriate plans and sections have been included in the body of this report.</li> </ul>
Balanced reporting	<ul> <li>sectional views.</li> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or</li> </ul>	Both high and low grades have been reported accurately, clearly identified with drillhole attributes and



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	widths should be practiced to avoid misleading	'from' and 'to' depths.
	reporting of Exploration Results.	
Other substantive exploration data	<ul> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Open pit geological and structural mapping of the Newminster Deposit has occurred since completion of open-pit mining. This data has been used to re-model and validate existing and new interpretations of the geometry of mineralisation.</li> </ul>
	metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	
Further work	<ul> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Further work has been discussed in the context of this report but will include:</li> <li>Geological modelling and Mineral Resource Estimation</li> <li>Scoping study to determine viability of underground mining, and</li> <li>Further drilling to test down-plunge extension to Central Lode.</li> </ul>

## SECTION 3: ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF MINERAL RESOURCES FOR PHILLIPS FIND

(Criteria listed in Section 1, and where relevant in Section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	The drilling database for the Phillips Find Gold Project is maintained by Greenstone Resources (GSR). The collar metrics, assay, lithology and down-hole survey interval tables were checked and validated by numerous staff of GSR.
	Data validation procedures used.	GSR's database checks included the following:
		<ul> <li>Checking for duplicate drill hole names and duplicate coordinates in the collar table.</li> <li>Checking for missing drill holes in the collar, survey, assay,</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>and geology tables based on drill hole names.</li> <li>Checking for survey inconsistencies including dips and azimuths &lt;0°, dips &gt;90°, azimuths &gt;360°, and negative depth values.</li> </ul>
		• Checking for inconsistencies in the 'From' and 'To' fields of the assay and geology tables. The inconsistency checks included the identification of negative values, overlapping intervals, duplicate intervals, gaps and intervals where the 'From' value is greater than the 'To' value.
		Database checks were conducted in MS Excel, MS Access, Leapfrog <sup>™</sup> and Surpac <sup>™</sup> Mining software.
		GSR has suitable processes and due diligence in place to ensure acceptable integrity of the drill hole data that underpin the Mineral Resource estimate. The drill hole data is considered suitable for underpinning Mineral Resource estimation of global gold ounces and incorporated drilling results available up to and including 30th June 2022.
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	The Competent Person regularly visits the site and has a good appreciation of the mineralisation styles comprising the Mineral Resource.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
	If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	N/A	
Geological interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.	<ul> <li>The Phillips Find Gold Project has three separate deposits interpreted in this resource, Newminster, New Haven and Bacchus Gift. Mineralisation at Phillips Find is associated with the presence of reducing black shales that have been locally folded and sheared, particularly near fertile felsic intrusives. The mineralisation occurs in a variety of orientations due to the complex early fold architecture and later shearing and faulting.</li> <li>Factors which limited the confidence of the geological interpretation include: <ul> <li>lack of structural measurements to guide local variability of mineralisation orientation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors which aided the confidence of the geological interpretation.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>interpretation included:</li> <li>grid drilled and perpendicular 20 m × 20 m drill data across the deposit and closer spaced within the historic pits.</li> <li>geological and structural review undertaken by Xirlatem in 2022.</li> <li>review of historic flitch plans from historic mining activities.</li> </ul>	
		GSR considers confidence is moderate to high for the structural architecture that supports the MRE. GSR considers confidence in mineralisation continuity and distribution, as implied within the MRE classification, is moderate given the regular and well oriented drilling.	
	Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	Mineralisation interpretations were informed by 513 RC and 17 DD holes. Mineralisation interpretations were largely based on the geometry of the structural architecture, with the lateral extent and orientation of these lithologies limited by logging data. A nominal cut-off grade of 0.3 g/t Au was used to guide the geological continuity of the interpreted mineralisation. Within the mineralised wireframe, if an intercept fell below the nominal cut-off but continuity was supported by host lithologies, the intercept was retained for continuity purposes due to the commodity and the style of deposit. A total of 12 mineralisation domains were interpreted at Phillips Find, five at Bacchus Gift, 11 at New Haven and seven at Newminster.	
	The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	Alternative mineralisation geometries were compared against indicator based numerical modelling (Leapfrog Indicator RBF Interpolants) at varying cut-offs and probability outcomes. All modelling was underpinned by statistical and spatial (variogram) analysis. These alternative models supported the metal distribution within the interpreted mineralised wireframes.	
	The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	A review of lithology logging, particularly the black shale units, against mineralisation tenor. The orientation of the mineralised domains was broadly aligned to the structural architecture and mineralisation continuity (as supported by indicator based numerical modelling) supported the current understanding of mineralisation controls.	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Weathering surfaces were created by interpreting existing drill logging for regolith and oxidation state and were extended laterally beyond the limits of the Mineral Resource model. Weathering contacts were reviewed in relation to mineralisation controls but found no clear evidence of a relationship between weathering contacts and grade distribution.
	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	Increased mineralisation tenor is likely driven by proximity to reducing black shale units and fertile felsic intrusives. Additionally, intersections of lithology contacts and various deformation structures create favourable zones of mineralisation that are likely to be discontinuous.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	Mineralised domains at New Haven (11 domains in total) extend over a 250 m strike length in a north-northeast direction. Lode widths are highly variable and range from 1 m to 20 m. Mineralised domains at Newminster (7 domains in total) extend over a 180 m strike length in a north-northeast direction. Lode widths are highly variable and range from 1 m to 18 m. Mineralised domains at Bacchus Gift (5 domains in total) extend over a 250 m strike length in an east-northeast direction. Lode widths are highly variable and range from 1 m to 10 m. The depth below surface to the upper limits of the MRE is approximately 5 m (approximately 460 mRL). The MRE extends 155 m to a lower limit of 160 m (305 mRL) below the surface.
Estimation and modeling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.	Interpretations of domain continuity were undertaken in Leapfrog <sup>™</sup> Geo software, with mineralisation intercepts correlating to individual domains manually selected prior to creation of a vein model using Leapfrog <sup>™</sup> Geo implicit modelling software. Domain interpretations used all available validated RC and DD data. Sample data were composited to a 1 m downhole length using a best fit method. Top-caps were applied prior to block grade estimation, with the maximum distance of possible extrapolation within each domain being based on variogram analysis. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) and variography analysis of the capped and declustered composited gold variable within domain groups whose relation similarities were underpinned through observed spatial and statistical analysis. All EDA was completed within Supervisor <sup>™</sup> software and exported for further visual and graphical review. An Ordinary Kriging (OK) with Dynamic Anisotropy (DA) interpolation approach in GEOVIA Surpac <sup>™</sup> was selected for all interpreted domains to account for frequent inflections in the domain geometry. All estimates used domain boundaries as hard boundaries for grade estimation where only composite samples within that domain are used to estimate blocks coded as falling within that domain. Estimation parameters, including estimate block size and search neighbourhoods, were derived through Kriging Neighbourhood Analysis (KNA). Following variography analysis, separate normal scores variogram spherical, anisotropic models were applied to domain groups. Domain variography details are tabulated below.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
				1		
		Domain	Nugget	Range	Major:	Major:
		1001 1004-1007	0.35	27.5	2 5	2 5
		1001, 1004-1007	0.35	27.5	1.9	2.5
		1002	0.27	34.5	2.6	2.0
		2001	0.30	33	1.3	2.4
		2002-2011	0.30	20.5	1.7	2.3
		3001	0.40	49	1.8	4.5
		3002-3005	0.37	45.5	2.0	4.0
	The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. The assumptions made regarding recovery of by- products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the	A check estimate was undertaken for all domains using inverse distance squared and gold parts per million (ppm). The check estimate results were, on average, 8.1% higher in meta content. Historic mine production has been periodic between 1992 and 2015, with a total of 32,839 Ounces of gold recovered. This includes most recent mining activities were completed by Blue Tiger Mines under a "Right to mine" arrangement concluding in December 2015, which reported economic mining of 111,082t for 9,018 Oz of Gold. Previously reported resources have been reported under the JORC 2004 Guidelines of 149,000t at 3.5g/t for 16,700 Oz . No assumptions with respect to by-products were made. No estimation for deleterious elements or other non-grade variables was made.				
	search employed.	for the interpolat celling of Y: C Considerations r hole data spaci continuity range (QKNA). RC and DD data w ranges from 10 maintained for a Given that the c spacing), a the employed, with variogram range	cion were Y 0.625 mN, elating to ng, conce is and sea vas used in m to 30 Il classified leposit is v ree-pass of all domair and the n	7: 5 mN, 3; X: 0.6 appropriptual m mrch neig the MR m, with domain well drill estimation as estimation eighbou	K: 5 mE, Z: 5 n 625 mE, Z: fate block size ining metho ghbourhood E. The averag a nominal 2 s. ed (nominal on search seatch se	nRL, with sub- 0.625 mRL. e include drill d, variogram optimisations e drill spacing 20 m spacing 10-20 m drill strategy was he maximum osites ranging
	Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining	from a minimur           Second and thi           required to 4 and           for domains 2003           Domain           Rai           1001, 1004-1007           22           1003           2001           3002-2011           2002-2011           2002-2013           2002-2014           2002-2015           45	n of 5-6 t rd passes d 2 respect 3, 2008, 20 , 2008,	co a ma droppe ively for i11 and 3 num Mir ples sa one) (pa 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	ximum of 12 d the minim all domains (n 3005). mimum Minimu sample ss two) (pass thr 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2	Maximum of 1 Maximum of 1 Maximum of 1 Maximum of 1 m Maximum of 1 m samples (all passes) 15 12 17 16 12 14 14 14
	units.					



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
	Any assumptions about correlation between variables.	No correlated variables have been investigated or estimated.		
	Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.	All domain estimates were based on mineralisation domain constraints underpinned by geological logging (veining) and a nominal cut-off grade of 0.3 g/t Au. The mineralisation constraints have been used as hard boundaries for grade estimation wherein only composite samples within that domain are used to estimate blocks coded as falling within that domain.		
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.	<ul> <li>Assessment and application of top-capping for the estimate was undertaken on the gold variable within individual domains.</li> <li>Where appropriate, top-caps were applied on a domain basis: <ul> <li>Domain 1001: Top-cap = 40 g/t Au and 8.7% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 1002: Top-cap = 40 g/t Au and 3.4% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 1003: Top-cap = 40 g/t Au and 8.7% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 1004: Top-cap = 40 g/t Au and 7.1% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 2001: Top-cap = 15 g/t Au and 9.8% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 2002: Top-cap = 20 g/t Au and 9.8% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 2002: Top-cap = 15 g/t Au and 4.5% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 2003: Top-cap = 10 g/t Au and 5.4% metal reduction</li> <li>Domain 3001: Top-cap = 35 g/t Au and 19.8% metal reduction</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	Validation of the estimation outcomes was completed by global and local bias analysis (swath plots), and statistical and visual comparison (cross and long sections) with input data.		
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	The tonnages were estimated on a dry basis.		
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	The MRE cut-off grade for reporting of near surface (sub 100m) gold resources at Phillips Find was 0.5 g/t Au. The MRE cut-off grade for reporting of below 100m from natural surface was 2.0g/t This was based on consideration of grade-tonnage data, selectivity and potential open pit mining method, and benchmarking against comparable-sized deposits of similar mineralisation style and tenor.		
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may	Open pit mining methods are assumed. The MRE extends nominally 150 m below the topographic surface. Material at this depth would fall under the definition of 'reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction' in an open pit mining framework. No dilution or cost factors were applied to the estimate.		



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	There has been no deposit specific metallurgical testwork completed at Phillips Find. No metallurgical recovery factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations.
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	No environmental factors were applied to the Mineral Resources or resource tabulations. The deposit is located on a mining licence.
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	<ul> <li>No bulk density testwork has been undertaken at Phillips Find, so densities have been assumed. The following bulk density mean values were applied in the block model:</li> <li>Cover and oxide: 2.20 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Transitional: 2.50 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Fresh: 2.70 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Voids: 0.0 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Bacchus Gift has been backfilled with material from mining activities and has been assigned a density of 1.80 t/m<sup>3</sup>. Waste dump material has been assigned a density of 1.80 t/m<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>
	The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.	No bulk density testwork has been carried out on the Phillips Find deposit.
	Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	An average bulk density based on weathering coding has been assigned for tonnage reporting.
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.	Mineral Resources were classified as Indicated and Inferred to appropriately represent confidence and risk with respect to data quality, drill hole spacing, geological and grade continuity and mineralisation volumes. Additional considerations were the stage of project assessment, current understanding of mineralisation controls and selectivity within an open pit mining environment. In the Competent Person's opinion, the drilling, surveying and sampling undertaken, and analytical methods and quality



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		controls used, are appropriate for the style of deposit under consideration.		
		<u>Indicated</u> Mineral Resources were defined where a moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:		
		<ul> <li>Blocks were well supported by drill hole data with the average distance to the nearest sample being within 20 m or less or where drilling was within 20 m of the block estimate.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Blocks were interpolated with a heighbourhood informed by the maximum number of sample criterion</li> <li>Estimation quality was considered reasonable, as delineated by a conditional bias slope nominally above 0.5.</li> </ul>		
		<u>Inferred</u> Mineral Resources were defined where a low to moderate level of geological confidence in geometry, continuity and grade was demonstrated, and were identified as areas where:		
		<ul> <li>Drill spacing averaged a nominal 40 m or less, or where drilling was within 40 m of the block estimate</li> <li>Estimation quality was considered low, as delineated by a conditional bias slope between 0.2 and 0.5.</li> </ul>		
		The reported Mineral Resource for open pit studies was constrained at depth by the available drill hole spacing outlined for Inferred classification, nominally 160 m below surface.		
		All classified Mineral Resources were reported inside the tenement boundary.		
		criteria for Mineral Resources remained unclassified.		
	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	Consideration has been given to all factors that are material to the Mineral Resource outcomes, including but not limited to confidence in volume and grade delineation, quality of data underpinning Mineral Resources, mineralisation continuity and variability of alternate volume interpretations and grade interpolations (sensitivity analysis).		
		In addition to the above factors, the classification process considered nominal drill hole spacing, estimation quality (conditional bias slope, number of samples, distance to informing samples) and reliability of input data, specifically.		
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	The delineation of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view on continuity and risk at the deposit.		
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	Internal audits and peer review were undertaken by a third party with a focus on independent resource tabulation, block model validation, verification of technical inputs, and peer review of approaches to domaining, interpolation and classification.		
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative	Variances to the tonnage, grade, and metal tonnes of the MRE are expected with further definition drilling. It is the opinion of the Competent Person that the classification criteria for Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources appropriately capture and communicate these variances and risks to all downstream users.		



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	The MRE is considered fit for the purpose of underpinning mining studies.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.	The Mineral Resource Statement relates to global tonnage and grade estimates. No formal confidence intervals nor recoverable resources were undertaken or derived.
	These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	The mineralisation occurs in a variety of orientations due to the complex early fold architecture and later shearing and faulting. Factors which limited the confidence of the geological interpretation include:
		<ul> <li>lack of structural measurements to guide local variability of mineralisation orientation.</li> </ul>
		Factors which aided the confidence of the geological interpretation included:
		<ul> <li>grid drilled and perpendicular 20 m × 20 m drill data across the deposit and closer spaced within the historic pits.</li> <li>geological and structural review undertaken by Xirlatem in 2022</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>review of historic flitch plans from historic mining activities.</li> <li>The deposit geometry and continuity has been adequately interpreted to reflect the applied level for Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources. The data quality is good, and the drill holes have detailed logs produced by qualified geologists. A recognised laboratory has been used for all analyses.</li> <li>The current modelled MRE is a reasonable representation of the global contained metal but not a local estimation</li> </ul>
		Reconciliation of modern estimates against previous mining is difficult due to the poor records of historic workings within the current pit voids. Recent Privateer mining campaigns utilising the JORC 2004 compliant resource model have proven profitable for all involved parties, this supports the continuity and viability of mineralisation within the modelled zones.

